BALANCE SHEET

Page 2 of 3 Year: 2003

	BALANCE SHEET Year: 2003						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Account Number & Title	Last Year	This Year	% Change		
1	1	Assets and Other Debits (cont.)					
2	1						
3	1	Debits					
4							
5		Unamortized Debt Expense	\$1,123,696	\$1,533,592	36.48%		
6	182.1	Extraordinary Property Losses		, , ,			
7	182.2	Unrecovered Plant & Regulatory Study Costs					
	182.3	Other Regulatory Assets	3,651,680	4,744,491	29.93%		
	183	Prelim. Electric Survey & Investigation Chrg.	1,686,727	1,127,322	-33.17%		
8	1	Prelim. Nat. Gas Survey & Investigation Chrg.	1,000,727	1,127,022	-33.17%		
9	1	Other Prelim. Nat. Gas Survey & Invtg. Chrgs.					
10	:	Clearing Accounts	(40,451)	(124 215)	207.000/		
11	1	Temporary Facilities	(40,431)	(124,215)	207.08%		
12	E .	Miscellaneous Deferred Debits	19,360,006	22.040.004	10.040/		
13	I	Deferred Losses from Disposition of Util. Plant	19,300,000	22,910,284	18.34%		
14	188	Research, Devel. & Demonstration Expend.					
15	189	Unamortized Loss on Reacquired Debt	E CO7 544	4 540 700	40		
16	190	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	5,627,511	4,518,768	-19.70%		
17	191	Unrecovered Purchased Gas Costs	20,176,715	21,238,378	5.26%		
18	192.1	Unrecovered Incremental Gas Costs	(2,396,235)	10,518,527	-538.96%		
19	l .	Unrecovered Incremental Surcharges					
20	Ì	OTAL Deferred Debits	* 40.400.040	000.40==			
21	J.	OTAL Deferred Debits	\$49,189,649	\$66,467,147	35.12%		
1	TOTAL AG	SCETS & OTHER DERITO	04.004.705.545	.			
	TOTAL AS	SSETS & OTHER DEBITS	\$1,684,766,210	\$1,883,280,587	11.78%		
		Approval Niverbary R. T21					
- 22		Account Number & Title	Last Year	This Year	% Change		
23 24		Liabilities and Other Credits					
, ,	Dramiata	n. Comital					
26	Proprietar	y Capitai					
27	201	Common Stock lawyed					
1 1		Common Stock Issued	\$74,282,038	\$113,716,632	53.09%		
28	202	Common Stock Subscribed					
29	204	Preferred Stock Issued	16,300,000	15,000,000	-7.98%		
30	205	Preferred Stock Subscribed					
31	207	Premium on Capital Stock	751,331,277	761,023,634	1.29%		
32	211	Miscellaneous Paid-In Capital					
33		.ess) Discount on Capital Stock					
34	•	ess) Capital Stock Expense	(3,236,160)	(3,236,160)	0.00%		
35	216	Appropriated Retained Earnings	44,231,211	47,203,550	6.72%		
36	216.1	Unappropriated Retained Earnings	430,566,718	528,082,638	22.65%		
37		ess) Reacquired Capital Stock		•			
38	219	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	(9,803,865)	(7,528,653)	23.21%		
39	T	OTAL Proprietary Capital	\$1,303,671,219	\$1,454,261,641	11.55%		
40							
	Long Tern	n Debt					
42							
43	221	Bonds	\$130,850,000	\$160,850,000	22.93%		
44	222 (L	ess) Reacquired Bonds		. , -	,		
45	223	Advances from Associated Companies					
46	224	Other Long Term Debt	52,000,000	42,700,000	-17.88%		
47	225	Unamortized Premium on Long Term Debt	,,	2,, 30,000	17.5070		
48	226 (L	ess) Unamort. Discount on Long Term Debt-Dr.	(41,116)	(36,671)	-10.81%		
49		OTAL Long Term Debt	\$182,808,884	\$203,513,329	11.33%		
			\$102,000,00 4	Ψ <u>2</u> 00,010,029	11.33%		

BALANCE SHEET

Page 3 of 3 Year: 2003

	Tear: 2003					
		Account Number & Title	Last Year	This Year	% Change	
1 2	1	Total Liabilities and Other Credits (cont.)				
3	1	Total Liabilities and Other Credits (CORL)				
4	1	oncurrent Liabilities				
5	1	Trout on Liabilities				
6		Obligations Under Cap. Leases - Noncurrent				
7		Accumulated Provision for Property Insurance				
8	1	Accumulated Provision for Injuries & Damages	\$1,415,463	\$1,017,175	-28.14%	
9	228.3	Accumulated Provision for Pensions & Benefits	24,086,968	29,785,661	23.66%	
10	228.4	Accumulated Misc. Operating Provisions	21,000,000	25,705,001	25.00 %	
11	229	Accumulated Provision for Rate Refunds	67,067	299,228	346.16%	
12	230	Asset Retirement Obligations		602,589	100.00%	
13	1	FOTAL Other Noncurrent Liabilities	\$25,569,498	\$31,704,653	23.99%	
14				+01,101,000	20.00 /0	
		& Accrued Liabilities				
16	1					
17		Notes Payable	\$8,000,000	\$0	-100.00%	
18		Accounts Payable	18,828,269	26,572,779	41.13%	
19	1	Notes Payable to Associated Companies				
20	3	Accounts Payable to Associated Companies	5,882,199	7,113,407	20.93%	
21	235	Customer Deposits	1,472,979	1,584,497	7.57%	
22	B.	Taxes Accrued	(464,747)	10,323,491	2321.31%	
23	1	Interest Accrued	2,212,959	2,307,669	4.28%	
24	1	Dividends Declared	17,959,379	19,458,320	8.35%	
25	ì	Matured Long Term Debt				
26	1	Matured Interest				
27	241	Tax Collections Payable	1,210,339	1,662,094	37.32%	
28	242 243	Miscellaneous Current & Accrued Liabilities	10,489,414	10,665,144	1.68%	
30		Obligations Under Capital Leases - Current OTAL Current & Accrued Liabilities	005 500 50			
31		OTAL Current & Accrued Liabilities	\$65,590,791	\$79,687,401	21.49%	
1	Deferred	Credits				
33	20.01100					
34	252	Customer Advances for Construction	\$1,533,151	£1 004 407	40.040	
35	253	Other Deferred Credits	t ·	\$1,284,167	-16.24%	
36	254	Other Regulatory Liabilities	3,058,287 14,584,248	2,461,954	-19.50%	
37	255	Accumulated Deferred Investment Tax Credits	15,563,924	16,816,218	15.30%	
38	256	Deferred Gains from Disposition Of Util. Plant	13,303,824	15,633,652	0.45%	
39	257	Unamortized Gain on Reacquired Debt				
1	281-283	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	72,386,208	77,917,572	7 6 4 0 /	
41		OTAL Deferred Credits	\$107,125,818	\$114,113,563	7.64% 6.52%	
42			ψ. σ., 120,010	Ψ 1 1-4, 1 1 3, 3 0 3	0.02%	
43	TOTAL L	IABILITIES & OTHER CREDITS	\$1,684,766,210	\$1,883,280,587	11.78%	
				, , , , ,		

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Deta - (D				
Than to the copolition.	, ,	Date of Report	Year of Report			
	(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

NOTE 1

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements of MDU Resources Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Company) include the accounts of the following businesses: electric, natural gas distribution, utility services, pipeline and energy services, natural gas and oil production, construction materials and mining, and independent power production and other. The electric, natural gas distribution, and pipeline and energy services businesses are substantially all regulated. Utility services, natural gas and oil production, construction materials and mining, and independent power production and other are nonregulated. For further descriptions of the Company's businesses, see Note 14. The statements also include the ownership interests in the assets, liabilities and expenses of two jointly owned electric generation stations.

The Company uses the equity method of accounting for certain investments including its 49 percent interest in MPX Participacoes, Ltda. (MPX), which was formed to develop electric generation and transmission, steam generation, power equipment and coal mining projects in Brazil. For more information on the Company's equity investments, see new accounting standards in Note 1, as well as Note 2.

The Company's regulated businesses are subject to various state and federal agency regulation. The accounting policies followed by these businesses are generally subject to the Uniform System of Accounts of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). These accounting policies differ in some respects from those used by the Company's nonregulated businesses.

The Company's regulated businesses account for certain income and expense items under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Regulation." SFAS No. 71 requires these businesses to defer as regulatory assets or liabilities certain items that would have otherwise been reflected as expense or income, respectively, based on the expected regulatory treatment in future rates. The expected recovery or flowback of these deferred items generally is based on specific ratemaking decisions or precedent for each item. Regulatory assets and liabilities are being amortized consistently with the regulatory treatment established by the FERC and the applicable state public service commissions. See Note 4 for more information regarding the nature and amounts of these regulatory deferrals.

Prior to the sale of the Company's coal operations in 2001, as discussed in Note 14, intercompany coal sales, which were made at prices approximately the same as those charged to others, and the related utility fuel purchases were not eliminated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 71. All other significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, was \$8.1 million and \$8.2 million, respectively.

Natural gas in underground storage
Natural gas in underground storage for the Company's regulated operations is carried at
cost using the last-in, first-out method. The portion of the cost of natural gas in
underground storage expected to be used within one year was included in inventories and
amounted to \$19.6 million at December 31, 2003, and \$18.2 million at December 31, 2002.
The remainder of natural gas in underground storage was included in other assets and was
\$42.6 million at December 31, 2003, and \$42.2 million at December 31, 2002.

Patient - 4

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003				
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)							

Inventories

Inventories, other than natural gas in underground storage for the Company's regulated operations, consisted primarily of aggregates held for resale of \$54.7 million and \$39.6 million, materials and supplies of \$27.2 million and \$23.0 million, and other inventories of \$12.6 million and \$12.3 million, as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. These inventories were stated at the lower of average cost or market.

Property, plant and equipment

Additions to property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost when first placed in service. Acquired aggregate reserves at the Company's construction materials and mining business are classified based on type of ownership. Owned mineral rights are classified as property, plant and equipment, whereas leased mineral rights are classified as other intangible assets, net. For more information on other intangible assets, net, see Note 3. When regulated assets are retired, or otherwise disposed of in the ordinary course of business, the original cost of the asset is charged to accumulated depreciation. With respect to the retirement or disposal of all other assets, except for natural gas and oil production properties as described in natural gas and oil properties in Note 1, the resulting gains or losses are recognized as a component of income. The Company is permitted to capitalize an allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) on regulated construction projects and to include such amounts in rate base when the related facilities are placed in service. In addition, the Company capitalizes interest, when applicable, on certain construction projects associated with its other operations. amount of AFUDC and interest capitalized was \$7.4 million, \$7.6 million and \$6.6 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Generally, property, plant and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the average useful lives of the assets, except for depletable reserves, which are depleted based on the units-of-production method based on recoverable deposits, and natural gas and oil production properties, which are amortized on the units-of-production method based on total reserves.

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2003 and 2002, was as follows:

			Estimated
			Depreciable Life
	2003	2002	in Years
	(Dollars		as applicable)
Regulated:		,	ab applicable,
Electric:			
Electric generation, distribution			
and transmission plant	\$ 639,893	\$ 619,230	4-50
Natural gas distribution:		•	1 30
Natural gas distribution plant	252,591	244,930	4-40
Pipeline and energy services:			
Natural gas transmission,			
gathering and storage			
facilities	340,841	262,971	3-70
Nonregulated:			
Utility services: Land			
— 	2,505	2,601	
Buildings and improvements	10,123	8,768	10-40
Machinery, vehicles and equipment Other	58,843	54,833	2-10
	5,400	4,458	3-10
Pipeline and energy services:			
Natural gas gathering			
and other facilities	119,613	108,179	3-20
Energy services	1,339	1,270	3-15
Natural gas and oil production:			
Natural gas and oil properties Other	862,839	748,843	(a)
	8,518	6,945	5-7
Construction materials and mining:			

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

		Report is: An Original		Date of Repor (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.		A Resubmissi	on	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
NOTES TO FINAN	NCIAL STA	TEMENTS (Contin	ned)		
Tand					
Land		89,545		85,376	
Buildings and improvements		48,907		43,144	1-40
Machinery, vehicles and equipment		569,295		493,349	1-25
Construction in progress		14,392		10,151	
Depletable reserves		171,841		172,235	(b)
Independent power production and		· - ,		1,2,233	(1)
other:					
Electric generation		153,947		58,000	5-30
Construction in progress		29,805		19,342	5-30
Land		2,001		2,001	
Other		15,381		•	
Less accumulated depreciation,		15,561		15,182	3-20
depletion and amortization		1 175 226		1 010 400	
Net property, plant and equipment	٠,	1,175,326		1,019,438	
ace property, prant and equipment	\$	2,222,293	\$:	1,942,370	

- (a) Amortized on the units-of-production method based on total proved reserves at an Mcf equivalent rate of \$.89, \$.80, and \$.78 for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Includes natural gas and oil production properties accounted for under the full-cost method, of which \$104,339 and \$145,692 were excluded from amortization at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.
- (b) Depleted based on the units-of-production method based on recoverable deposits.

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Company reviews the carrying values of its long-lived assets, excluding goodwill, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that such carrying values may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, compared to the carrying value of the assets. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. No long-lived assets have been impaired and, accordingly, no impairment losses have been recorded in 2003, 2002 and 2001. Unforeseen events and changes in circumstances could require the recognition of other impairment losses at some future date.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net tangible and intangible assets acquired in a business combination. On January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangibles," and ceased amortization of its goodwill. Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company performed its transitional goodwill impairment testing as of January 1, 2002, and performed its annual goodwill impairment testing as of October 31, 2003 and 2002, and determined that no impairments existed at those dates. Therefore, no impairment loss has been recorded for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. For more information on goodwill, see Note 3.

Natural gas and oil properties

The Company uses the full-cost method of accounting for its natural gas and oil production activities. Under this method, all costs incurred in the acquisition, exploration and development of natural gas and oil properties are capitalized and amortized on the units-of-production method based on total proved reserves. Any conveyances of properties, including gains or losses on abandonments of properties, are treated as adjustments to the cost of the properties with no gain or loss recognized. Capitalized costs are subject to a "ceiling test" that limits such costs to the aggregate of the present value of future net revenues of proved reserves based on single point-in-time spot market prices, as mandated under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the lower of cost or fair value of unproved properties. Future net revenue is estimated based on end-of-quarter spot market prices adjusted for contracted price changes. If capitalized costs exceed the full-cost ceiling at the end of any quarter, a permanent noncash

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003				
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)							

write-down is required to be charged to earnings in that quarter unless subsequent price changes eliminate or reduce an indicated write-down.

At December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company's full-cost ceiling exceeded the Company's capitalized cost. However, sustained downward movements in natural gas and oil prices subsequent to December 31, 2003, could result in a future write-down of the Company's natural gas and oil properties.

The following table summarizes the Company's natural gas and oil properties not subject to amortization at December 31, 2003, in total and by year in which such costs were incurred:

	Year Costs Incurred							
		Total		2003		2002	2001	2000 and prior
					(Ir	n thousan	ıds)	
Acquisition	\$	48,355	\$	630		17,108	\$	\$30,617
Development		39,160	2	8,351		5,120		5,689
Exploration		4,885		4,828			23	34
Capitalized interest Total costs not subject		11,939		5,642		6,297		
to amortization	\$1	04,339	\$ 3	9,451	\$	28,525	\$ 23	\$ 36,340

Costs not subject to amortization as of December 31, 2003, consisted primarily of lease acquisition costs, unevaluated drilling costs and capitalized interest associated with coalbed development in the Powder River Basin of Montana and Wyoming. The Company expects that the majority of these costs will be evaluated over the next three- to five-year period and included in the amortization base as the properties are developed and evaluated and proved reserves are established or impairment is determined.

Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized when the earnings process is complete, as evidenced by an agreement between the customer and the Company, when delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, when the fee is fixed or determinable and when collection is probable. The Company recognizes utility revenue each month based on the services provided to all utility customers during the month. The Company recognizes construction contract revenue at its construction businesses using the percentage-of-completion method as discussed later. The Company recognizes revenue from natural gas and oil production activities only on that portion of production sold and allocable to the Company's ownership interest in the related well. Revenues at the independent power production operations are recognized based on electricity delivered and capacity provided, pursuant to contractual commitments. The Company recognizes all other revenues when services are rendered or goods are delivered.

Percentage-of-completion method

The Company recognizes construction contract revenue from fixed price and modified fixed price construction contracts at its construction businesses using the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date to estimated total costs for each contract. Costs in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts of \$31.8 million and \$19.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed and was included in receivables, net. Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts of \$20.4 million and \$24.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, represents billings in excess of revenues recognized and was included in accounts payable. Also included in receivables, net were amounts representing balances billed but not paid by customers under retainage provisions in contracts that amounted to \$34.3 million and \$25.6 million as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, which are expected to be paid within one year or less.

FERC	FORM	NO.	1 (ED.	12-8	(8)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report				
	(1) X An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	roal of Report				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003				
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)							

Derivative instruments

The Company's policy allows the use of derivative instruments as part of an overall energy price, foreign currency and interest rate risk management program to efficiently manage and minimize commodity price, foreign currency and interest rate risk. The Company's policy prohibits the use of derivative instruments for speculating to take advantage of market trends and conditions and the Company has procedures in place to monitor compliance with its policies. The Company is exposed to credit-related losses in relation to derivative instruments in the event of nonperformance by counterparties. The Company's policy requires settlement of natural gas and oil price derivative instruments monthly and all interest rate derivative transactions must be settled over a period that will not exceed 90 days, and any foreign currency derivative transaction settlement periods may not exceed a 12-month period. The Company has policies and procedures that management believes minimize credit-risk exposure. These policies and procedures include an evaluation of potential counterparties' credit ratings and credit exposure limitations. Accordingly, the Company does not anticipate any material effect to its financial position or results of operations as a result of nonperformance by counterparties.

Advertising

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred and the amount of advertising expense for the years 2003, 2002 and 2001, was \$3.9 million, \$3.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

Natural gas costs recoverable or refundable through rate adjustments Under the terms of certain orders of the applicable state public service commissions, the Company is deferring natural gas commodity, transportation and storage costs that are greater or less than amounts presently being recovered through its existing rate schedules. Such orders generally provide that these amounts are recoverable or refundable through rate adjustments within a period ranging from 24 months to 28 months from the time such costs are paid. Natural gas costs recoverable through rate adjustments amounted to \$10.5 million at December 31, 2003, which is included in prepayments and other current assets. Natural gas costs refundable through rate adjustments amounted to \$2.4 million at December 31, 2002, which is included in other accrued liabilities.

Insurance

Certain subsidiaries of the Company are insured for workers' compensation losses, subject to deductibles ranging up to \$500,000 per occurrence. Automobile liability and general liability losses are insured, subject to deductibles ranging up to \$500,000 per accident or occurrence. These subsidiaries have excess coverage on a claims first-made basis beyond the deductible levels. The subsidiaries of the Company are retaining losses up to the deductible amounts accrued on the basis of estimates of liability for claims incurred and claims incurred but not reported.

