YEAR ENDING 2011

ANNUAL REPORT

NorthWestern Energy

GAS UTILITY



TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MONTANA 1701 PROSPECT AVENUE P.O. BOX 202601 HELENA, MT 59620-2601

Gas Annual Report

Table of Contents	· · · · ·	
Description	Schedule	
Instructions		
Identification	1	
Board of Directors	2	
Officers	3	
Corporate Structure	4	
Corporate Allocations	5	
Affiliate Transactions - To the Utility	6	
Affiliate Transactions - By the Utility	7	
Montana Utility Income Statement	8	·
Montana Revenues	9	
Montana Operation and Maintenance Expenses	10	· · ·
Montana Taxes Other Than Income	11	
Payments for Services	12	
Political Action Committees/Political Contributions	13	
Pension Costs	14	
Other Post Employment Benefits	15	
Top Ten Montana Compensated Employees	16	
Top Five Corporate Compensated Employees	17	
Balance Sheet	18	

continued on next page

Description	Schedule
Montana Plant in Service	19
Montana Depreciation Summary	20
Montana Materials and Supplies	21
Montana Regulatory Capital Structure	22
Statement of Cash Flows	23
Long Term Debt	24
Preferred Stock	25
Common Stock	26
Montana Earned Rate of Return	27
Montana Composite Statistics	28
Montana Customer Information	29
Montana Employee Counts	30
Montana Construction Budget	31
Transmission, Distribution and Storage Systems	32
Sources of Gas Supply	33
MT Conservation and Demand Side Mgmt. Programs	34
Montana Consumption and Revenues	35
Natural Gas Universal System Benefits Programs	36a
Montana Conservation and Demand Side Management Programs	36b

. . . .

Sch. 1	IDENTIFICATION	······································
1	Legal Name of Respondent:	NorthWestern Corporation
3 4	Name Under Which Respondent Does Business:	NorthWestern Energy
5 6 7 8	Date Utility Service First Offered in Montana:	Electricity - Dec 12, 1912 Natural Gas - Jan 01, 1933 Propane - Oct 13, 1995
9 10	Person Responsible for Report:	Kendall G. Kliewer
11 12	Telephone Number for Report Inquiries:	(406) 497-2759
13 14 15 16	Address for Correspondence Concerning Report:	40 East Broadway Street Butte, MT 59701
17 18	If direct control over respondent is held by another en address, means by which control is held and percent	• •
	entity:	
	N/A	

Sch. 2	BOARD OF DIRECTORS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····
	Director's Name & Address (City, State)	·····	Remuneration
1 2 3	See Northwestern Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K to the SEC for the Corporate Board of Directors.		
4			· · · .
6 7 8 9		· · .	
10			
12 13 14			
15 16 17			
18 19 20			
21 22 23			
24 25 26			
27 28 29			
30 31 32			
33 34 35			
36 37 38			
39 40 41			
42 43			

	Title	OFFICERS Department Supervised	Name
1			
2 3	• • •	•	
4	President & Chief Executive Officer	Executive	Robert Rowe
5	•		
6		.	
• 7	Vice President,	Tax, Internal Audit, Credit	Brian Bird
8	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	Financial Planning and Analysis	· · ·
9		Controller and Treasury Functions	
10		Investor Relations and Business Development	
11		Cash Management and Financial Applications	
12 13		Business Technology	
14		Energy Risk Management Flight Services, Executive Compensation	
15		riight Services, Executive Compensation	
16	Vice President,	Legal Services	Heather Grahame
17	General Counsel	Corporate Secretary	
18		Records Management	
19		Risk Management	
20			
21	Vice President,	Distribution Operations - MT/SD/NE	Curt Pohl
22	Distribution Operations	Construction, Asset Management	
23		Organizational Development & Labor Relations	
24		Distribution Infrastructure	
25		Safety/Health/Environmental Services	
26		Support Services	
27			
28	Vice President,	Electric Transmission Engineering & Planning	Michael Cashell
29 30	Transmission	Gas Transmission & Storage Transmission Services	
31		Systems Operations Control Center	
32		Transmission Business Development, Performance,	
33		and Analysis	
34		FERC Compliance	
35		Mountain States Transmission Intertie Project	
36			
37	Vice President,	Production & Generation Operations	John Hines
38	Supply	Energy Supply Planning, Regulatory, &	
39		Marketing	
40		Energy Supply Long-Term Growth	
41	New Development	O succession of the Description of the last	
42	Vice President,	Government & Regulatory Affairs	Patrick Corcoran
43 44	Government & Regulatory Affairs		
44	Vice President,	Corporate Communications	Bobbi Schroeppel
46	Customer Care, Communications &	Account and Analysis	Conn comochhei
47	Human Resources	Infrastructure Systems and Support	
48		Customer Care	
49		Key Accounts/Customer Education	
50		Human Resources	
51			
52	Chief Audit & Compliance Officer	Internal Audit	Michael Nieman
53		Enterprise Risk	
54			16 1 - 11 + 411
55	Vice President, Controller	Financial Reporting	Kendall Kliewer
56 57		Accounting Accounts Payable/Payroll	
58		Compensation and Benefits	
50 59		Compensation and Denenits	
30			
}			
Re	flects active officers as of December 31, 2011		

Sch. 4		ATE STRUCTURE			
	Subsidiary/Company Name	Line of Business	Earr	nings (000)	% of Tota
	$\label{eq:states} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L} = \left\{ \mathbf{r}_{i} \right\} \\ \mathcal$			· ·	
Regulate	ed Operations (Jurisdictional & Non-Jurisdicti	onal)	\$	92,851	100.329
	NorthWestern Corporation:			,	
	Montana Utility Operations	Electric Utility Natural Gas Utility Natural Gas Pipeline (including CMP) Propane Utility Natural Gas Funding Trust - (Bond Transition Financing) 1/		:	
;	South Dakota Utility Operations	Electric Utility Natural Gas Utility			
i	Nebraska Utility Operations	Natural Gas Utility			
Inregula	ated Operations		\$	(295)	-0.32%
1	Direct Subsidiaries:				
	NorthWestern Services, LLC	Nonregulated natural gas marketing, property management			
	Clark Fork and Blackfoot, LLC	Former Milltown hydroelectric facility			
	NorthWestern Investments, LLC	Holds non-utility assets	•		
	Risk Partners Assurance, Ltd.	Captive insurance company			
	Mountain States Transmission Intertie, LLC	Will hold new transmission infrastructure assets			
łr	ndirect Subsidiaries:				
	Montana Generation, LLC	Non-regulated energy marketing			
otal Cor	poration	1	\$	92,556	100.00%
1.	/ While the Natural Gas Funding Trust (the Trus information pertaining to the Trust is reported it is reflected on the equity basis in this preser	to the MPSC on a semi-annual basis,			

ch. 5		CORPORATE ALLOCATIO	INS			. '
				\$ to MT EI &		
1999 A	Departments Allocated	Description of Services	Allocation Method	Gas Utilities	<u>MT %</u>	\$ to Other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	, Controller	Includes the following departments: Controller, Accounting Accounts Payable, Payroll, Financial Reporting and Compensation & Benefits	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	\$32,144,468	84.73%	\$5,792,496
9 10 11 12 13	Customer Care	Includes the following departments: Customer Care Combined, Customer Care SD&NE CC MT, Business Develop, Corp Communications & Contributions, Human Resources and Print Services	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	20,511,137	76.34%	