Other income - net Other income - net consisted of the following:

Years ended December 31,	2003	2002	2001
Interest and dividend income Earnings from equity method	\$ 6,722	(In thousands) \$ 8,160	\$ 5,734
investments (Note 2)	5,968	1,341	154
Other income	9,517	4,071	20,933
Total other income - net	\$22,207	\$13,572	\$26,821

Income taxes

The Company provides deferred federal and state income taxes on all temporary differences between the book and tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. Excess deferred income tax balances associated with the Company's rate-regulated activities resulting from the Company's adoption of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," have been recorded as a regulatory liability and are included in other liabilities. These regulatory

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)		
	D 400 F	
11 E170 1 O17141 140. 1 (ED. 12-00)	Page 123.5	
	1 ugo 120.0	

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report		
MDU Bassurasa Casus Inc	(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	, topon		
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003		
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)					

liabilities are expected to be reflected as a reduction in future rates charged to customers in accordance with applicable regulatory procedures.

The Company uses the deferral method of accounting for investment tax credits and amortizes the credits on electric and natural gas distribution plant over various periods that conform to the ratemaking treatment prescribed by the applicable state public service commissions.

Foreign currency translation adjustment

The functional currency of the Company's investment in a 220-megawatt natural gas-fired electric generating facility in Brazil, as further discussed in Note 2, is the Brazilian real. Translation from the Brazilian real to the U.S. dollar for assets and liabilities is performed using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses have been translated using the weighted average exchange rate for each month prevailing during the period reported. Adjustments resulting from such translations are reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income (loss) in common stockholders' equity.

Transaction gains and losses resulting from the effect of exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the reporting entity are recorded in income.

Common stock split

On August 14, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors approved a three-for-two common stock split. For more information on the common stock split, see Note 11.

Earnings per common share

Basic earnings per common share were computed by dividing earnings on common stock by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per common share were computed by dividing earnings on common stock by the total of the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year, plus the effect of outstanding stock options, restricted stock grants and performance share awards. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, 209,805 shares, 3,674,925 shares and 225,945 shares, respectively, with an average exercise price of \$24.56, \$20.08 and \$24.57, respectively, attributable to the exercise of outstanding options, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect was antidilutive. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, no adjustments were made to reported earnings in the computation of earnings per share. Common stock outstanding includes issued shares less shares held in treasury.

Stock-based compensation

The Company has stock option plans for directors, key employees and employees. In 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and began expensing the fair market value of stock options for all awards granted on or after January 1, 2003. Compensation expense recognized for awards granted on or after January 1, 2003, for the year ended December 31, 2003, was \$41,000 (after tax).

As permitted by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure - an amendment of SFAS No. 123," the Company accounts for stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003, under APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." No compensation expense has been recognized for stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003, as the options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant.

Since the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 effective January 1, 2003, for newly granted options only, the following table illustrates the effect on earnings and earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, as if the Company had applied SFAS No. 123 and recognized compensation expense for all outstanding and unvested

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003				
N	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

stock options based on the fair value at	the da	te of gran 2003	nt:	2002		2001
	(In t	thousands,	exce		are s	2001
Earnings on common stock, as	,	,	03100	pe per Bn	arc e	amountes/
reported	\$	174,607	\$	147,688	\$	155,087
Stock-based compensation expense			•		•	133,007
included in reported earnings,						
net of related tax effects		41				
Total stock-based compensation						
expense determined under fair						
<pre>value method for all awards, net of related tax effects</pre>		/-				
Pro forma earnings on common stock	<u> </u>	(2,139)	_	(2,862)		(3,799)
FIO TOTIMA EATHINGS ON COMMON SCOCK	\$	172,509	\$	144,826	\$	151,288
Earnings per common share basic as reported:						
Earnings before cumulative effect						
of accounting change	\$	1.64	\$	1.39	\$	1.54
Cumulative effect of accounting			*	2.00	~	1.54
change		(.07)				
Earnings per common share basic	\$	1.57	\$	1.39	\$	1.54
Earnings per common share basic pro forma:						
Earnings before cumulative effect						
of accounting change	\$	1.62	\$	1.36	\$	1.50
Cumulative effect of accounting	,		7	1.50	Y	1.50
change		(.07)				
Earnings per common share basic	\$	1.55	\$	1.36	\$	1.50
Earnings per common share diluted as reported:						
Earnings before cumulative effect						
of accounting change	\$	1.62	\$	1.38	\$	1.52
Cumulative effect of accounting	•		~	1.50	Ų	1.52
change		(.07)				
Earnings per common share						
diluted	\$	1.55	\$	1.38	\$	1.52
Earnings per common share diluted pro forma:						
Earnings before cumulative effect						
of accounting change	\$	1.60	\$	1.36	\$	1.49
Cumulative effect of accounting			•		7	エ・マン
change		(.07)				
Earnings per common share						
diluted	\$	1.53	\$	1.36	\$	1.49

For more information on the Company's stock-based compensation, see Note 12.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used for items such as impairment testing of long-lived assets, goodwill and natural gas and oil properties; fair values of acquired assets and liabilities under the purchase method of accounting; natural gas and oil reserves; property depreciable lives; tax provisions;

FERC	FORM	NO. 1	(ED. '	12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report			
MDII Baaawaaa Casuu laa	(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
N	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)					

uncollectible accounts; environmental and other loss contingencies; accumulated provision for revenues subject to refund; costs on construction contracts; unbilled revenues; actuarially determined benefit costs; asset retirement obligations; the valuation of stock-based compensation; and the fair value of derivative instruments, including the fair value of an embedded derivative in a power purchase agreement related to an equity method investment in Brazil, as discussed in Note 2. As additional information becomes available, or actual amounts are determinable, the recorded estimates are revised. Consequently, operating results can be affected by revisions to prior accounting estimates.

Cash flow information
Cash expenditures for interest and income taxes were as follows:

Years ended December 31,	2003	2002	2001
Tobaccash with a Council and the 21 of		(In thousands)	
Interest, net of amount capitalized	\$47,474	\$37,788	\$42,267
Income taxes	\$31,737	\$60,988	\$75.284

Reclassifications

The Consolidated Statements of Income have been reclassified to include additional disclosures relating to the components comprising operating revenues and operation and maintenance expense.

Certain other reclassifications have been made in the financial statements for prior years to conform to the current presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on net income or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

New accounting standards

The Company has stock option plans for directors, key employees and employees. In 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, and began expensing the fair market value of stock options for all awards granted on or after January 1, 2003. For a discussion of the effect of the adoption of the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 on earnings and earnings per share, see stock-based compensation in Note 1.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) approved SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires entities to record the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred. When the liability is initially recorded, the entity capitalizes a cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, an entity either settles the obligation for the recorded amount or incurs a gain or loss. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. For more information on the adoption of SFAS No. 143, see Note 9.

In April 2002, the FASB approved SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." FASB No. 4 required all gains or losses from extinguishment of debt to be classified as extraordinary items net of income taxes. SFAS No. 145 requires that gains and losses from extinguishment of debt be evaluated under the provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, and be classified as ordinary items unless they are unusual or infrequent or meet the specific criteria for treatment as an extraordinary item. SFAS No. 145 is effective for fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 145 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" (FIN 45). FIN 45 clarifies the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88) Page 123.8			
	FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)	Page 123.8	

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
<u> </u>	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. FIN 45 also requires a guarantor to recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing certain types of guarantees. Certain types of guarantees are not subject to the initial recognition and measurement provisions of FIN 45 but are subject to its disclosure requirements. The initial recognition and initial measurement provisions of FIN 45 are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, regardless of the guarantor's fiscal year-end. The guarantor's previous accounting for guarantees issued prior to the date of the initial application of FIN 45 is not required to be revised or restated. The disclosure requirements in FIN 45 are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ended after December 15, 2002. The Company is applying the initial recognition and initial measurement provisions of FIN 45 to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. For more information on the Company's guarantees and the disclosure requirements of FIN 45, as applicable to the Company, see Note 19.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 provides clarification on the financial accounting and reporting of derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and hedging activities; and requires contracts with similar characteristics to be accounted for on a comparable basis. SFAS No. 149 is generally effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003, and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within the scope of SFAS No. 150 as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company will apply SFAS No. 150 to any financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. Beginning in 2003, the Company reported its preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption as a liability in accordance with SFAS No. 150. The transition to SFAS No. 150 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In December 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised 2003), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" (FIN 46 (revised)), which revised FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" (FIN 46). FIN 46 (revised) clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements," to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated support. An enterprise shall consolidate a variable interest entity if that enterprise is the primary beneficiary. An enterprise is considered the primary beneficiary if it has a variable interest that will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns or both.

FIN 46 (revised) shall be applied to all entities subject to FIN 46 (revised) no later than the end of the first reporting period that ends after March 15, 2004. However, an entity that applied FIN 46 to an entity prior to the effective date of FIN 46 (revised) shall either continue to apply FIN 46 until the effective date of FIN 46 (revised) or apply FIN 46 (revised) at an earlier date.

The Company had evaluated the provisions of FIN 46 and determined that MPX is a variable interest entity. MPX was formed in August 2001, as a result of MDU Brasil Ltda. (MDU Brasil), an indirect wholly owned Brazilian subsidiary of the Company, entering into a

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report			
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

joint venture agreement with a Brazilian firm. MDU Brasil has a 49 percent interest in MPX. Although the Company has determined that MPX is a variable interest entity, MDU Brasil is not considered the primary beneficiary of MPX because MDU Brasil does not absorb a majority of MPX's expected losses, receive a majority of MPX's expected residual returns or both. Therefore, MDU Brasil does not have a controlling financial interest in MPX and is not required to consolidate MPX in its financial statements. MPX is being accounted for under the equity method of accounting. For more information on this equity method investment, see Note 2. The adoption of FIN 46 did not have an effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company will continue to apply FIN 46 until the effective date of FIN 46 (revised).

In December 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003), "Employers' Disclosures about Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits." SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003) retains the disclosure requirements contained in SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits," and requires additional disclosures about the assets, obligations, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans. SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003) is effective for financial statements with fiscal years ending after December 15, 2003. The interim-period disclosures required by SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003) are effective for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2003. The Company applied SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003) to its consolidated financial statements issued after December 15, 2003. For more information on the Company's pension and other postretirement benefits, see Note 16.

In January 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 106-1, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003." FASB Staff Position No. FAS 106-1 permits a sponsor of a postretirement health care plan that provides a prescription drug benefit to make a one-time election to defer accounting for the effects of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (2003 Medicare Act). SFAS No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pension," requires enacted changes in relevant laws to be considered in current period measurements of postretirement benefit costs and accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. The Company provides prescription drug benefits to certain eligible employees and has elected the one-time deferral of accounting for the effects of the 2003 Medicare Act. These consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes do not reflect the effects of the 2003 Medicare Act on the postretirement benefit plans. The Company intends to analyze the 2003 Medicare Act, along with the authoritative guidance, when issued, to determine if its benefit plans need to be amended and how to record the effects of the 2003 Medicare Act. Specific guidance on the accounting for the federal subsidy provided by the 2003 Medicare Act is pending and that guidance, when issued, could require the Company to change previously reported postretirement benefit information. For more information on the Company's postretirement benefits, see Note 16.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income is the sum of net income as reported and other comprehensive income (loss). The Company's other comprehensive income (loss) resulted from gains and losses on derivative instruments qualifying as hedges, minimum pension liability adjustments and foreign currency translation adjustments.

The components of other comprehensive income (loss), and their related tax effects for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, were as follows:

2003 2002 2001 (In thousands)

Other comprehensive income (loss):
Net unrealized gain (loss) on
derivative instruments
qualifying as hedges:
Unrealized loss on derivative

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:		Year of Report		
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) <u>X</u> An Original (2) <u>A</u> Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003		
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)					

instruments at January 1, 2001, due to cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle,				
net of tax of \$3,970 in 2001	\$ 	\$		\$(6,080)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments				
arising during the period,				
net of tax of \$2,132,				
\$2,903 and \$1,448 in 2003,				
2002 and 2001, respectively	(3,335)		(4,541)	2,218
Less: Reclassification adjustment				-,
for gain (loss) on derivative				
instruments included in net income, net of \$2,903,				
\$1,448 and \$3,970 in 2003, 2002				
and 2001, respectively	(4,541)		2,218	(6,000)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on	(1/311)		2,210	(6,080)
derivative instruments qualifying				
as hedges	1,206		(6,759)	2,218
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax of \$38				
and \$2,876 in 2003 and 2002,				
respectively	21		(4,464)	
Foreign currency translation	2.1		(4,404)	
adjustment	1,048		(799)	
Total other comprehensive income			•	
(loss)	\$ 2,275	\$(1	12,022)	\$ 2,218

The after-tax components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, were as follows:

	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Derivative Instruments Qualifying as Hedges	Minimum Pension Liability Adjustment	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment housands)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance at December 31, 2001	\$ 2,218	\$	\$	\$ 2,218
Balance at December 31, 2002	\$ (4,541)	\$ (4,464)	\$ (799)	\$ (9,804)
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ (3,335)	\$ (4,443)	\$ 249	\$ (7,529)

NOTE 2

Equity Method Investments

The Company has a number of equity method investments, including MPX, which was formed in August 2001 when MDU Brasil entered into a joint venture agreement with a Brazilian firm. MDU Brasil has a 49 percent interest in MPX, which is being accounted for under the equity method of accounting, as discussed in Note 1. MPX, through a wholly owned subsidiary, owns a 220-megawatt natural gas-fired electric generating facility (Brazil Generating Facility) in the Brazilian state of Ceara. At December 31, 2003, MPX has assets of approximately \$109.6 million and long-term debt of approximately \$86.8 million, including a loan of \$20.0 million from Centennial Energy Resources International Inc, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Petrobras, the Brazilian state-controlled energy company, has agreed to purchase all of the capacity and market all of the Brazil Generating Facility's energy. The power purchase agreement with Petrobras expires in May 2008. Petrobras also is under contract to supply natural gas to the Brazil Generating Facility during the term of the power purchase agreement. This natural gas supply

FERC	FORM	NO. 1	(ED.	12-88)
------	------	-------	------	--------

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report			
Lugura e	∣(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	Toda of report			
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

contract is renewable by a wholly owned subsidiary of MPX for an additional 13 years. functional currency for the Brazil Generating Facility is the Brazilian real. purchase agreement with Petrobras contains an embedded derivative, which derives its value from an annual adjustment factor, which largely indexes the contract capacity payments to the U.S. dollar. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company's 49 percent share of the loss from the change in the fair value of the embedded derivative in the power purchase agreement was \$11.3 million (after tax). For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company's 49 percent share of the gain from the change in the fair value of the embedded derivative in the power purchase agreement was \$13.6 million (after tax). The Company's 49 percent share of the foreign currency gain resulting from the revaluation of the Brazilian real was \$2.8 million (after tax) for the year ended December 31, 2003. The Company's 49 percent share of the foreign currency loss resulting from devaluation of the Brazilian real was \$9.4 million (after tax) for the year ended December 31, 2002. The Company's investment in the Brazil Generating Facility was approximately \$25.2 million, including undistributed earnings of \$4.6 million at December 31, 2003. The Company's investment in the Brazil Generating Facility was approximately \$27.8 million at December 31, 2002.

The Company's share of income from its equity method investments, including MPX, was \$6.0 million, \$1.3 million and \$154,000 for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and was included in other income - net.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

On January 1, 2002, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," the Company ceased amortization of its goodwill recorded in business combinations that occurred on or before June 30, 2001. The following information is presented as if SFAS No. 142 was adopted as of January 1, 2001. The reconciliation of previously reported earnings and earnings per common share to the amounts adjusted for the exclusion of goodwill amortization, net of the related income tax effects, for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, were as follows:

Reported earnings on common stock Add: Goodwill amortization, net of tax Adjusted earnings on common stock	(In tho	2003 usands, ex 174,607 174,607	xce \$	2002 pt per sha 147,688 147,688	\$	2001 amounts) 155,087 3,649 158,736
Reported earnings per common share basic Add: Goodwill amortization, net of tax Adjusted earnings per common share basic	\$	1.57 1.57		1.39	·	1.54 .03 1.57
Reported earnings per common share diluted Add: Goodwill amortization, net of tax Adjusted earnings per common share diluted	\$	1.55	\$	1.38	\$	1.52 .04 1.56

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2003, were as follows:

	Balance as of January 1, 2003	Goodwill Acquired During the Year	Balance as of December 31, 2003
Electric Natural gas distribution	\$	(In thousands) \$	\$

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

		Date of Report	Year of Report
		12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
ES TO FINANCIAL STATEMEN	TS (Continued)		
62,487	117	62,60	04
9,494		9,49	94
111,887	8,311	120,19	98
7,131 \$190,999	 \$8,428	7,13 \$199,42	
	(1) <u>X</u> An Or (2) <u>A</u> Reserved As An Or (2) <u>A</u> Reserved As An Or (2) <u>A</u> A Reserved As An Or (2) <u>A</u>	9,494 111,887 8,311 7,131	(1) X An Original (Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003 ES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) 62,487 117 62,60 9,494 9,49 111,887 8,311 120,19

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2002, were as follows:

	Balance	Goodwill	Balance
	as of	Acquired	as of
	January 1,	During	December 31,
	2002	the Year	2002
		(In thousands)	
Electric	\$	\$	\$
Natural gas		·	7
distribution			
Utility services	61,909	578	62,487
Pipeline and energy	, -	2.0	02,487
services	9,336	158	9,494
Natural gas and oil	-,	130	5,454
production			
Construction materials			
and mining	102,752	9,135	111 000
Independent power production	102,732	9,133	111,887
and other		7 121	
Total	\$173,997	7,131	7,131
10041	\$1/3,99/	\$17,002	\$190,999

Other intangible assets at December 31, 2003 and 2002, were as follows:

	2003 2002	
Amortizable intangible assets:	(In thousands)	
Leasehold rights Accumulated amortization	\$ 186,419 \$ 172,496 (11,779) (7,494) 174,640 165,002	
Noncompete agreements Accumulated amortization	12,075 12,075 (9,690) (9,366) 2,385 2,709	
Other Accumulated amortization	17,734 7,224 (2,265) (374)	
Unamortizable intangible assets Total	15,469 6,850 960 1,603 \$ 193,454 \$ 176,164	

Acquired aggregate reserves at our construction materials and mining business are classified based on type of ownership. Owned mineral rights are classified as property, plant and equipment, whereas leased mineral rights are classified as leasehold rights in other intangible assets, net.

The unamortizable intangible assets were recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions," which requires that if an additional minimum liability is recognized an equal amount shall be recognized as an intangible asset,

i	FERC	FORM	I NO.	1 (ED.	12-88)	

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
L N	OTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

provided that the asset recognized shall not exceed the amount of unrecognized prior service cost. The unamortizable intangible asset will be eliminated or adjusted as necessary upon a new determination of the amount of additional liability.

Amortization expense for amortizable intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, was \$5.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for amortizable intangible assets is \$6.2 million in 2004, \$6.4 million in 2005, \$5.2 million in 2006, \$5.2 million in 2007, \$5.2 million in 2008 and \$164.3 million thereafter.

SFAS No. 142 discontinues the practice of amortizing goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets and initiates an annual review for impairment. Intangible assets with a determinable useful life will continue to be amortized over that period. The amortization provisions apply to goodwill and intangible assets acquired after June 30, 2001. 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS No. 142 clarify that more assets should be distinguished and classified between tangible and intangible. The Company did not change or reclassify contractual mineral rights included in property, plant and equipment related to its natural gas and oil production business upon adoption of SFAS No. 142. The Company has included such mineral rights as part of property, plant and equipment under the full-cost method of accounting for natural gas and oil properties. An issue has arisen within the natural gas and oil industry as to whether contractual mineral rights under SFAS No. 142 should be classified as intangible rather than as part of property, plant and equipment. This accounting matter is anticipated to be addressed by the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force. The resolution of this matter may result in certain reclassifications of amounts in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, as well as changes to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the future. The applicable provisions of SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142 only affect the balance sheet and associated footnote disclosure, so any reclassifications that might be required in the future will not affect the Company's cash flows or results of operations. The Company believes that the resolution of this matter will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position because the mineral rights acquired by its natural gas and oil production business after the June 30, 2001, effective date of SFAS No. 142 were not material.

NOTE 4
Regulatory Assets and Liabilities
The following table summarizes the individual components of unamortized regulatory assets
and liabilities as of December 31:

	2003	. 1.	2002
Regulatory assets:	(II	thous	ands)
Deferred income taxes Natural gas costs recoverable	\$ 29,850	\$	27,378
through rate adjustments	10,519		
Long-term debt refinancing costs	4,519		5,627
Plant costs	2,697		2,330
Postretirement benefit costs Other	562		616
	7,159		4,788
Total regulatory assets Regulatory liabilities:	55,306		40,739
Plant removal and decommissioning costs	76,176		68,551
Reserves for regulatory matters Taxes refundable to customers	11,970		9,856
Deferred income taxes	11,751		11,699
Natural gas costs refundable	10,663		5,491
through rate adjustments			
Other	658		2,396
Total regulatory liabilities	_		2,779
Net regulatory position	\$ 111,218 (55,912)	\$	100,772 (60,033)

F	ERC	FOR	M NO	. 1 (ED.	12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report				
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003				
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)							

As of December 31, 2003, substantially all of the Company's regulatory assets, other than certain deferred income taxes, were being reflected in rates charged to customers and are being recovered over the next one to 19 years.

If, for any reason, the Company's regulated businesses cease to meet the criteria for application of SFAS No. 71 for all or part of their operations, the regulatory assets and liabilities relating to those portions ceasing to meet such criteria would be removed from the balance sheet and included in the statement of income as an extraordinary item in the period in which the discontinuance of SFAS No. 71 occurs.

NOTE 5

Derivative Instruments

The Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended, on January 1, 2001. SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards requiring that every derivative instrument (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) be recorded on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value. SFAS No. 133 requires that changes in the derivative instrument's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. Special accounting for qualifying hedges allows derivative gains and losses to offset the related results on the hedged item in the income statement and requires that a company must formally document, designate and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting treatment.

SFAS No. 133 requires that as of the date of initial adoption, the difference between the fair market value of derivative instruments recorded on the balance sheet and the previous carrying amount of those derivative instruments be reported in net income or other comprehensive income (loss), as appropriate, as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle in accordance with APB Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes." On January 1, 2001, the Company reported a net-of-tax cumulative-effect adjustment of \$6.1 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss to recognize at fair value all derivative instruments that are designated as cash flow hedging instruments, which the Company reclassified into earnings during the year ended December 31, 2001. The transition to SFAS No. 133 did not have an effect on the Company's net income at adoption.

In the event a derivative instrument being accounted for as a cash flow hedge does not qualify for hedge accounting because it is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of a hedged item; or if the derivative instrument expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; or if management determines that designation of the derivative instrument as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate, hedge accounting will be discontinued, and the derivative instrument would continue to be carried at fair value with changes in its fair value recognized in earnings. In these circumstances, the net gain or loss at the time of discontinuance of hedge accounting would remain in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the period or periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings, at which time the net gain or loss would be reclassified into earnings. In the event a cash flow hedge is discontinued because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur, the derivative instrument would continue to be carried on the balance sheet at its fair value, and gains and losses that had accumulated in other comprehensive income (loss) would be recognized immediately in earnings. In the event of a sale, termination or extinguishment of a foreign currency derivative, the resulting gain or loss would be recognized immediately in earnings. Company's policy requires approval to terminate a derivative instrument prior to its original maturity.

As of December 31, 2003, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company held derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments.

Hedging activities

The subsidiary of the Company utilizes natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements to manage a portion of the market risk associated with fluctuations in the

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Board		T
Ivaine of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
	(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
N	OTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

price of natural gas and oil on the subsidiary's forecasted sales of natural gas and oil production. Each of the natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements was designated as a hedge of the forecasted sale of natural gas and oil production.

On an ongoing basis, the balance sheet is adjusted to reflect the current fair market value of the swap and collar agreements. The related gains or losses on these agreements are recorded in common stockholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). At the date the underlying transaction occurs, the amounts accumulated in other comprehensive income (loss) are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income. To the extent that the hedges are not effective, the ineffective portion of the changes in fair market value is recorded directly in earnings.

For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, the subsidiary of the Company recognized the ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges, which is included in operating revenues for the natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, the amount of hedge ineffectiveness recognized was immaterial. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, the subsidiary did not exclude any components of the derivative instruments' gain or loss from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and there were no reclassifications into earnings as a result of the discontinuance of hedges.

Gains and losses on derivative instruments that are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to current-period earnings are included in the line item in which the hedged item is recorded. As of December 31, 2003, the maximum term of the subsidiary's swap and collar agreements, in which the subsidiary of the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions, is 12 months. The subsidiary of the Company estimates that over the next 12 months net losses of approximately \$3.3 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings, subject to changes in natural gas and oil market prices, as the hedged transactions affect earnings.

Foreign currency derivative

MDU Brasil has a 49 percent equity investment in the Brazil Generating Facility, which has a portion of its borrowings and payables denominated in U.S. dollars. MDU Brasil has exposure to currency exchange risk as a result of fluctuations in currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the Brazilian real. On August 12, 2002, MDU Brasil entered into a foreign currency collar agreement for a notional amount of \$21.3 million with a fixed price floor of R\$3.10 and a fixed price ceiling of R\$3.40 to manage a portion of its foreign currency risk. The term of the collar agreement was from August 12, 2002, through February 3, 2003, and the collar agreement settled on February 3, 2003. The foreign currency collar agreement was not designated as a hedge and was recorded at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Gains or losses on this derivative instrument were recorded in other income - net. The Company recorded a gain of \$39,000 (after tax) on the foreign currency collar agreement for the year ended December 31, 2003, and a gain of \$566,000 (after tax) for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Energy marketing

The Company had entered into other derivative instruments that were not designated as hedges in its energy marketing operations. In the third quarter of 2001, the Company sold the vast majority of its energy marketing operations. Net unrealized gains and losses on these derivative instruments were not material for the year ended December 31, 2001.

NOTE 6

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt and preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption is based on quoted market prices of the same or similar issues. As discussed in Note 1, the Company, upon adoption of SFAS No. 150 in 2003, began reporting its preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption as a liability. The estimated fair values of the Company's natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements were included

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Vear of Booot
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	rear of Report
	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

in current liabilities at December 31, 2003 and 2002. The estimated fair value of the Company's foreign currency collar agreement was included in current assets at December 31, 2002. The estimated fair values of the Company's natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements and foreign currency collar agreement reflect the estimated amounts the Company would receive or pay to terminate the contracts at the reporting date based upon quoted market prices of comparable contracts.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt, preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption, natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements and foreign currency collar agreement at December 31 was as follows:

	Carrying Amount	2003	Fair Value (In tho	2002 Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term debt Preferred stock subject to mandatory	\$ 967,096	\$	1,012,547	841,641	\$ 888,066
redemption Natural gas and oil price swap and	\$ 	\$		\$ 1,300	\$ 1,168
collar agreements Foreign currency	\$ (5,467)	\$	(5,467)	\$ (7,444)	\$ (7,444)
collar agreement	\$ 	\$		\$ 903	\$ 903

The carrying amounts of the Company's remaining financial instruments included in current assets and current liabilities (excluding unsettled derivative instruments) approximate their fair values because of their short-term nature.

NOTE 7

Short-term Borrowings

MDU Resources Group, Inc.

At December 31, 2002, \$8.0 million of MDU Resources Group, Inc. (MDU Resources) commercial paper program borrowings were classified as short-term borrowings. The commercial paper borrowings classified as short term were supported by short-term bank lines of credit. There were no amounts outstanding under the bank lines of credit at December 31, 2002. MDU Resources did not have any short-term bank lines of credit at December 31, 2003. For more information on MDU Resources' commercial paper program, see Note 8.

International operations

A subsidiary of the Company had a short-term credit agreement that expired in 2003. Under this agreement \$12.0 million was outstanding at December 31, 2002.

NOTE 8

Long-term Debt and Indenture Provisions

Long-term debt outstanding at December 31 was as follows:

	2003 2002 (In thousands)
First mortgage bonds and notes:	(In thousands)
Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1992,	
6.65%, due June 1, 2022	\$ 20,850 \$ 20,850
Secured Medium-Term Notes,	ψ 20,030 ψ 20,830
Series A at a weighted	
average rate of 7.59%, due on	
dates ranging from October 1, 2004	
to April 1, 2012	110,000 110,000
Senior Notes, 5.98%, due December 15, 2033	30,000
Total first mortgage bonds and notes	160,850 130,850
FEDC FORM NO 1 (FD 12 00)	

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original			Report Da, Yr)	Year of Repor
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission			/2003	Dec 31, 2003
NOTES TO FINANC	IAL STATEMENTS (Continued)				
Senior notes at a weighted					
average rate of 6.24%, due on					
dates ranging from October 30, 2004					
to October 30, 2018		718	,000	54	9,100
Commercial paper at a weighted average					
rate of 1.12%, supported by revolving credit agreements					
Term credit agreements at a weighted		72	,500	15	1,900
average rate of 5.14%, due on dates					
ranging from July 15, 2004					
to December 1, 2013		14	,286		7,873
Pollution control note obligation,					•
6.20%, due March 1, 2004		1	,500	2	2,000
Discount Total long-term debt		0.65	(40)		(82)
Less current maturities			,096		1,641
Net long-term debt	\$,646 ,450		2,083 9,558
-	*		, 100	÷ 013	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

The amounts of scheduled long-term debt maturities for the five years and thereafter following December 31, 2003, aggregate \$27.6 million in 2004; \$70.9 million in 2005; \$173.2 million in 2006; \$105.8 million in 2007; \$160.2 million in 2008 and \$429.4 million thereafter.

Certain debt instruments of the Company and its subsidiaries, including those discussed below, contain restrictive covenants, all of which the Company and its subsidiaries were in compliance with at December 31, 2003.

MDU Resources Group, Inc.

MDU Resources has a revolving credit agreement with various banks totaling \$90 million at December 31, 2003. There were no amounts outstanding under the credit agreement at December 31, 2003 and 2002. The credit agreement supports MDU Resources' \$75 million commercial paper program. Under the MDU Resources' commercial paper program, \$40 million was outstanding at December 31, 2003, which was classified as long-term debt, and \$58.0 million was outstanding at December 31, 2002, of which \$8.0 million was classified as short-term borrowings and \$50.0 million was classified as long-term debt. As discussed in Note 7, the commercial paper borrowings classified as short term were supported by short-term bank lines of credit. The commercial paper borrowings classified as long-term debt are intended to be refinanced on a long-term basis through continued MDU Resources commercial paper borrowings and as further supported by the credit agreement, which expires on July 18, 2006.

In order to borrow under the MDU Resources credit agreement, MDU Resources must be in compliance with the applicable covenants and certain other conditions. The significant covenants include maximum leverage ratios, minimum interest coverage ratio, limitation on sale of assets and limitation on investments. MDU Resources was in compliance with these covenants and met the required conditions at December 31, 2003.

There are no credit facilities that contain cross-default provisions between MDU Resources and any of its subsidiaries.

MDU Resources' issuance of first mortgage debt is subject to certain restrictions imposed under the terms and conditions of its Indenture of Mortgage. Generally, those restrictions require MDU Resources to pledge \$1.43 of unfunded property to the trustee for each dollar of indebtedness incurred under the Indenture and that annual earnings (pretax and before interest charges), as defined in the Indenture, equal at least two times its annualized first mortgage bond interest costs. Under the more restrictive of the two tests, as of December 31, 2003, MDU Resources could have issued approximately \$313 million of additional first mortgage bonds.

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
	(1) X An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
LN	OTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

Approximately \$421.2 million of the Company's net electric and natural gas distribution properties at December 31, 2003, with certain exceptions, are subject to the lien of the Indenture of Mortgage dated May 1, 1939, as supplemented, amended and restated, from the Company to The Bank of New York and Douglas J. MacInnes, successor trustee, and are subject to the junior lien of the Indenture dated as of December 15, 2003, as supplemented, from the Company to The Bank of New York, as trustee.

Centennial Energy Holdings, Inc.

Centennial Energy Holdings, Inc. (Centennial) has two revolving credit agreements with various banks that support \$275 million of Centennial's \$350 million commercial paper program. There were no outstanding borrowings under the Centennial credit agreements at December 31, 2003 or 2002. Under the Centennial commercial paper program, \$32.5 million and \$101.9 million were outstanding at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Centennial commercial paper borrowings are classified as long-term debt as Centennial intends to refinance these borrowings on a long-term basis through continued Centennial commercial paper borrowings and as further supported by the Centennial credit agreements. The Centennial credit agreements are for \$137.5 million each. One of these agreements expires on September 3, 2004, and allows for subsequent borrowings up to a term of one year. The other agreement expires on September 5, 2006. Centennial intends to negotiate the extension or replacement of these agreements prior to their maturities.

Centennial has an uncommitted long-term master shelf agreement that allows for borrowings of up to \$400 million. Under the terms of the master shelf agreement, \$384.0 million was outstanding at December 31, 2003, and \$360.6 million was outstanding at December 31, 2002. The amount outstanding under the uncommitted long-term master shelf agreement is included in senior notes in the preceding long-term debt table.

In order to borrow under Centennial's credit agreements and the Centennial uncommitted long-term master shelf agreement, Centennial and certain of its subsidiaries must be in compliance with the applicable covenants and certain other conditions. The significant covenants include maximum capitalization ratios, minimum interest coverage ratios, minimum consolidated net worth, limitation on priority debt, limitation on sale of assets and limitation on loans and investments. Centennial and such subsidiaries were in compliance with these covenants and met the required conditions at December 31, 2003.

Certain of Centennial's financing agreements contain cross-default provisions. These provisions state that if Centennial or any subsidiary of Centennial fails to make any payment with respect to any indebtedness or contingent obligation, in excess of a specified amount, under any agreement that causes such indebtedness to be due prior to its stated maturity or the contingent obligation to become payable, the applicable agreements, will be in default. Certain of Centennial's financing agreements and Centennial's practice limit the amount of subsidiary indebtedness.

Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company Williston Basin), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, has an uncommitted long-term master shelf agreement that allows for borrowings of up to \$100 million. Under the terms of the master shelf agreement, \$55.0 million and \$30.0 million was outstanding at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

In order to borrow under Williston Basin's uncommitted long-term master shelf agreement, it must be in compliance with the applicable covenants and certain other conditions. The significant covenants include limitation on consolidated indebtedness, limitation on priority debt, limitation on sale of assets and limitation on investments. Williston Basin was in compliance with these covenants and met the required conditions at December 31, 2003.

NOTE 9
Asset Retirement Obligations

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original	Date of Report	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
N	OTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

The Company adopted SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003, as discussed in Note 1. The Company recorded obligations related to the plugging and abandonment of natural gas and oil wells, decommissioning of certain electric generating facilities, reclamation of certain aggregate properties and certain other obligations associated with leased properties. Removal costs associated with certain natural gas distribution, transmission, storage and gathering facilities have not been recognized as these facilities have been determined to have indeterminate useful lives.

Upon adoption of SFAS No. 143, the Company recorded an additional discounted liability of \$22.5 million and a regulatory asset of \$493,000, increased net property, plant and equipment by \$9.6 million and recognized a one-time cumulative effect charge of \$7.6 million (net of deferred income tax benefits of \$4.8 million). The Company believes that any expenses under SFAS No. 143 as they relate to regulated operations will be recovered in rates over time and accordingly, deferred such expenses as a regulatory asset upon adoption. The Company will continue to defer those SFAS No. 143 expenses that it believes will be recovered in rates over time. In addition to the \$22.5 million liability recorded upon the adoption of SFAS No. 143, the Company had previously recorded a \$7.5 million liability related to retirement obligations.

A reconciliation of the Company's liability for the year ended December 31 was as follows:

Balance at January 1, 2003
Liabilities incurred
Liabilities acquired
Liabilities settled
Liabilities settled
Accretion expense
Revisions in estimates
Balance at December 31, 2003

(In thousands)
\$29,997

2,405

1,803

(1,555)

1,906

77

\$34,633

This liability is included in other liabilities. If SFAS No. 143 had been in effect during 2002 and 2001, the Company's liability would have been approximately \$30.0 million at December 31, 2002, and \$27.0 million at December 31, 2001.

The fair value of assets that are legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2003, was \$5.1 million.

NOTE 10 Preferred Stocks Preferred stocks at December 31 were as follows:

> 2003 2002 (Dollars in thousands)

Authorized:

Preferred --

500,000 shares, cumulative,

par value \$100, issuable in series

Preferred stock A --

1,000,000 shares, cumulative, without par

value, issuable in series (none outstanding)

Preference --

500,000 shares, cumulative, without par

value, issuable in series (none outstanding)

Outstanding:

Subject to mandatory redemption --

Preferred --

5.10% Series - 13,000 shares in 2002 \$ ---

Other preferred stock --

4.50% Series -- 100,000 shares 10,000

4.70% Series -- 50,000 shares

5,000 Page 123.20

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

1,300

10,000

5,000

Name of Respondent MDU Resources Group, Inc.	This Report is: (1) X An Original (2) _ A Resubmission	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003		Year of Report	
NOTES TO	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)			7 2000	
Total preferred stocks		15,000 15,000		15,000 16,300	
Less sinking fund requirements Net preferred stocks	\$ 5	 15,000	\$:	100 16,200	

As discussed in Note 1, the Company upon adoption of SFAS No. 150 in 2003, began reporting its preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption as a liability. Restatement of prior year information is not permitted under SFAS No. 150.

The 4.50% Series and 4.70% Series preferred stocks outstanding are subject to redemption, in whole or in part, at the option of the Company with certain limitations on 30 days notice on any quarterly dividend date at a redemption price, plus accrued dividends, of \$105 and \$102, respectively.

In the event of a voluntary or involuntary liquidation, all preferred stock series holders are entitled to \$100 per share, plus accrued dividends.

The affirmative vote of two-thirds of a series of the Company's outstanding preferred stock is necessary for amendments to the Company's charter or by-laws that adversely affect that series; creation of or increase in the amount of authorized stock ranking senior to that series (or an affirmative majority vote where the authorization relates to a new class of stock that ranks on parity with such series); a voluntary liquidation or sale of substantially all of the Company's assets; a merger or consolidation, with certain exceptions; or the partial retirement of that series of preferred stock when all dividends on that series of preferred stock have not been paid. The consent of the holders of a particular series is not required for such corporate actions if the equivalent vote of all outstanding series of preferred stock voting together has consented to the given action and no particular series is affected differently than any other series.

Subject to the foregoing, the holders of common stock exclusively possess all voting power. However, if cumulative dividends on preferred stock are in arrears, in whole or in part, for one year the holders of preferred stock would obtain the right to one vote per share until all dividends in arrears have been paid and current dividends have been declared and set aside.

NOTE 11

Common Stock

On August 14, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors approved a three-for-two common stock split to be effected in the form of a 50 percent common stock dividend. The additional shares of common stock were distributed on October 29, 2003, to common stockholders of record on October 10, 2003. Common stock information appearing in the accompanying consolidated financial statements has been restated to give retroactive effect to the stock split. Additionally, preference share purchase rights have been appropriately adjusted to reflect the effects of the split.

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on April 23, 2002, the Company's common stockholders approved an amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation increasing the authorized number of common shares from 150 million shares to 250 million shares with a par value of \$1.00 per share.

The Company's Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan (Stock Purchase Plan) provides interested investors the opportunity to make optional cash investments and to reinvest all or a percentage of their cash dividends in shares of the Company's common stock. The Company's 401(k) Retirement Plan (K-Plan) is partially funded with the Company's common stock. Since January 1, 2001, the Stock Purchase Plan and K-Plan, with respect to Company stock, have been funded by the purchase of shares of common stock on the open market. At December 31, 2003, there were 12.1 million shares of common stock reserved for original issuance under the Stock Purchase Plan and K-Plan.

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Beneat	Vacant D
		Date of Report	Year of Report
	│(1) <u>X</u> An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
No	OTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

In November 1998, the Company's Board of Directors declared, pursuant to a stockholders' rights plan, a dividend of one preference share purchase right (right) for each outstanding share of the Company's common stock. Each right becomes exercisable, upon the occurrence of certain events, for two-thirds of one one-thousandth of a share of Series B Preference Stock of the Company, without par value, at an exercise price of \$125, subject to certain adjustments. The rights are currently not exercisable and will be exercisable only if a person or group (acquiring person) either acquires ownership of 15 percent or more of the Company's common stock or commences a tender or exchange offer that would result in ownership of 15 percent or more. In the event the Company is acquired in a merger or other business combination transaction or 50 percent or more of its consolidated assets or earnings power are sold, each right entitles the holder to receive, upon the exercise thereof at the then current exercise price of the right multiplied by the number of two-thirds of one one-thousandth of a Series B Preference Stock for which a right is then exercisable, in accordance with the terms of the rights agreement, such number of shares of common stock of the acquiring person having a market value of twice the then current exercise price of the right. The rights, which expire on December 31, 2008, are redeemable in whole, but not in part, for a price of \$.00667 per right, at the Company's option at any time until any acquiring person has acquired 15 percent or more of the Company's common stock.

NOTE 12

Stock-based Compensation

The Company has stock option plans for directors, key employees and employees. In 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 and began expensing the fair market value of stock options for all awards granted on or after January 1, 2003. As permitted by SFAS No. 148, the Company accounts for stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003, under APB Opinion No. 25.

For a discussion of the adoption of SFAS No. 123 and the effect on earnings and earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, as if the Company had applied SFAS No. 123, and recognized compensation expense for all outstanding and unvested stock options based on the fair value at the date of grant, see Note 1.

Options granted to key employees automatically vest after nine years, but the plan provides for accelerated vesting based on the attainment of certain performance goals or upon a change in control of the Company, and expire 10 years after the date of grant. Options granted to directors and employees vest at date of grant and three years after date of grant, respectively, and expire 10 years after the date of grant.

A summary of the status of the stock option plans at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, and changes during the years then ended was as follows:

	Shares	2003 Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	2002 Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	2001 Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance at						
beginning of year	4,861,268	\$18.58	5,208,311	\$18.60	1,837,439	\$13.74
Granted	27,015	17.29	160,605	19.15	4,039,680	20.09
Forfeited	(188,486)	20.05	(453,840)	19.77	(111,423)	
Exercised	(517,341)	13.88	(53,808)	12.20	(557,385)	13.49
Balance at end			•		(33,,303,	13.49
of year Exercisable at	4,182,456	19.09	4,861,268	18.58	5,208,311	18.60
end of year	611,404	\$15.06	1,135,050	\$14.56	1,155,213	\$14.27

Summarized information about stock options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2003, was as follows:

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Voor of Bonort		
•	(1) X An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	real of Report		
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003		
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)					

	Opti	ons Outstandin	-	Options	Exercisable
		Remaining Contractual	Weighted Average		Weighted
Range of	Number	Life	Exercise	Number	Average
Exercisable Prices	Outstanding	in Years	Price	Exercisable	
\$ 8.22 - 13.00	23,451	2.5	\$ 9.77	23,45	
13.01 - 17.00	647,085	4.3	14.13	511,45	•
17.01 - 21.00	3,302,115	7.2	19.77	36,000	19.54
21.01 - 25.70	209,805	7.2	24.56	40,500	25.70
Balance at end of year	4,182,456	6.7	19.09	611,404	15.06

The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The weighted average fair value of the options granted and the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of options were as follows:

Woighted arrange fair walks as	2003	2002	2001
Weighted average fair value of options at grant date Weighted average risk-free	\$4.67	\$5.38	\$4.92
interest rate Weighted average expected	3.91%	5.14%	5.19%
price volatility Weighted average expected	32.28%	30.80%	26.05%
dividend yield Expected life in years	3.43% 7	3.43% 7	3.53% 7

In addition, the Company granted restricted stock awards under a long-term incentive plan and deferred compensation agreements totaling 525,588 shares in 2001. The restricted stock awards granted vest to the participants at various times ranging from two years to nine years from date of issuance, but certain grants may vest early based upon the attainment of certain performance goals or upon a change in control of the Company. The weighted average grant date fair value of the restricted stock grant in 2001 was \$21.03. The Company also has granted stock awards totaling 31,855 shares, 21,390 shares and 19,009 shares in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, under a nonemployee director stock compensation plan. The weighted average grant date fair value of the stock grants was \$21.40, \$19.20 and \$20.09, in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Nonemployee directors may receive shares of common stock instead of cash in payment for directors' fees under the nonemployee director stock compensation plan. Compensation expense recognized for restricted stock grants and stock grants was \$4.8 million, \$5.2 million and \$4.9 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

In 2003, key employees of the Company were awarded performance share awards. Entitlement to performance shares is based on the Company's total shareholder return over designated performance periods as measured against a selected peer group. Target grants of performance shares were made for the following performance periods:

Grant Date	Performance Period	of Shares
February 2003 February 2003	2003-2004 2003-2005	57,655 57,655
=		37,033

Participants may earn additional performance shares if the Company's total shareholder return exceeds that of the selected peer group. The final value of the performance units may vary according to the number of shares of Company stock that are ultimately granted based on the performance criteria. Compensation expense recognized for the performance share awards for the year ended December 31, 2003, was \$879,000.

The Company is authorized to grant options, restricted stock and stock for up to 14.3 million shares of common stock and has granted options, restricted stock and stock on 6.2

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Page 123,23

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

million shares through December 31, 2003.

NOTE 13 Income Taxes

Income tax expense for the years ended December 31 was as follows:

Journal	JI Was as	TOTTOWS:	
	2003	2002	2001
		(In thousands)	
Current:		, === =================================	
Federal	\$ 26,313	\$ 46,389 \$	66,211
State	7,408	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	•	9,082	11,160
Foreign	264		(44)
	33,985	55,471	77,327
Deferred:	,	,	, , , , , , , ,
Income taxes			
Federal	55,660	26,373	16,972
State	•	•	•
	9,861	4,632	4,773
Foreign	(338)	338	
Investment tax credit	(596)	(584)	(731)
	64,587	• • •	
Total income tax expense		30,759	21,014
Total Income tax expense	\$ 98,572	\$ 86,230 \$	98,341

Components of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities recognized at December 31 were as follows:

		2003		2002	
		(In	tho	usands)	
Deferred tax assets:				,	
Regulatory matters	Ş	37,072	\$	34,792	
Accrued pension costs		12,122		12,112	
Deferred compensation		9,090		6,395	
Asset retirement obligations		7,017		263	
Bad debts		3,188		2,798	
Deferred investment tax credit		954		1,185	
Other		21,269		18,444	
Total deferred tax assets		90,712		75,989	
Deferred tax liabilities:				- /	
Depreciation and basis differences					
on property, plant and equipment		406,589		354,842	
Basis differences on natural gas		,		331,012	
and oil producing properties		105,826		70,464	
Regulatory matters		10,663		5,491	
Other		9,309		10,412	
Total deferred tax liabilities		532,387		441,209	
Net deferred income tax liability		\$ (441 675)		\$ (365 220)	
As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, no valuation allowance the above deferred tax assets.	has	been reco	rded	associated	with

The following table reconciles the change in the net deferred income tax liability from December 31, 2002, to December 31, 2003, to deferred income tax expense:

Net change in deferred income tax	(In thousands)
liability from the preceding table Deferred taxes associated with acquisitions Deferred taxes associated with the cumulative effect of	\$ 76,455 (15,056)
accounting change Deferred taxes associated with other comprehensive income Other Deferred income tax expense for the period	4,821 (809) (824) \$ 64.587

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) <u>X</u> An Original (2) A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr)	Dec 31, 2003
	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		20001,2000

Total income tax expense differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before taxes. The reasons for this difference were as follows:

Years ended December 31,	2003		2002		2001		
	Amount	₹	Amount	*	Amount	€	
		(Dol	lars in t	housand	s)		
Computed tax at federal					•		
statutory rate	\$ 98,520	35.0 \$	82,136	35.0	\$ 88,966	35.0	
Increases (reductions)			•		, ,,,,,,,	33.0	
resulting from:							
State income taxes,							
net of federal							
income tax benefit	11,857	4.2	10,279	4.4	11,311	4.5	
Investment tax credit			, -		/	4.5	
amortization	(596)	(.2)	(584)	(.3)	(731)	(.3)	
Depletion allowance	(3,117)	(1.1)	(2,200) ((1,820)	(.7)	
Renewable electricity			, , = , ,	,	(1,020)	(- /)	
production credit	(3,395)	(1.2)					
Other items	(4,697)	(1.7)	(3,401)	(1.5)	615	. 2	
Total income tax expense	\$ 98,572	35.0 \$		36.7	\$ 98,341	38.7	
		-			, , , ,		

The Company considers earnings from its foreign equity method investment in a natural gas-fired electric generating facility in Brazil to be reinvested indefinitely outside of the United States and, accordingly, no U.S. deferred income taxes are recorded with respect to such earnings. Should the earnings be remitted as dividends, the Company may be subject to additional U.S. taxes, net of allowable foreign tax credits.

NOTE 14 Business Segment Data

The Company's reportable segments are those that are based on the Company's method of internal reporting, which generally segregates the strategic business units due to differences in products, services and regulation. The Company has six reportable segments consisting of electric, natural gas distribution, utility services, pipeline and energy services, natural gas and oil production, and construction materials and mining. During the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company separated independent power production and other operations from its reportable segments. The independent power production and other operations do not individually meet the criteria to be considered a reportable segment. Substantially all of the operations of independent power production and other began in 2002; therefore, financial information for years prior to 2002 has not been presented.

The vast majority of the Company's operations are located within the United States. Company also has investments in foreign countries, which largely consist of an investment in a natural gas-fired electric generating facility in Brazil, as discussed in Note 2. The electric segment generates, transmits and distributes electricity, and the natural gas distribution segment distributes natural gas. These operations also supply related value-added products and services in the northern Great Plains. The utility services segment specializes in electrical line construction, pipeline construction, inside electrical wiring and cabling and the manufacture and distribution of specialty equipment. The pipeline and energy services segment provides natural gas transportation, underground storage and gathering services through regulated and nonregulated pipeline systems primarily in the Rocky Mountain and northern Great Plains regions of the United States. The pipeline and energy services segment also provides energy-related management services, including cable and pipeline magnetization and locating. The natural gas and oil production segment is engaged in natural gas and oil acquisition, exploration and production activities, primarily in the Rocky Mountain region of the United States and in and around the Gulf of Mexico. The construction materials and mining segment mines aggregates and markets crushed stone, sand, gravel and related construction materials, including ready-mixed concrete, cement, asphalt and other value-added products, as well as performs integrated construction services, in the central and western United States and in

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) <u>X</u> An Original (2) A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

the states of Alaska and Hawaii. The independent power production and other operations own electric generating facilities in the United States and have an investment in an electric generating facility in Brazil. Electric capacity and energy produced at these facilities are primarily sold under long-term contracts to nonaffiliated entities. These operations also include investments in opportunities that are not directly being pursued by the Company's other businesses.

In 2001, the Company sold its coal operations to Westmoreland Coal Company for \$28.2 million in cash and recorded a gain of \$10.3 million (\$6.2 million after tax) included in other income — net. The sale of the Company's coal operations included active coal mines in North Dakota and Montana, coal sales agreements, reserves and mining equipment, and certain development rights at the Company's former Gascoyne Mine site in North Dakota. The Company retained ownership of lignite deposits and leases at its former Gascoyne Mine site in North Dakota, which were not part of the sale of the coal operations. The Gascoyne Mine site was closed in 1995 due to the cancellation of the coal sale contract. These lignite deposits are currently not being mined and are not associated with an operating mine. These lignite deposits are of a high moisture content and it is not economical to mine and ship the lignite to other distant markets. However, should a power plant be constructed near the area, the Company may have the opportunity to participate in supplying lignite to fuel a plant. As of December 31, 2003, Knife River had under ownership or lease, deposits of approximately 26.9 million tons of recoverable lignite coal.

The information below follows the same accounting policies as described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Information on the Company's businesses as of December 31 and for the years then ended was as follows:

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)	Pag	ge 123.26				
				9,871		8,395
Utility services		10,044 10,353		9,940		9,337
Natural gas distribution	\$	20,150	\$	19,537	\$	19,488
Electric		00				
Depreciation, depletion and amortization:						
Depresiation desletion 1					,	
operating revenues	\$		\$		\$	
Total intersegment		(222,200)		(114,243)		(113,188)
Intersegment eliminations		(191,105)		(114,249)		(112 100)
and other		2,728		3,778		
Independent power production						
Construction materials and mining		124,077		55,437		61,178
Natural gas and oil production		64,300		55,034		52,006
Pipeline and energy services						4
Natural gas distribution Utility services						
	\$		\$		\$	
Intersegment operating revenues: Electric	_					
Total Control of the				•	7	-,,032
Total external operating revenues	\$	2,352,189	\$	2,031,537	\$	2,223,632
		1,711,127		1,572,128		1,320,298
and other		32,261		2,998		
Independent power production		_,,,		702,712		806,899(a)
Construction materials and mining		1,104,408		148,158 962,312		148,653
Natural gas and oil production		434,177 140,281		458,660		364,746
Utility services		641,062		459,409		903,334
ripeline and energy services		187,892		110,224		479,108
Pipeline and energy services		274,608		186,569		255,389
Electric Natural gas distribution	\$	/	\$, +	\$	168,837
External operating revenues:						
				(In thousand	ls)	2001
-		2003		2002		2001
becember 31 and for the years then ended	Wā	as as follows	:			

Name of Respondent		This Report is)	Date of F	Report	Year of Repor
MDU Resources Group, Inc.		(1) <u>X</u> An Origii (2) A Resub		ion	(Mo, Da 12/31/2	· 1	Doc 21, 2002
NOTES TO FI	NANCIA	L STATEMENTS			120172	003	Dec 31, 2003
Pipeline and energy services		15 016		14 04		_	
Natural gas and oil production		15,016 61,019		14,84			4,341
Construction materials and mining		63,601		48,71 54,33			1,690
Independent power production and other		8,154		71		40	5,666
Total depreciation, depletion		0,131		/ 1	. 9		
and amortization	\$	188,337	\$	157,96	1 \$	139	9,917
Interest expense:							
Electric	\$	8,013	\$	7,62	1 \$	Ε	3,531
Natural gas distribution		3,936		4,36	•		3,727
Utility services		3,668		3,56	8		3,807
Pipeline and energy services		7,952		7,67	0		,136
Natural gas and oil production		4,767		2,46	4		.,359
Construction materials and mining Independent power production		18,747		18,42	2		,339
and other		5,865		1,12	2		
Intersegment eliminations		(154)		(21	6)		
Total interest expense	\$	52,794	\$	45,01	5 \$	45	,899
		2003		200			2001
Income taxes:			(-	In thousa	ands)		
Electric	\$	0.060	_	0.50			
Natural gas distribution	٦	9,862	\$	9,50			,511
Utility services		1,823 3,905		(1,32			,067
Pipeline and energy services				4,78			,131
Natural gas and oil production		11,188		12,46			,633
Construction materials and mining		42,993		30,60			,486
Independent power production and other		28,168		29,41		25	,513
Total income taxes	\$	633 98,572	\$	79: 86,23		98	,341
Cumulative effect of accounting							
change (Note 9):							
Electric	\$		\$		- \$		
Natural gas distribution	·		~		-		
Utility services				-	·		
Pipeline and energy services					_		
Natural gas and oil production		(7,740)			_		
Construction materials and mining		151			-		
Independent power production							
and other					-		
otal cumulative effect of							
accounting change	\$	(7,589)	\$		- \$		
Carnings on common stock:							
Electric	\$	16,950	\$	15,780) \$	18.	,717
Natural gas distribution		3,869		3,587	7		677
Utility services		6,170		6,371		12,	,910
Pipeline and energy services		18,158		19,097			,406
Natural gas and oil production		63,027		53,192	2		178
Construction materials and mining Independent power production		54,412		48,702			,199
and other		12,021		959			
otal earnings on common stock	\$	174,607	\$	147,688	\$ \$	155,	087
apital expenditures:							
Electric	\$	28,537	\$	27,795	\$	14,	373
ERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)	D	123.27					

Name of Respondent		This Report is:				Report	Year of Report
		(1) X An Original			(Mo, 1	Da, Yr)	•
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission		on	12/3	1/2003	Dec 31, 2003	
NOTES TO FI	NANCIA	L STATEMENTS	(Continu	ued)			
Natural gas distribution		15 670		11 04		_	
Utility services		15,672		11,04			4,685
Pipeline and energy services		7,820 93,004		17,24			0,232
Natural gas and oil production		101,698		21,44			1,054
Construction materials and mining		128,487		136,42			8,719
Independent power production				106,89		17	0,585
and other		112,858		95,74	8		***
Net proceeds from sale or		(
disposition of property		(14,439)		(16,21			1,641)
Total net capital expenditures	\$	473,637	\$	400,37	8	\$ 38	8,007
		2003		200	2		2001
-1				(In thous	sands)	
Identifiable assets:							
Electric(b)	\$	327,899	\$	322,47	5	\$ 30	1,982
Natural gas distribution(b)		234,948		208,50		21	7,402
Utility services		221,824		230,88	8	23	9,069
Pipeline and energy services		405,904		312,85	8	354	4,336
Natural gas and oil production		602,389		554,42	0	476	6,105
Construction materials and mining		1,248,607		1,137,69	7	1,03	5,929
Independent power production							
and other		263,941		148,77			
Corporate assets(c)		75,080		81,31			1,155
Total identifiable assets	\$	3,380,592	\$	2,996,92	1	\$ 2,675	5,978
Property, plant and equipment:							
Electric(b)	\$	639,893	\$	619,23	0 ;	\$ 597	7,080
Natural gas distribution(b)		252,591		244,93	0		5,771
Utility services		76,871		70,66	0		9,190
Pipeline and energy services		461,793		372,42	0		9,775
Natural gas and oil production		871,357		755,78	8		,826
Construction materials and mining		893,980		804,25			L,410
Independent power production				·			-,
and other		201,134		94,52	5		
Less accumulated depreciation,				•			
depletion and amortization		1,175,326	;	1,019,43	3	889	9,816
Net property, plant and equipment	\$	2,222,293	\$	1,942,370	o :	1 714	1 236
(a) In accordance with the provision o	f SFA	S No. 71,	inter	company	coal	sales c	f \$5,016

in 2001 were not eliminated.

(b) Includes allocations of common utility property.

(c) Corporate assets consist of assets not directly assignable to a business (i.e., cash and cash equivalents, certain accounts receivable and other miscellaneous current and deferred assets).

Earnings from electric, natural gas distribution and pipeline and energy services are substantially all from regulated operations. Earnings from utility services, natural gas and oil production, construction materials and mining, and independent power production and other are all from nonregulated operations. Capital expenditures for 2003, 2002 and 2001, related to acquisitions, in the preceding table included the following noncash transactions: issuance of the Company's equity securities of \$42.4 million, \$47.2 million and \$57.4 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

NOTE 15

Acquisitions

In 2003, the Company acquired a number of businesses, none of which was individually material, including construction materials and mining businesses in Montana, North Dakota and Texas and a wind-powered electric generation facility in California. The total purchase consideration for these businesses and adjustments with respect to certain other acquisitions acquired in 2002, including the Company's common stock and cash, was \$175.0

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report						
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) X An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)	,						
MDO Resources Gloup, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003						
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)									

million.

In 2002, the Company acquired a number of businesses, none of which was individually material, including utility services companies in California and Ohio, construction materials and mining businesses in Minnesota and Montana, an energy development company in Montana and natural gas-fired electric generating facilities in Colorado. The total purchase consideration for these businesses, consisting of the Company's common stock and cash, was \$139.8 million.

In 2001, the Company acquired a number of businesses, none of which was individually material, including construction materials and mining businesses in Hawaii, Minnesota and Oregon; utility services businesses based in Missouri and Oregon; and an energy services company specializing in cable and pipeline locating and tracking systems. The total purchase consideration for these businesses, consisting of the Company's common stock and cash, was \$170.1 million.

On April 1, 2000, Fidelity Exploration & Production Company (Fidelity), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, purchased substantially all of the assets of Preston Reynolds & Co., Inc. (Preston), a coalbed natural gas development operation based in Colorado with related oil and gas leases and properties in Montana and Wyoming. Pursuant to the asset purchase and sale agreement, Preston could, but was not obligated to purchase, acquire and own an undivided 25 percent working interest (Seller's Option Interest) in certain oil and gas leases or properties acquired and/or generated by Fidelity. Fidelity had the right, but not the obligation, to purchase Seller's Option Interest from Preston for an amount as specified in the agreement. On July 10, 2002, Fidelity purchased the Seller's Option Interest.

The above acquisitions were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities assumed have been preliminarily recorded at their respective fair values as of the date of acquisition. Final fair market values are pending the completion of the review of the relevant assets, liabilities and issues identified as of the acquisition date on certain of the above acquisitions made in 2003. The results of operations of the acquired businesses are included in the financial statements since the date of each acquisition. Pro forma financial amounts reflecting the effects of the above acquisitions are not presented, as such acquisitions were not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 16

Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has noncontributory defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans for certain eligible employees. The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for all of its pension and postretirement benefit plans. These financial statements and this Note do not reflect the effects of the 2003 Medicare Act on the postretirement benefit plans. For more information on the 2003 Medicare Act, see new accounting standards in Note 1. Changes in benefit obligation and plan assets for the years ended December 31 and amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31 were as follows:

			0	ther
		Pension enefits		etirement nefits
	2003	2002	2003	2002
		(In th	lousands)	
Change in benefit obligation:			•	
Benefit obligation at				
beginning of year	\$224,766	\$204,046	\$ 74,917	\$67,019
Service cost	5,897	5,135	1,857	1,460
Interest cost	15,211	14,877	5,281	4,915
Plan participants' contributions			977	834
Amendments	210	372	754	
FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)	Page 123.29			

Name of Respondent	This Repor	t is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
	(1) <u>X</u> An O	riginal	(Mo, Da, Yr)	
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) A Re	submission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
NOTES TO F	INANCIAL STATEMEN	TS (Continued)		
2.6				
Actuarial loss	27,701	12,324	10,338	5,678
Benefits paid	(12,450)	(11,988)	(5,743)	(4,989)
Benefit obligation at				
end of year	261,335	224,766	88,381	74,917
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at				
beginning of year	189,143	224,667	40,889	45,175
Actual gain (loss) on plan assets	43,087	(26,543)	6,148	(4,196)
Employer contribution	3,263	3,007	4,963	4,065
Plan participants' contributions			977	834
Benefits paid	(12,450)	(11,988)	(5,743)	(4,989)
Fair value of plan assets at end	. ,,	(==, = 0 0 ,	(3,,43)	(4, 505)
of year	223,043	189,143	47,234	40,889
Funded status - over (under)	(38,292)	(35,623)	(41,147)	(34,028)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	41,422	35,662	11,862	3,484
Unrecognized prior service cost	8,556	9,501	706	3,404
Unrecognized net transition	•	-,	, 00	-
obligation (asset)	(297)	(1,247)	19,362	21,513
Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	\$ 11,389	\$ 8,293	•	\$ (9,031)
	,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7 (7)==//	, (), ()1)
Amounts recognized in the				
Consolidated Balance Sheets				
at December 31:				
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 19,671	\$ 16,175	\$ 614 \$	780
Accrued benefit liability	(8,282)	(7,882)	(9,831)	(9,811)
Additional minimum liability		(4,905)	(2,031)	(),011)
Intangible asset		533		
Accumulated other		223		
comprehensive loss		4,372		
Net amount recognized	\$ 11,389	\$ 8,293	\$ (9,217) \$	5 (9,031)
, and the second	+,000	y 0,200	4 (3,411) \$	(3,031)

Employer contributions and benefits paid in the above table include only those amounts contributed directly to, or paid directly from, plan assets.

The accumulated benefit obligation for the defined benefit pension plans reflected above was \$212.0 million and \$186.4 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets at December 31, 2003, were as follows:

		2003	2002
Designated benefit abliqueties		(In thousa	ands)
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 3	8,845	\$32,768
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 2	8,840	\$24,656
Fair value of plan assets	\$ 2	4,508	\$20,615

Components of net periodic benefit cost (income) for the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans were as follows:

	Pension Benefits			Other Postretirement				
Years ended December 31,	2003	2002	2001 (In	2003 thousands)	Benefits 2002	2001		
Components of net periodic benefit cost:			(===	ono abanas,				
Service cost Interest cost	\$ 5,897 15,211	\$ 5,135 14,877	\$ 4,716 14,498	\$ 1,857 5,281	\$ 1,460 4,915	\$ 1,376 4,691		
FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)		Page 123.3	10					

Page 23dd

Name of Respondent			Report is: An Original	Date	of Report o, Da, Yr)	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.		(2) _ A Resubmission				Dec 31, 2003
	NOTES TO F	INANCIAL STATE	EMENTS (Continued	d)		
Expected return on assets Amortization of prior	(20,730)	(21,110)	(20,672)	(3,933)	(3,843	3) (3,619)
service cost Recognized net actuarial	1,156	1,148	1,247	48		
gain Settlement (gain) loss Amortization of net	(417)	(1,855)	(2,687) (884)	(255)	(566	(930) 15
transition obligation (asset) Net periodic benefit cost	(950)	(947)	(965)	2,151	2,151	2,227
(income) Less amount capitalized Net periodic benefit cost	167 14	(2,752) (352)	(4,747) (391)	5,149 601	4,117 404	- /
(income)	\$ 153	\$ (2,400)	\$(4,356)	\$ 4,548	\$ 3,713	\$ 3,431

Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31 were as follows:

	Pens Bene:		Postret Benef	tirement
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Discount rate	6.00%	6.75%	6.00%	6.75%
Rate of compensation increase	4.70%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%

Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

	Pens Bene:			er irement fits
Discount rate Expected return on plan assets Rate of compensation increase	2003	2002	2003	2002
	6.75%	7.25%	6.75%	7.25%
	8.50%	8.50%	7.50%	7.50%
	4.50%	5.00%	4.50%	5.00%

The expected rate of return on plan assets is based on the targeted asset allocation of 70 percent equity securities and 30 percent fixed income securities and the expected rate of return from these asset categories. The expected return on plan assets for other postretirement benefits reflects insurance-related investment costs.

Health care rate assumptions for the Company's other postretirement benefit plans as of December 31 were as follows:

7. 7.1	2003	2002
Health care trend rate assumed for next year	6.0%-9.5%	6.0%-11.0%
Health care cost trend rate - ultimate	5.0%-6.0%	5.0%-6.0%
Year in which ultimate trend rate achieved		1999-2011

The Company's other postretirement benefit plans include health care and life insurance benefits for certain employees. The plans underlying these benefits may require contributions by the employee depending on such employee's age and years of service at retirement or the date of retirement. The accounting for the health care plans anticipates future cost-sharing changes that are consistent with the Company's expressed intent to generally increase retiree contributions each year by the excess of the expected health care cost trend rate over 6 percent.

Assumed health care cost trend rates may have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one percentage point change in the assumed health care cost trend rates would have had the following effects at December 31, 2003:

1	Percentage	1 Percentage
		T FETCEIILAUE

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88) Page 123.31

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) <u>X</u> An Original (2) A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
No.	OTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

Effect on total of service	Point Incr		Point thousands)	De	ecrease
and interest cost components Effect on postretirement benefit	\$	250		\$	(972)
obligation	\$	3,479			\$(9,554)

The Company's defined benefit pension plans asset allocation at December 31, 2003 and 2002, and weighted average targeted asset allocations at December 31, 2003, were as follows:

	Percentage of Plan Assets	Weighted Average Targeted Asset Allocation Percentage
Asset Category	2003 2002	2003
Equity securities Fixed income securities Other	72% 56% 25 40 3 4	70% 30*
Total *Includes target for both fixe	100% 100% ed income securities and other	100%

The Company's pension assets are managed by nine outside investment managers. The Company's other postretirement assets are managed by one outside investment manager. Company's investment policy with respect to pension and other postretirement assets is to make investments solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plans and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits accrued and defraying the reasonable expenses of administration. The Company strives to maintain investment diversification to assist in minimizing the risk of large losses. The Company's policy guidelines allow for investment of funds in cash equivalents, fixed income securities and equity securities. The guidelines prohibit investment in commodities and future contracts, equity private placement, employer securities and leveraged or derivative securities. The guidelines also prohibit short selling and margin transactions. The Company's practice is to periodically review and rebalance asset categories based on its targeted asset allocation percentage policy.

The Company's other postretirement benefit plans asset allocation at December 31, 2003 and 2002, and weighted average targeted asset allocation at December 31, 2003, were as follows:

	Percentage of Plan Assets	Weighted Average Targeted Asset Allocation Percentage
Asset Category	2003 2002	2003
Equity securities Fixed income securities Other Total *Includes target for both fixed	66% 50% 30 45 4 5 100% 100%	70% 30* 100%

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$1.6 million to its defined benefit pension plans and approximately \$5.0 million to its postretirement benefit plans in 2004.

In addition to company-sponsored plans, certain employees are covered under multi-employer defined benefit plans administered by a union. Amounts contributed to the multi-employer plans were \$27.2 million, \$27.8 million and \$19.9 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)	Page 123.32

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report	
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) <u>X</u> An Original (2) <u>A</u> Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003	
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)				

In addition to the qualified plan defined pension benefits reflected in the table at the beginning of Note 16, the Company also has an unfunded, nonqualified benefit plan for executive officers and certain key management employees that provides for defined benefit payments upon the employee's retirement or to their beneficiaries upon death for a 15-year period or as an equivalent life annuity. Investments consist of life insurance carried on plan participants, which is payable to the Company upon the employee's death. The cost of these benefits was \$5.3 million, \$5.1 million and \$4.3 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The total projected obligation for this plan was \$51.1 million and \$40.5 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The accumulated benefit obligation for this plan was \$40.7 million and \$33.3 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The additional minimum liability relating to this plan was \$8.2 million and \$4.0 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Company has a related intangible asset recognized as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, of \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. A discount rate of 6.0 percent and 6.75 percent at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and a rate of compensation increase of 4.75 percent and 4.50 percent at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, were used to determine benefit obligations.

A discount rate of 6.75 percent and 7.25 percent at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and a rate of compensation increase of 4.50 percent and 5.00 percent at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, were used to determine net periodic benefit cost. The increase in minimum liability included in other comprehensive income was \$2.6 million in 2003 and \$1.8 million in 2002.

The Company sponsors various defined contribution plans for eligible employees. Costs incurred by the Company under these plans were \$9.8 million in 2003, \$9.6 million in 2002 and \$7.2 million in 2001. The costs incurred in each year reflect additional participants as a result of business acquisitions.

NOTE 17

Jointly Owned Facilities

The consolidated financial statements include the Company's 22.7 percent and 25.0 percent ownership interests in the assets, liabilities and expenses of the Big Stone Station and the Coyote Station, respectively. Each owner of the Big Stone and Coyote stations is responsible for financing its investment in the jointly owned facilities.

The Company's share of the Big Stone Station and Coyote Station operating expenses was reflected in the appropriate categories of operating expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

At December 31, the Company's share of the cost of utility plant in service and related accumulated depreciation for the stations was as follows:

	2003		. 2002
Big Stone Station:	(In	thou	sands)
Utility plant in service Less accumulated depreciation	\$ 52,154 34,993	\$	53,018 34,456
Coyote Station:	\$ 17,161	\$	18,562
Utility plant in service Less accumulated depreciation	\$ 124,086 72,850	\$	122,476 70,778
	\$ 51,236	Ś	51.698

NOTE 18

Regulatory Matters and Revenues Subject To Refund
On May 30, 2003, Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana-Dakota), a public utility division
of MDU Resources, filed an application with the North Dakota Public Service Commission
(NDPSC) for an electric rate increase. Montana-Dakota requested a total of \$7.8 million
annually or 9.1 percent above current rates. On July 23, 2003, Montana-Dakota and the
NDPSC Staff filed a Settlement Agreement with the NDPSC agreeing on the issues of rate of

FERC	FORM	NO. 1	(ED.	12-88)
-------------	------	-------	------	--------

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003
	NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)		

return, capital structure and cost of capital components. On October 22, 2003, the NDPSC approved the Settlement Agreement. On November 19, 2003, Montana-Dakota and the NDPSC Staff filed an additional Settlement Agreement to resolve all remaining outstanding issues with the NDPSC. This Settlement Agreement reflected an increase of \$1.0 million annually and a sharing mechanism between Montana-Dakota and retail customers of wholesale electric sales margins. On December 18, 2003, the NDPSC approved the November 2003 Settlement Agreement and required Montana-Dakota to file a compliance filing with the NDPSC. On January 14, 2004, the NDPSC approved Montana-Dakota's compliance filing, which was filed on January 7, 2004, with rates effective with service rendered on and after January 23, 2004.

In December 2002, Montana-Dakota filed an application with the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (SDPUC) for a natural gas rate increase. Montana-Dakota requested a total of \$2.2 million annually or 5.8 percent above current rates. On October 27, 2003, Montana-Dakota and the SDPUC Staff filed a Settlement Stipulation with the SDPUC agreeing to an increase of \$1.3 million annually. On December 2, 2003, the SDPUC approved the Settlement Stipulation effective with service rendered on and after December 2, 2003.

In October 2002, Great Plains Natural Gas Co. (Great Plains), a public utility division of MDU Resources, filed an application with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (MPUC) for a natural gas rate increase. Great Plains requested a total of \$1.6 million annually or 6.9 percent above current rates. In December 2002, the MPUC issued an Order setting interim rates that approved an interim increase of \$1.4 million annually effective December 6, 2002. Great Plains began collecting such rates effective December 6, 2002, subject to refund until the MPUC issued a final order. On October 9, 2003, the MPUC issued a Final Order authorizing an increase of \$1.1 million annually and requiring Great Plains to file a compliance filing with the MPUC. On January 16, 2004, the MPUC issued an Order accepting Great Plains' compliance filing, which was filed on November 10, 2003, effective with service rendered on and after January 16, 2004.

Reserves have been provided for a portion of the revenues that have been collected subject to refund for certain of the above proceedings. The Company believes that such reserves are adequate based on its assessment of the ultimate outcome of the proceedings.

In December 1999, Williston Basin filed a general natural gas rate change application with the FERC. Williston Basin began collecting such rates effective June 1, 2000, subject to In May 2001, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued an Initial Decision on Williston Basin's natural gas rate change application. The Initial Decision addressed numerous issues relating to the rate change application, including matters relating to allowable levels of rate base, return on common equity, and cost of service, as well as volumes established for purposes of cost recovery, and cost allocation and rate design. On July 3, 2003, the FERC issued its Order on Initial Decision. The Order on Initial Decision affirmed the ALJ's Initial Decision on many of the issues including rate base and certain cost of service items as well as volumes to be used for purposes of cost recovery, and cost allocation and rate design. However, there are other issues as to which the FERC differed with the ALJ including return on common equity and the correct level of corporate overhead expense. On August 4, 2003, Williston Basin requested a rehearing of a number of issues including determinations associated with cost of service, throughput, and cost allocation and rate design, as discussed in the FERC's Order on Initial Decision. September 3, 2003, the FERC issued an Order granting Williston Basin's request for rehearing of the July 3, 2003, Order on Initial Decision. The Company is awaiting a decision from the FERC on the merits of the Company's rehearing request and is unable to predict the timing of the FERC's decision.

Reserves have been provided for a portion of the revenues that have been collected subject to refund with respect to Williston Basin's pending regulatory proceeding. Williston Basin believes that such reserves are adequate based on its assessment of the ultimate outcome of the proceeding.

IFFRC	FORM	NO 1	(ED. 12-88)
1 -110		NO. I	(ED. 12-00)

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) <u>X</u> An Original	Date of Report (Mo, Da, Yr)	Year of Report			
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

NOTE 19

Commitments and Contingencies Litigation

In January 2002, Fidelity Oil Co. (FOC), one of the Company's natural gas and oil production subsidiaries, entered into a compromise agreement with the former operator of certain of FOC's oil production properties in southeastern Montana. The compromise agreement resolved litigation involving the interpretation and application of contractual provisions regarding net proceeds interests paid by the former operator to FOC for a number of years prior to 1998. The terms of the compromise agreement are confidential. As a result of the compromise agreement, the natural gas and oil production segment reflected a nonrecurring gain in its financial results for the first quarter of 2002 of approximately \$16.6 million after tax. As part of the settlement, FOC gave the former operator a full and complete release, and FOC is not asserting any such claim against the former operator for periods after 1997.

In June 1997, Jack J. Grynberg (Grynberg) filed a Federal False Claims Act suit against Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota and filed over 70 similar suits against natural gas transmission companies and producers, gatherers, and processors of natural gas. Grynberg, acting on behalf of the United States under the Federal False Claims Act, alleged improper measurement of the heating content and volume of natural gas purchased by the defendants resulting in the underpayment of royalties to the United States. In April 1999, the United States Department of Justice decided not to intervene in these cases. In response to a motion filed by Grynberg, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation consolidated all of these cases in the Federal District Court of Wyoming.

The matter is currently in the discovery stage. Grynberg has not specified the amount he seeks to recover. Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota are unable to estimate their potential exposure and will be unable to do so until discovery is completed. Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota believe that the Grynberg case will ultimately be dismissed because Grynberg is not, as is required by the Federal False Claims Act, the original source of the information underlying the action. Failing this, Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota believe Grynberg will not recover damages from Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota because insufficient facts exist to support the allegations.

Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota believe the claims of Grynberg are without merit and intend to vigorously contest this suit. Williston Basin and Montana-Dakota believe it is not probable that Grynberg will ultimately succeed given the current status of the litigation.

Fidelity has been named as a defendant in, and/or certain of its operations are the subject of, 11 lawsuits filed in connection with its coalbed natural gas development in the Powder River Basin in Montana and Wyoming. These lawsuits were filed in federal and state courts in Montana between June 2000 and December 2003 by a number of environmental organizations, including the Northern Plains Resource Council and the Montana Environmental Information Center as well as the Tongue River Water Users' Association and the Northern Cheyenne Tribe. Two of the lawsuits have been transferred to Federal District Court in Wyoming. The lawsuits involve allegations that Fidelity and/or various government agencies are in violation of state and/or federal law, including the Federal Clean Water Act and the National Environmental Policy Act. The lawsuits seek injunctive relief, invalidation of various permits and unspecified damages. Fidelity is unable to quantify the damages sought, and will be unable to do so until after completion of discovery. Fidelity is vigorously defending all coalbed-related lawsuits in which it is involved. If the plaintiffs are successful in these lawsuits, the ultimate outcome of the actions could have a material effect on Fidelity's existing coalbed natural gas operations and/or the future development of its coalbed natural gas properties.

Montana-Dakota has joined with two electric generators in appealing a finding by the North Dakota Department of Health (Department) in September 2003 that the Department may unilaterally revise operating permits previously issued to electric generating plants.

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)

Page 123.35

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report			
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) X An Original (2) A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr)	,			
		12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

Although it is doubtful that any revision of Montana-Dakota's operating permits by the Department would reduce the amount of electricity its plants could generate, the finding, if allowed to stand, could increase costs for sulfur dioxide removal and/or limit Montana-Dakota's ability to modify or expand operations at its North Dakota generation sites. Montana-Dakota and the other electric generators filed their appeal of the order on October 8, 2003, in the Burleigh County District Court in Bismarck, North Dakota. Proceedings have been stayed pending discussions with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Department and the other electric generators.

In a related case, the Dakota Resource Council filed an action in Federal District Court in Denver, Colorado, on September 30, 2003, to require the EPA to enforce certain air quality standards in North Dakota. If successful, the action could require the curtailment of discharges of sulfur dioxide into the atmosphere by existing electric generating facilities and could preclude or hinder the construction of future generating facilities in North Dakota. The Company has filed a Motion to Intervene in the lawsuit and has joined in a brief supporting a Motion to Dismiss filed by the EPA.

The Company cannot predict the outcome of the Department or Dakota Resource Council matters or their ultimate impact on its operations.

The Company is also involved in other legal actions in the ordinary course of its business. Although the outcomes of any such legal actions cannot be predicted, management believes that the outcomes with respect to these other legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Environmental matters

In December 2000, Morse Bros., Inc. (MBI), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was named by the EPA as a Potentially Responsible Party in connection with the cleanup of a commercial property site, acquired by MBI in 1999, and part of the Portland, Oregon, Harbor Superfund Site. Sixty-eight other parties were also named in this administrative action. The EPA wants responsible parties to share in the cleanup of sediment contamination in the Willamette River. To date, costs of the overall remedial investigation of the harbor site for both the EPA and the Oregon State Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) are being recorded, and initially paid, through an administrative consent order by the Lower Willamette Group (LWG), a group of 10 entities that does not include MBI. The LWG estimates the overall remedial investigation and feasibility study will cost approximately \$10 million. It is not possible to estimate the cost of a corrective action plan until the remedial investigation and feasibility study has been completed, the EPA has decided on a strategy, and a record of decision has been published. While the remedial investigation and feasibility study for the harbor site has commenced, it is expected to take several years to complete. The development of a proposed plan and record of decision on the harbor site is not anticipated to occur until 2006, after which a cleanup plan will be undertaken.

Based upon a review of the Portland Harbor sediment contamination evaluation by the DEQ and other information available, MBI does not believe it is a Responsible Party. In addition, MBI has notified Georgia-Pacific West, Inc., the seller of the commercial property site to MBI, that it intends to seek indemnity for any and all liabilities incurred in relation to the above matters, pursuant to the terms of their sale agreement.

The Company believes it is not probable that it will incur any material environmental remediation costs or damages in relation to the above administrative action.

Operating leases

The Company leases certain equipment, facilities and land under operating lease agreements. The amounts of annual minimum lease payments due under these leases as of December 31, 2003, were \$18.1 million in 2004, \$12.4 million in 2005, \$8.7 million in 2006, \$5.1 million in 2007, \$3.9 million in 2008 and \$22.1 million thereafter. Rent expense was approximately \$27.2 million, \$26.9 million and \$31.5 million for the years

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 12-88)	Page 123.36
	, age .ae.ee

Name of Respondent	This Report is:	Date of Report	Year of Report			
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(1) X An Original	(Mo, Da, Yr)				
	(2) A Resubmission	12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Purchase commitments

The Company has entered into various commitments, largely natural gas and coal supply, purchased power, natural gas transportation, construction materials supply and electric generation construction contracts. These commitments range from one to 21 years. The commitments under these contracts as of December 31, 2003, were \$167.2 million in 2004, \$67.2 million in 2005, \$50.1 million in 2006, \$31.0 million in 2007, \$30.9 million in 2008 and \$146.3 million thereafter. Amounts purchased under these various commitments for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, were approximately \$204.6 million, \$152.1 million and \$193.0 million, respectively. These commitments are not reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Guarantees

Centennial has unconditionally guaranteed a portion of certain bank borrowings of MPX in connection with the Company's equity method investment in the natural gas-fired electric generating facility in Brazil, as discussed in Note 2. The Company, through MDU Brasil, owns 49 percent of MPX. The main business purpose of Centennial extending the guarantee to MPX's creditors is to enable MPX to obtain lower borrowing costs. At December 31, 2003, the aggregate amount of borrowings outstanding subject to these guarantees was \$45.5 million and the scheduled repayment of these borrowings is \$11.0 million in 2004, \$10.7 million in 2005, \$10.7 million in 2006, \$10.7 million in 2007 and \$2.4 million in 2008. The individual investor (who through EBX Empreendimentos Ltda. (EBX), a Brazilian company, owns 51 percent of MPX) has also guaranteed a portion of these loans. In the event MPX defaults under its obligation, Centennial and the individual investor would be required to make payments under their guarantees. Centennial and the individual investor have entered into reimbursement agreements under which they have agreed to reimburse each other to the extent they may be required to make any guarantee payments in excess of their proportionate ownership share in MPX. These guarantees are not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In addition, WBI Holdings, Inc. (WBI Holdings), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, has guaranteed certain of its subsidiary's natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreement obligations. The amount of the subsidiary's obligations at December 31, 2003, was \$1.8 million. There is no fixed maximum amount guaranteed in relation to the natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements, as the amount of the obligation is dependent upon natural gas and oil commodity prices. The amount of hedging activity entered into by the subsidiary is limited by corporate policy. The guarantees of the natural gas and oil price swap and collar agreements at December 31, 2003, expire in 2004; however, the subsidiary continues to enter into additional hedging activities and, as a result, WBI Holdings from time to time may issue additional guarantees on these hedging obligations. At December 31, 2003, the amount outstanding was reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In the event the above subsidiary defaults under its obligations, WBI Holdings would be required to make payments under its guarantees.

Certain subsidiaries of the Company have outstanding guarantees to third parties that guarantee the performance of other subsidiaries of the Company that are related to natural gas transportation and sales agreements, electric power supply agreements, insurance policies and certain other guarantees. At December 31, 2003, the fixed maximum amounts guaranteed under these agreements aggregated \$46.4 million. The amounts of scheduled expiration of the maximum amounts guaranteed under these agreements aggregate \$20.1 million in 2004; \$5.9 million in 2005; \$3.5 million in 2006; \$500,000 in 2007; \$900,000 in 2009; \$12.0 million in 2012; \$500,000, which is subject to expiration 30 days after the receipt of written notice and \$3.0 million, which has no scheduled maturity date. The amount outstanding by subsidiaries of the Company under the above guarantees was \$372,000 and was reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2003. In the event of default under these guarantee obligations, the subsidiary issuing the guarantee for that particular obligation would be required to make payments under its guarantee.

FERC FORM NO. 1 (ED. 1)	12-88)	
-------------------------	--------	--

Name of Respondent	This Report is: (1) X An Original		Year of Report			
MDU Resources Group, Inc.	(2) _ A Resubmission	(Mo, Da, Yr) 12/31/2003	Dec 31, 2003			
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)						

Fidelity and WBI Holdings have outstanding guarantees to Williston Basin. These guarantees are related to natural gas transportation and storage agreements that guarantee the performance of Prairielands Energy Marketing, Inc. (Prairielands), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. At December 31, 2003, the fixed maximum amounts guaranteed under these agreements aggregated \$22.9 million. Scheduled expiration of the maximum amounts guaranteed under these agreements aggregate \$2.9 million in 2005 and \$20.0 million in 2009. In the event of Prairielands' default in its payment obligations, the subsidiary issuing the guarantee for that particular obligation would be required to make payments under its guarantee. The amount outstanding by Prairielands under the above guarantees was \$837,000, which was not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2003, because these intercompany transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

In addition, Centennial has issued guarantees related to the Company's purchase of maintenance items to third parties for which no fixed maximum amounts have been specified. These guarantees have no scheduled maturity date. In the event a subsidiary of the Company defaults under its obligation in relation to the purchase of certain maintenance items, Centennial would be required to make payments under these guarantees. Any amounts outstanding by subsidiaries of the Company for these maintenance items were reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2003.

As of December 31, 2003, Centennial was contingently liable for the performance of certain of its subsidiaries under approximately \$360 million of surety bonds. These bonds are principally for construction contracts and reclamation obligations of these subsidiaries entered into in the normal course of business. Centennial indemnifies the respective surety bond companies against any exposure under the bonds. The purpose of Centennial's indemnification is to allow the subsidiaries to obtain bonding at competitive rates. In the event a subsidiary of the Company does not fulfill its obligations in relation to its bonded contract or obligation, Centennial may be required to make payments under its indemnification. A large portion of these contingent commitments are expected to expire within the next 12 months; however, Centennial will likely continue to enter into surety bonds for its subsidiaries in the future. The surety bonds were not reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTE 20 Investment in Subsidiaries The Respondent owns one wholly owned subsidiary, Centennial Energy Holdings, Inc.

As required by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for Form 1 report purposes, MDU Resources Group, Inc. reports its subsidiary investment using the equity method rather than consolidating the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the subsidiary, as required by generally accepted accounting principles. If generally accepted accounting principles were followed, utility plant, other property and investments would increase by \$583,119,019 and \$440,603,661; current and accrued assets would increase by \$480,874,653 and \$429,117,903; deferred debits would increase by \$433,317,248 and \$382,761,205; preferred stock would decrease by \$0 and \$100,000; long-term debt would increase by \$735,936,753 and \$636,749,515; other noncurrent liabilities and current and accrued liabilities would increase by \$202,668,975 and \$208,485,102; deferred credits would increase by \$562,331,004 and \$410,973,964 as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Furthermore, operating revenues would increase by \$1,899,020,074 and \$1,682,352,992; and operating expenses, excluding income taxes, would increase by \$1,629,210,888 and \$1,452,565,545 for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. In addition, net cash provided by operating activities would increase by \$368,926,000; net cash used in investing activities would increase by \$385,821,000; net cash used by financing activities would decrease by \$35,662,000; and the net change in cash and cash equivalents would be an increase of \$18,767,000 for the year ended December 31, 2003. Reporting its subsidiary investment using the equity method rather than generally accepted accounting principles has no effect on net income or retained earnings.

FERC	FORM	NO.	. 1	(ED.	12-	88)

MONTANA PLANT IN SERVICE (ASSIGNED & ALLOCATED)

Year: 2003

Box Chief		Account Number & Title			rear: 2003
壁(三类的	. ,,	Account Number & Title	Last Year	This Year	% Change
1 1	i.	ntangible Plant			
2		intangible Flant			
3	301	Organization			
1 1	301	Organization			
4		Franchises & Consents	04.704.000	 	
5	303	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$1,704,082	\$1,742,955	2.28%
6	7	OTAL Internal blood	\$1,704,082		
8		TOTAL Intangible Plant		\$1,742,955	2.28%
9	-	Production Plant			
: :		Toduction Plant			
10	Deceloration	9. Callegia a Diagra			
	Production	& Gathering Plant			
12	205.4	Deaduring Lands			
1 1	325.1	Producing Lands			
14	325.2	Producing Leaseholds			
15	325.3	Gas Rights			
16	325.4	Rights-of-Way			
17	325.5	Other Land & Land Rights			
18	326	Gas Well Structures			
19	327	Field Compressor Station Structures			
20	328	Field Meas. & Reg. Station Structures			
21	329	Other Structures		NOT	
22	330	Producing Gas Wells-Well Construction		APPLICABLE	
23	331	Producing Gas Wells-Well Equipment			
24	332	Field Lines			
25	333	Field Compressor Station Equipment			
26	334	Field Meas. & Reg. Station Equipment			
27	335	Drilling & Cleaning Equipment			
28	336	Purification Equipment			
29	337	Other Equipment			
30	338	Unsuccessful Exploration & Dev. Costs			
31					
32	1	otal Production & Gathering Plant			
33					
	Products E	xtraction Plant			
35					-
36	340	Land & Land Rights			
37	341	Structures & Improvements			
38	342	Extraction & Refining Equipment			
39	343	Pipe Lines		NOT	
40	344	Extracted Products Storage Equipment		APPLICABLE	
41	345	Compressor Equipment			
42	346	Gas Measuring & Regulating Equipment			
43	347	Other Equipment			
44		• •			
45	Т	otal Products Extraction Plant			
46					
1 1	TOTAL Pro	oduction Plant			
					1

MONTANA PLANT IN SERVICE (ASSIGNED & ALLOCATED)

Year: 2003 Account Number & Title Last Year This Year % Change 2 Natural Gas Storage and Processing Plant Underground Storage Plant 5 6 350.1 Land 7 350.2 Rights-of-Way 8 351 Structures & Improvements 9 352 Wells 10 352.1 Storage Leaseholds & Rights 11 352.2 Reservoirs NOT 12 352.3 Non-Recoverable Natural Gas **APPLICABLE** 13 353 Lines 14 354 Compressor Station Equipment 15 355 Measuring & Regulating Equipment 16 356 Purification Equipment 17 357 Other Equipment 18 **Total Underground Storage Plant** 19 20 21 Other Storage Plant 22 23 360 Land & Land Rights 24 361 Structures & Improvements 25 362 Gas Holders 26 363 **Purification Equipment** 27 363.1 Liquification Equipment NOT 28 363.2 Vaporizing Equipment **APPLICABLE** 29 363.3 Compressor Equipment 30 363.4 Measuring & Regulating Equipment 31 363.5 Other Equipment 32 33 **Total Other Storage Plant** 34 35 TOTAL Natural Gas Storage and Processing Plant 36 37 **Transmission Plant** 38 39 365.1 Land & Land Rights 40 365.2 Rights-of-Way 41 366 Structures & Improvements 42 367 NOT 43 Compressor Station Equipment 368 APPLICABLE 44 369 Measuring & Reg. Station Equipment 45 370 Communication Equipment 46 371 Other Equipment 47 48 **TOTAL Transmission Plant**

MONTANA PLANT IN SERVICE (ASSIGNED & ALLOCATED)

Year: 2003 Account Number & Title Last Year This Year % Change 2 **Distribution Plant** 3 4 374 Land & Land Rights \$36,258 \$36,193 -0.18% 5 375 Structures & Improvements 190,307 198,024 4.06% 6 376 Mains 21,336,324 22,410,342 5.03% 7 Compressor Station Equipment 377 8 378 Meas. & Reg. Station Equipment-General 540,110 545,725 1.04% 9 379 Meas. & Reg. Station Equipment-City Gate 129,124 128,221 -0.70% 10 380 Services 11,339,501 12,167,073 7.30% 11 381 Meters 10,560,808 10,956,421 3.75% 12 382 Meter Installations 13 383 House Regulators 1,443,655 1,499,617 3.88% 14 384 House Regulator Installations 15 385 Industrial Meas. & Reg. Station Equipment 163,427 114,152 43.17% 16 386 Other Prop. on Customers' Premises 1/ 161,799 161,799 0.00% 17 387 Other Equipment 879,871 908,697 3.28% 18 19 **TOTAL Distribution Plant** \$46,731,909 \$49,175,539 5.23% 20 21 General Plant 22 23 389 Land & Land Rights \$26,745 \$26,744 0.00% 24 390 Structures & Improvements 434,374 451,229 3.88% 25 391 Office Furniture & Equipment 245,750 299,600 21.91% 26 392 Transportation Equipment 1,803,432 2,095,172 16.18% 27 393 Stores Equipment 48,508 43,786 -9.73% 28 394 Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment 943,718 517,755 -45.14% 29 395 Laboratory Equipment 88,890 40,694 -54.22% 30 396 Power Operated Equipment 1,347,564 1,318,760 -2.14% 31 397 Communication Equipment 348,651 291.546 -16.38% 32 398 Miscellaneous Equipment 43,363 14,311 -67.00% 33 399 Other Tangible Property 34 35 **TOTAL General Plant** \$5,330,995 \$5,099,597 -4.34% 36 37 **Common Plant** 38 39 389 Land & Land Rights \$183.632 \$184,901 0.69% 40 390 Structures & Improvements 2,082,948 2,134,622 2.48% 41 391 Office Furniture & Equipment 1,090,455 823,344 -24.50% 42 392 Transportation Equipment 770,695 1,123,921 45.83% 43 393 Stores Equipment 9.360 9,472 1.20% 44 394 Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment 148,013 151,650 2.46% 45 396 Power Operated Equipment 12,013 6,409 -46.65% 46 397 Communication Equipment 553,108 345,885 -37.47% 47 398 Miscellaneous Equipment 74,261 73,336 -1.25% 48 49 **TOTAL Common Plant** \$4,924,485 \$4,853,540 -1.44% 50 51 **TOTAL Gas Plant in Service** \$58,691,471 \$60,871,631 3.71%

^{1/} Includes gas plant leased to others.

Year: 2003

MONTANA DEPRECIATION SUMMARY

			Accumulated Depreciation		Current
	Functional Plant Classification	Plant Cost	Last Year Bal.	This Year Bal.	Avg. Rate
1	Production & Gathering				
2	Products Extraction				
3	Underground Storage				
4	Other Storage				
5	Transmission				
6	Distribution	\$49,175,539	\$30,831,068	\$32,307,322	3.92%
7	General	5,153,277	2,471,584	1,883,401	1.97%
8	Common	6,542,815	2,895,189	2,668,081	5.54%
9	TOTAL	\$60,871,631	\$36,197,841	\$36,858,804	3.93%

MONTANA MATERIALS & SUPPLIES (ASSIGNED & ALLOCATED) SCHEDULE 21

2247.837.93		A			
		Account	Last Year Bal.	This Year Bal.	%Change
1					
2	151	Fuel Stock			
3	152	Fuel Stock Expenses - Undistributed			
4	153	Residuals & Extracted Products			
5	154	Plant Materials & Operating Supplies:			
6		Assigned to Construction (Estimated)			
7		Assigned to Operations & Maintenance			
8		Production Plant (Estimated)			
9		Transmission Plant (Estimated)			
10		Distribution Plant (Estimated)	\$316,009	\$314,475	-0.49%
11		Assigned to Other	·	, , , , ,	0,10,0
12	155	Merchandise			
13	156	Other Materials & Supplies			
14	163	Stores Expense Undistributed			
15					
16	TOTA	L Materials & Supplies	\$316,009	\$314,475	-0.49%

MONTANA REGULATORY CAPITAL STRUCTURE & COSTS SCHEDULE 22

	The structure of the st						
\$55,097 (c)	Commission Accepted - Most Recent	. 41	0, 0		Weighted		
500500000			% Cap. Str.	% Cost Rate	Cost		
1	Docket Number	D95.7.90					
2	Order Number	5856b					
3	_						
4	Common Equity		44.810%	12.000%	5.377%		
5	Preferred Stock		1.810%	4.653%	*****		
6	Long Term Debt		53.390%	10.212%			
7	Other				0.402 /0		
8	TOTAL				10.913%		
9							
10	Actual at Year End						
11							
12	Common Equity		47.325%	12.000%	5.679%		
13	Preferred Stock		4.779%	4.620%			
14	Long Term Debt		47.896%	8.606%	4.122%		
15	Other		17.00076	0.000 /0	4.12270		
16	TOTAL		100.000%		10.022%		

^{1/} Docket No. D2002.5.59, filed May 20, 2002, was settled pursuant to a Stipulation. A capital structure and costs were not specifically stipulated.

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Description	,	STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS Ye				
Cash Flows from Operating Activities: S148,443,958 S175,324,972 S18,11% S148,430,927 S149,000 S1,252,461 S149,000 S1,252,461 S149,000 S1,252,461 S149,000 S1,252,461 S149,000 S1,252,461 S1,254,61			Last Year	This Year		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	1					
Net Income		· ·				
Depreciation 29,476,227 30,195,105 2,44% Amortization 1,340,106 1,252,461 -6,54% Deferred Income Taxes - Net 7,430,927 4,469,701 -39,85% Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net (583,775) (596,333) 2,15% (11,474,034) -14,95% (10,398,195) (20,96,610 312,50% (10,398,195) (20,96,610 312,50% (10,398,195) (20,96,610 312,50% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,092,811) -503,04% (18,1277) (1,303,142) 28,53% (18,12,724) (13,303,142) 28,53% (128,320,376) (153,788,920) 19,85% (128,320,376) (153,788,920) 19,85% (128,320,376) (153,788,920) 19,85% (128,320,376) (153,788,920) 19,85% (188,12,724) (188,124,724) (188,124,724) (188,124,724) (188,124,724) (188,1	1					
Amortization	1		1 ' ' [18.11%	
Deferred Income Taxes - Net		· '			2.44%	
Investment Tax Credit Adjustments - Net	1	i				
Change in Operating Receivables - Net	1	•			1	
Change in Materials, Supplies & Inventories - Net 9,697,089 (4,067,492) -141,95% 141,9		· - ·				
Change in Operating Payables & Accrued Liabilities - Net	1				i i	
Change in Other Regulatory Assets	1					
13 Change in Other Regulatory Liabilities (760,117) 672,317 188,45% 14 Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) (132,880) (217,797) 63.91% 15 Change in Other Assets & Liabilities - Net (18,612,724) (13,303,142) 28.53% 16 Less Undistributed Earnings from Subsidiary Companies (128,320,376) (153,788,920) 19.85% 17 Other Operating Activities (explained on attached page) (128,320,376) (153,788,920) 19.85% 18 Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Operating Activities \$27,412,592 \$49,470,637 80.47% 19 Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities: (200,510,374) (345,338,342) 24.18% 19 Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities: (200,510,374) (345,338,342) 24.18% 19 Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities: (200,510,374) (345,338,342) 24.18% 19 Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities: (200,630,421) 4.502,946 -581.67% 19 Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities: (200,630,421) 4.502,946 -581.67% 10 Construction/Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment (200,630,421) 4.502,946 -581.67% 10 Cash Flows from Disposal of Noncurrent Assets (200,630,421) 4.502,946 -581.67% 10 Contributions and Advances to Affiliates (200,630,421) 4.7.73% 11 Contributions and Advances from Affiliates (200,630,421) 4.7.73% 12 Contributions and Advances to Affiliates (200,630,421) 4.7.73% 13 Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities (200,630,421) 4.7.73% 14 Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities (200,630,421) 4.7.73% 15 Cash Flows from Financing Activities (200,630,630,630,630,630,630,630,630,630,6	1				1	
Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC)		, ,				
15	!	,	1 ' ' 1			
16	1					
17 Other Operating Activities (explained on attached page) 18 Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Operating Activities \$27,412,592 \$49,470,637 80,47% 19					28.53%	
18			(128,320,376)	(153,788,920)	19.85%	
19	-					
Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities: Construction/Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment (net of AFUDC & Capital Lease Related Acquisitions) (\$36,510,374) (\$45,338,342) 24.18% Acquisition of Other Noncurrent Assets (934,861) 4,502,946 -581.67% Froceeds from Disposal of Noncurrent Assets (96,870,417) (50,630,421) -47.73% (5		Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Operating Activities	\$27,412,592	\$49,470,637	80.47%	
Construction/Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment (net of AFUDC & Capital Lease Related Acquisitions) (\$36,510,374) (\$45,338,342) 24.18%	1					
22						
Acquisition of Other Noncurrent Assets Proceeds from Disposal of Noncurrent Assets Investments In and Advances to Affiliates Contributions and Advances from Affiliates Disposition of Investments in and Advances to Affiliates Other Investing Activities: Depreciation & RWIP on Nonutility Plant Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Proceeds from Issuance of: Long-Term Debt Preferred Stock Common Stock Other: Acquisition of Other Noncurrent Assets (934,861) 4,502,946 -581.67% (934,861) 4,502,946 -581.67% (934,861) 4,502,946 -581.67% (50,630,421) -47.73% 51,045,000 56,273,000 10.24% 15.28% (\$83,227,310) (\$35,142,852) -57.77% 20.00% 31 Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Proceeds from Issuance of: Specifical Stock Common Stock Other: 32 33 34 35 36 36 37 38 39 39 30 30 31 30 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 36 37 38 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39		Construction/Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment				
Proceeds from Disposal of Noncurrent Assets Investments In and Advances to Affiliates (96,870,417) (50,630,421) -47.73% (50,630,421) -47.73% (50,630,421)			1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		24.18%	
Investments In and Advances to Affiliates	1	1	(934,861)	4,502,946	-581.67%	
Contributions and Advances from Affiliates Disposition of Investments in and Advances to Affiliates Other Investing Activities: Depreciation & RWIP on Nonutility Plant 43,342 49,965 15.28%	1					
Disposition of Investments in and Advances to Affiliates 28 Other Investing Activities: Depreciation & RWIP on Nonutility Plant 29 Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities 30	1				-47.73%	
28 Other Investing Activities: Depreciation & RWIP on Nonutility Plant 43,342 49,965 15.28% 29 Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities (\$83,227,310) (\$35,142,852) -57.77% 30 31 Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Proceeds from Issuance of: 25,000,000 \$30,000,000 20.00% 33 Long-Term Debt \$25,000,000 \$30,000,000 20.00% 34 Preferred Stock 96,035,929 49,126,951 -48.85% 36 Other: -48.85%			51,045,000	56,273,000	10.24%	
Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities (\$83,227,310) (\$35,142,852) -57.77%	1					
30 31 Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Proceeds from Issuance of: \$25,000,000 \$30,000,000 \$20.00% 34 Preferred Stock \$25,000,000 \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$36 Other: \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$48.85% \$49,126,951 \$49,126,9	-		43,342	49,965	15.28%	
31 Cash Flows from Financing Activities: 32 Proceeds from Issuance of: 33 Long-Term Debt \$25,000,000 \$30,000,000 20.00% 34 Preferred Stock 96,035,929 49,126,951 -48.85% 36 Other: -48.85%		Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Investing Activities	(\$83,227,310)	(\$35,142,852)	-57.77%	
32 Proceeds from Issuance of: 33 Long-Term Debt \$25,000,000 \$30,000,000 20.00% 34 Preferred Stock 96,035,929 49,126,951 -48.85% 36 Other: -48.85%						
33 Long-Term Debt \$25,000,000 \$30,000,000 20.00% 34 Preferred Stock 96,035,929 49,126,951 -48.85% 36 Other: -48.85%	1					
34 Preferred Stock 35 Common Stock 36 Other: 38 Preferred Stock 96,035,929 49,126,951 -48.85%	1					
35 Common Stock 96,035,929 49,126,951 -48.85% Other:	1		\$25,000,000	\$30,000,000	20.00%	
36 Other: 45,125,331 -46.6376						
			96,035,929	49,126,951	-48.85%	
37 Net Increase in Short-Term Debt	1					
	1					
38 Other: Commercial Paper 8,000,000 -100.00%	ł		8,000,000		-100.00%	
39 Payment for Retirement of:	1					
40 Long-Term Debt (500,000) (10,500,000) 2000.00%	3		(500,000)	(10,500,000)	2000.00%	
41 Preferred Stock (100,000)			(100,000)	(100,000)	0.00%	
42 Common Stock						
43 Other:	1 !					
44 Net Decrease in Short-Term Debt (8,000,000) -100.00%	1			(8,000,000)	-100.00%	
45 Dividends on Preferred Stock (756,406) (718,155) -5.06%	1 1		(756,406)	(718,155)		
46 Dividends on Common Stock (67,530,664) (74,118,558) 9,76%			(67,530,664)	(74,118,558)		
47 Other Financing Activities (explained on attached page)	_					
48 Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities \$60,148,859 (\$14,309,762) -123.79%		Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	\$60,148,859	(\$14,309,762)	-123.79%	
49						
50 Net Increase/(Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents \$4,334,141 \$18,023 -99.58%			\$4,334,141	\$18,023	-99.58%	
51 Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year \$5,054,591 \$9,388,732 85.75%			\$5,054,591		***************************************	
52 Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year \$9,388,732 \$9,406,755 0.19%	52	Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$9,388,732			

Sissue				LONG	LONG TERM DEBT			Y	Year: 2003
Description Date Date Principal Principal Net Amount Process Principal Principal Process Net Cost Balance Sheet Vield to Net Cost Sheet Net Cost Sheet Amount Process Amount Process Amount Process Amount Process Amount Process Net Cost 11.736 Saj 000,000 B. 55,000,000 B. 50,000,000		Issue	Maturity			Outstanding		Annual	
Description Mo. Mr. Mo. Mr. Amount Proceeds Sheet Maturity Inc. Prem/Disc. Secured MTN, Series A 04/92 04/07 \$30,000.00 \$26,532 35.000.000 8.25% \$3,053.100 6.25% \$3,053.100 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 8.25% \$3,050.000 \$3,053.200 8.25% \$3,050.000 \$3,053.20		Date	Date	Principal	Net	Per Balance	Yield to	Net Cost	Total
% Secured MTN, Series A 04/92 04/17 04/07 04/17 \$30,000,000 \$26,017 \$30,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$26,000,000 \$27,000,000 <td>Description</td> <td>Mo./Yr.</td> <td>Mo./Yr.</td> <td>Amount</td> <td>Proceeds</td> <td>Sheet</td> <td></td> <td>nc. Prem/Disc.</td> <td>Cost % 1/</td>	Description	Mo./Yr.	Mo./Yr.	Amount	Proceeds	Sheet		nc. Prem/Disc.	Cost % 1/
% Secured MTN, Series A 04/92 04/12 35,000,000 28,906,532 35,000,000 6.52% 6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/97 10/09 15,000,000 14,082,933 15,000,000 6.71% 6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/97 10/09 15,000,000 14,082,933 15,000,000 6.71% 6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/98 10/08 15,000,000 14,813.94 15,000,000 6.20% County 6.20 % PCN 03/74 03/04 5,600,000 29,456,832 30,000,000 6.52% 6 Senior Notes 12/03 12/33 30,000,000 14,061,276 15,000,000 6.55% and County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 2,420,986 1,200,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 1,200,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 3,000,000 6.65% nC ounty 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/92 3/ 06/92 06/92 3/ 06/92 3/ 06/92 06/92 3/ 0	18.25 % Secured MTN, Series A	04/92	04/07	\$30,000,000	\$26,111,796	\$30,000,000	8.25%	\$3,053,100	10.18%
6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/97 10/04 15,000,000 13,488,404 15,000,000 6.52% 6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/97 10/09 15,000,000 13,488,404 15,000,000 6.71% 6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/98 10/08 15,000,000 14,813,914 15,000,000 6.20% Countly 6.20 % PCN 12/03 12/04/04 12/04	28.60 % Secured MTN, Series A	04/92	04/12	35,000,000	28,906,532	35,000,000	8.60%	3,857,000	11.02%
6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/97 10/09 15,000,000 13,488,404 15,000,000 5.83% Courted MTN, Series A 09/98 10/08 15,000,000 14,813,914 15,000,000 5.83% County 6.20 % PCN 12/03 12/03 30,000,000 29,456,832 30,000,000 5.98% of County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 15,000,000 14,061,276 15,000,000 6.65% and County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 2,420,986 2,600,000 6.65% of County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% of County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% of County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% of County 6.65 % 2/ 05/61 12/14 5,000,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% of County 6.65 % 2/ 05/61 12/14 5,000,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% of County 6.65 % 2/ 05/61 12/14 5,000,000 5.10% of County 6.65 % 2/ 05/61 12/14 5/000,000	3 6.52 % Secured MTN, Series A	26/60	10/04	15,000,000	14,082,923	15,000,000	6.52%	1,171,650	7.81%
6 Secured MTN, Series A 09/98 10/08 15,000,000 14,813,914 15,000,000 5.83% County 6.20% PCN 03/74 03/04 5,600,000 5,427,042 1,500,000 6.20% 12/03 12/03 12/03 30,000,000 29,456,832 30,000,000 6.65% and County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 15,000,000 2,420,986 2,500,000 6.65% and County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,500,000 2,420,986 1,200,000 6.65% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,500,000 2,420,986 1,200,000 6.65% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 6.65% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 5,000,000 6.65% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04 6.50% or County 6.55 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 12/04/04/12/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/04/12/04/12/04/04/04/12/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/04/	4 6.71 % Secured MTN, Series A	26/60	10/09	15,000,000	13,488,404	15,000,000	6.71%	1,229,250	8.20%
County 6.20 % PCN County 6.20 % PCN County 6.50 % 2/ County 6.65 % 2/ County 6.6	5 5.83 % Secured MTN, Series A	86/60	10/08	15,000,000	14,813,914	15,000,000	5.83%	912,900	860.9
6 Senior Notes 12/03 12/33 30,000,000 29,456,832 30,000,000 5.98% 11 r County 6.65 % 2/ nd County 6.65 % 2/ nd County 6.65 % 2/ nd County 6.65 % 2/ n County 6.65 % 2	6 Grant County 6.20 % PCN	03/74	03/04	5,600,000	5,427,042	1,500,000	6.20%	98,340	6.56%
ar County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 15,000,000 14,061,276 15,000,000 6.65% 14 06/92 06/92 3,250,000 3,063,677 3,250,000 6.65% 14 06/92 06/92 2,600,000 2,420,986 2,600,000 6.65% 17,200,000 6.65% 17,	7 5.98 % Senior Notes	12/03	12/33	30,000,000	29,456,832	30,000,000	2.98%	1,861,500	6.21%
Ind County 6.65 % 2/ 06/92 06/22 2,600,000 2,420,986 2,600,000 6.65% 06/92 06/92 2,600,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% 05/61 12/14 5,000,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% 06/92 06/92 06/92 2,600,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% 06/92 06/92 06/92 2,600,000 5.10% 06/92	8 Mercer County 6.65 % 2/	06/95	06/22	15,000,000	14,061,276	15,000,000	6.65%	1,093,200	7.29%
n County 6.65 % 2/ n Commulative Preferred Stock 3/ 4/ Cummulative Preferred Stock 3/ 4/ Cummulative Preferred Stock 3/ 4/ Cummulative Preferred Stock 3/ 4/ Cummulative Preferred Stock 3/ 4/ O5/61 12/14 5,000,000 2,420,986 2,600,000 5.10% 5.000,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10%	9 Richland County 6.65 % 2/	06/95	06/22	3,250,000	3,063,677	3,250,000	6.65%	235,398	7.24%
Cummulative Preferred Stock 3/ 4/ 05/61 12/14 5,000,000 4,947,548 1,200,000 5.10% 5.	10 Morton County 6.65 % 2/	06/92	06/22	2,600,000	2,420,986	2,600,000	6.65%	190,944	7.34%
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	11 5.10% Cummulative Preferred Stock 3/4/	05/61	12/14	5,000,000	4,947,548	1,200,000	5.10%	63,420	5.29%
\$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	12								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	13								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	14								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	15				•				
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	16								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	17								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	18								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	19						**************************************		
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	20								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	21								****
<u>\$171,450,000</u> \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	22								
\$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	23								
- \$171,450,000 \$156,780,930 \$163,550,000	24					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	25								
	26 TOTAL			\dashv	\$156,780,930	\$163,550,000		\$13,766,702	8.42%

Includes interest expense, bond discount expense, debt issuance expense and loss on bond reacquistion and redemption.
 Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds.
 Classified as long-term debt upon adoption of SFAS No. 150 in 2003.
 Mandatory annual redemption of \$100,000.

		Series	1 4.50 % Cumulative 2 4.70 % Cumulative 3 4 4 4 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	31 32 TOTAL
diss	Date		12/55	•
	Shares	lssued	20,000	
	Par	Value	100	
	Call	Price 1/	102	
	Net	Proceeds	000'000'5 2'000'000'5	\$15,000,000
	Cost of	Money	4.50% % 07.70%	
	Principal	Outstanding	5,000,000	\$15,000,000
	Annual	Cost	235,000	\$685,000
1 cat: 2002	Embed.	Cost %	4.50% 4.70% %	4 57%

1/ Plus accrued dividends.

Company Name: Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

SCHEDULE 26

				COMMON STOCK	STOCK				Year: 2003
		Avg. Number	Book	Earnings	Dividends	Retention	Market	ket	Price/ Famings
		Outstanding 2/	Per Share	Share 3/	Share	Ratio	High	Low	Ratio 4/
7 7									
က					,				
4 ro	January								
9	February								
- & C	March 1/	110,318,489	\$11.55	\$0.18	\$0.16	11.11%	\$18.87	\$16.41	14.0 X
10 2	April					100.			
- 27 5	Мау				11, 2.5.				
<u>4</u> r	June 1/	110,601,720	11.79	0.39	0.16	28.97%	22.66	18.55	15.0 X
16	July				199				
8 0	August								
20	September 1/	112,358,576	12.40	0.58	0.17	%69°02	23.32	20.37	14.4 X
22	October								
24	November				7-11				
26 26 27	December	112,617,604	12.66	0.41	0.17	58.54%	24.35	20.37	15.4 X
28								Harris	to the second
30 T	30 TOTAL Year End	111,482,820	\$12.66	\$1.56	\$0.66	27.69%			15.4 X

Restated to reflect three-for-two common stock split effected October 29, 2003.
 Basic shares.
 Basic earnings per share.
 Calculated on 12 months ended using closing stock price.

r		MONTANA EARNED RATE OF R	ETURN		Year: 2003
- V - 11		Description	Last Year	This Year	% Change
		Rate Base			
1	404				
2	101	Plant in Service	\$58,691,471	\$60,871,631	3.71%
3	108	(Less) Accumulated Depreciation	36,197,841	36,858,804	1.83%
5		NET Plant in Service	\$22,402,620	#04.040.007	0.7-0/
6		NET Flant III GEIVICE	\$22,493,630	\$24,012,827	6.75%
7		CWIP in Service Pending Reclassification	\$210,403	\$278,637	22.420/
8		2 *** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	\$210,400	\$270,037	32.43%
9		Additions			
10	154, 156	Materials & Supplies	\$316,009	\$314,475	-0.49%
11	165	Prepayments	27,389	53,909	96.83%
12		Prepaid Demand/Commodity Charges	895,984	1,193,850	33.24%
13		Gas in Underground Storage	5,193,643	6,112,241	17.69%
14		Unamoritzed Gas IRP	89,708	54,000	-39.80%
15]	01,000	33.00 /8
16		TOTAL Additions	\$6,522,733	\$7,728,475	18.49%
17		<u> </u>			
18		Deductions			
19	190	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	\$3,290,308	\$3,298,121	0.24%
20	252	Customer Advances for Construction	329,770	181,917	-44.84%
21	255	Accumulated Def. Investment Tax Credits	237,105	212,180	-10.51%
22		Other Deductions			
23 24		TOTAL Deducations			
25		TOTAL Deductions TOTAL Rate Base	\$3,857,183	\$3,692,218	-4.28%
26		TOTAL Nate base	\$25,369,583	\$28,327,721	11.66%
27		Net Earnings	\$2,867,100	CO EO4 EEO	44.050
28		95	\$2,007,100	\$2,524,550	-11.95%
29	Rate o	f Return on Average Rate Base	10.44%	9.40%	-9.96%
30			10.4470	9,40 /0	-9.90%
31	Rate o	f Return on Average Equity	12.09%	10.69%	-11.58%
32				. 0.00 /0	11.00 /01
33	Major Nor	malizing Adjustments & Commission			
34	Ratemakii	ng adjustments to Utility Operations 1/			
35					
		nt to Operating Revenues			
		Normalization	(37,704)	180,758	579.41%
	Late Payn	nent Revenue	20,924	23,598	12.78%
40				,	
		nt to Operating Expenses			
	Eliminatio	n of Promotional & Institutional Advertising	(21,876)	(29,327)	34.06%
43	T-1 1 4				
44	i otal A	djustments to Operating Income	\$5,096	\$233,683	4485.62%
45					
46	Adius	ad Pate of Poture on Assessed D. C.			
48	Aujust	ed Rate of Return on Average Rate Base	10.46%	10.27%	-1.82%
49	Adiust	ed Rate of Return on Average Equity	10 100/	40.5007	0.000
لٽني	,	The street of Average Equity	12.13%	12.52%	3.22%

	MONTANA COMPOSITE STATISTICS	Year: 2003
277 244	Description	Amount
1		
2	Plant (Intrastate Only) (000 Omitted)	
3	rian (minustate only) (ood onlined)	
4	101 Plant in Service	\$56,666
5	107 Construction Work in Progress	404
6	114 Plant Acquisition Adjustments	707
7	104 Plant Leased to Others	13
8	105 Plant Held for Future Use	
9	154, 156 Materials & Supplies	314
10	(Less):	
11	108, 111 Depreciation & Amortization Reserves	36,859
12	252 Contributions in Aid of Construction	182
13	NET POOK CORTO	
14 15	NET BOOK COSTS	\$20,356
16	Revenues & Expenses (000 Omitted)	
17	Nevertues & Expenses (000 Offitted)	
18	400 Operating Revenues	#20.450
19	operating revenues	\$68,459
20	403 - 407 Depreciation & Amortization Expenses	\$2.202
21	Federal & State Income Taxes	\$2,392 833
22	Other Taxes	2,168
23	Other Operating Expenses	60,542
24	TOTAL Operating Expenses	\$65,935
25		Ψ00,000
26	Net Operating Income	\$2,524
27		, , :
28	Other Income	651
29	Other Deductions	1,350
30	NET INCOME	
31 32	NET INCOME	\$1,825
33	Customers (Intrastate Only)	
34	Customers (intrastate Only)	
35	Year End Average:	
36	Residential	20 500
37	Firm General	63,588
38	Small Interruptible	7,728
39	Large Interruptible	41
40	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5
41	TOTAL NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	71,362
42		7 1,502
43	Other Statistics (Intrastate Only)	
44		
45	Average Annual Residential Use (Dkt))	92
46	Average Annual Residential Cost per (Dkt) (\$) * 1/	\$7.78
4.7	* Avg annual cost = [(cost per Dkt x annual use) +	
47	(mo. svc chrg x 12)]/annual use	
48	Average Residential Monthly Bill	\$55.51
49	Gross Plant per Customer	\$794

^{1/} Reflects cost per dk effective December 1, 2003.

MONTANA CUSTOMER INFORMATION

	MONTA	ANA CUSTOMEI	R INFORMA	TION		Year: 2003
					Industrial	
		Population	Residential	Commercial	& Other	Total
TO SEE	City/Town	(Includes Rural) 1/	Customers	Customers	Customers	Customers
	Belfry	219	135	20		155
	Billings	89,847	40,326	3,867		44,193
3	Bridger	745	402	65		467
4	Crow Agency	1,552	329	66		395
	Edgar	Not Available	103	8		111
	Fromberg	486	277	21		298
7	Hardin	3,384	1,258	204		1,462
8	Joliet	575	340	45		385
9	Laurel	6,255	3,382	262		3,644
10	Park City	870	464	23		487
11	Pryor	628	85	13		98
12	Rockvale	Not Available	59	4		63
13	Silesia	Not Available	32	2		34
14	Warren	Not Available	02	2		ł.
	Alzada	Not Available	7	6		2
	Baker	1,695	780	172		13
	Carlyle	Not Available	8	1		952
	Fort Peck	240	129	9		9
	Fairview	709	344	47		138
	Forsyth	1,944	872			391
	Frazer	452	99	139		1,011
	Glasgow	3,253		15		114
	Glendive	4,729	1,646	299		1,945
	Hinsdale	Not Available	2,947	407		3,354
	Ismay	i i	113	19		132
	Malta	26	8	4		12
	Miles City	2,120	994	200		1,194
	Nashua	8,487	3,878	526		4,404
		325	179	20		199
	Poplar	911	864	130		994
	Richey	189	123	25		148
	Rosebud	Not Available	44	6		50
	Saco	224	42	6		48
	Savage	Not Available	142	17		159
	Sidney	4,774	2,241	388		2,629
	Terry	611	312	62		374
	St. Marie	183	150	10		160
	Wibaux	567	213	50		263
	Whitewater	Not Available	35	9		44
	Wolf Point	2,663	1,405	204		1,609
	MT Oil Fields	Not Available	2	3		5
41	TOTAL Montana Customers	138,663	64,769	7,376		72,145

^{1/ 2000} Census.

	MONTANA EMPLO	YEE COUNTS 1/		Year: 2003
	Department	Year Beginning	Year End	Average
	Electric	20	19	20
	Gas	41 (1)	42	41 (1)
	Accounting	20	20	20
	Management	6	6	6
	Service 2/	56 (3)	58(3)	57 (3)
4	Marketing/Communications	5	7 ′	6
6	Power	26	27	27
10				
11				
12				
13				
1				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
i .				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41	TOTAL M			
42	TOTAL Montana Employees	174 (4)	179 (3)	177 (4)

^{1/} Parentheses denotes part-time.

^{2/} Reflects service employees such as meter readers and servicemen.

Company Name: Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

Project Description Total Company Total Mor		3
Total Mol	ıtana	
1 Projects>\$1,000,000		
3 Common-General		
4 Develop Geospacial Enterprise Management System \$1,292,830 \$3	29,393	1/
5		
6 Electric-Steam Production		
7 Replace High and Intermediate Pressure Turbine - Big Stone 1,677,770 4	04,827	1/
8		
9 Electric-Transmission		
10 Construct 17.4 Miles of 115 KV Line South of Baker, MT 1,068,378 1,068	68,378	2/
11		
12 Other Projects<\$1,000,000		
13		
14 Electric	ŧ	
15 Production 4,238,610 1,0	22,735	1/
16 Transmission:		
17 Integrated 2,915,471 8	70,858	1/
1 101 Direct	1	2/
19 Distribution 5,565,988 99		2/
20 General 1,246,284 2	1	2/
21 Common:		
22 General Office 1,671,269 3	78,477	1/
231 Other Direct	1	2/
24 Total Electric 17,276,681 4,1	53,217	_
25		
26 <u>Gas</u>		
27 Production 28,541		1/
28	l	.,
29 Distribution 7,816,014 2,31	07,610	2/
30 General 2.445.092 6		2/
31 Common:		
32 General Office 1,281,489 33	29,072	1/
33 Other Direct		2/
24 Total Cas	68,794	
35	30,101	
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42 TOTAL \$33,205,766 \$9,42	24,609	

^{1/} Allocated to Montana.

^{2/} Directly assigned to Montana.

SCHEDULE 32

Page 1 of 3

TRANSMISSION SYSTEM - TOTAL COMPANY & MONTANA

Year:	2003

C22552464		10	otal Company	
		Peak	Peak Day Volumes	Total Monthly Volumes
		Day of Month	Mcf or Dkt	Mcf or Dkt
1	January			
2	February			
3	March			
4	April			
5	May			
6	June	NOT APPLICABLE		
7	July			
8	August			
9	September			
10	October			
11	November			
12	December			
13	TOTAL		energy years the same	

			Montana	
		Peak Day of Month	Peak Day Volumes Mcf or Dkt	Total Monthly Volumes Mcf or Dkt
14	January			Wei of Ditt
15	February			
16	March			
17	April			
18	May			
19	June	NOT APPLICABLE		
20	July			
21	August			
22	September			
23	October			
24	November			
25	December			
26	TOTAL			

Page 2 of 3

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - TOTAL COMPANY & MONTANA

Year: 2003

		Т	otal Company	
		Peak	Peak Day Volumes	Total Monthly Volumes
3 (1) 3 (1) (1)		Day of Month	Dkt	Dkt
1	January	22	282,195	6,563,629
2	February	23	285,235	6,004,622
3	March	8	274,790	5,342,347
4	April	2	164,520	3,077,876
5	May	10	105,516	2,217,236
6	June	6	72,363	1,746,421
7	July	2	52,237	1,421,297
8	August	19	54,285	1,436,228
9	September	30	102,898	1,971,798
10	October	31	208,347	3,272,099
11	November	23	259,758	6,026,666
12	December	30	254,011	6,395,532
13	TOTAL		at the second of	45,475,751

			Montana	
100 P		Peak Day of Month	Peak Day Volumes Dkt	Total Monthly Volumes Dkt
14	January	21	86,501	1,969,284
15	February	23	86,347	1,783,477
16	March	7	89,276	1,634,665
17	April	2	48,729	994,364
18	May	5	35,486	703,429
19	June	6	28,927	688,025
20	July	2	21,509	493,098
21	August	19	23,459	539,382
22	September	29	33,602	726,250
23	October	31	73,698	1,146,235
24	November	22	89,601	2,010,338
25	December	30	82,171	2,103,516
26	TOTAL			14,792,063

Company Name: Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

SCHEDULE 32 Continued Page 3 of 3

			STORAGE SY	STEM - TOTAL C	STORAGE SYSTEM - TOTAL COMPANY & MONTANA	ANA	•	Year: 2003
			AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P	Total	Total Company			
		Peak Day	Peak Day of Month	Peak Day Volumes (Dkt)	Jumes (Dkt)	Total	Total Monthly Volumes (Dkt)	
		Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	Losses
+	January		22	1,071	159,910	5,837	2,770,546	
2	February	2	23	1,003	167,670	3,841	2,701,913	
3	March	31	8	34,495	156,189	149,184	1,723,714	
4	April	25	2	46,474	53,719	554,625	364,206	
2	May	28	10	58,720	5,835	1,223,039	15,136	
9	June	14	-	80,763	332	1,839,507	694	
7	July	24		86,847	0	2,476,855	0	
80	August	23		79,371	0	2,276,168	0	
6	September	23	17	76,435	473	1,923,126	474	
10	October	80	31	63,756	71,397	1,055,904	182,383	
7	November	19	5	12,010	100,176	25,880	1,669,629	
12	December	11	30	1,772	104,954	9,171	1,896,934	
13 T	13 TOTAL					11,543,137	11,325,629	

			Mon	Montana			
	Peak Day	Peak Day of Month	Peak Day Vo	Peak Day Volumes (Dkt)	Tota	Total Monthly Volumes (Dkt)	
	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	Losses
14 January							
15 February							
16 March							
17 April							
18 May				***************************************			
19 June	NOT AV	NOT AVAILABLE					
20 July							
21 August							
22 September		10. — H	Alteria				
23 October							
24 November							
25 December							
26 TOTAL							

Year: 2003	This Year Avg. Commodity Cost		\$4.098
	Last Year Avg. Commodity A		\$2.013
	This Year Volumes Dkt		36,113,425
SOURCES OF GAS SUPPLY	Last Year Volumes Dkt		34,292,125
SOURCES O	Name of Supplier 1/	1 Supplier information is proprietary and confidential.	32 33 Total Gas Supply Volumes
		- 0 6 4 5 9 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 8 9 8 9 7 8 9 8 9	33

Company Name: Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

SCHEDULE 34

Year: 2003	Difference		
	Achieved Savings (Mcf or Dkt)		
F PROGRAMS	Planned Savings (Mcf or Dkt)		***************************************
ANAGEMENT	% Change		
1AND SIDE MA	Last Year Expenditures		
VATION & DEN	Current Year Expenditures		
MONTANA CONSERVATION & DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS	Program Description		
		7	32 TOTAL
		1	32

Company Name: Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

		MONTANA CO	MONTANA CONSUMPTION AND REVENUES	ND REVENUE	S		Year: 2003
·		Operating	Operating Revenues	DK Sold	plos	Avg. No. of Customers	Sustomers
	Sales of Gas	Current Year	Previous Year	Current Year	Previous Year	Current Year	Previous Year
	Residential	\$42,354,672	\$29,528,787	5,839,669	6,207,896	63,588	62,947
2	Firm General	23,491,982	16,549,060	3,350,883	3,634,551	7,728	7,631
ლ .	Small Interruptible	468,350	306,359	82,703	82,413	4	4
4 1	Large Interruptible	512		83			
Ω (
9							
. ∞							
თ					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
2 5	TOTAL	() T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		000000			
=	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	\$00,315,516	\$46,384,ZU6	9,273,338	9,924,860	028,17	785,07
12							
14		Operating Revenues	Revenues	BCF Transported	sported	Avg. No. of Customers	ustomers
15							
16		Current	Previous	Current	Previous	Current	Previous
17	Transportation of Gas	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
18							
19	Utilities						
20	Small Interruptible	\$400,008	\$468,141	6.0	0.7	37	36
21	Large Interruptible	613,539	553,882	4.4	3.9	5	2
22	Firm				***************************************		
24	TOTAL	\$1,322.637	\$1 022 023	53	4.6	42	17
		100,110,10	0.704.704.0	5.0	t.	74	4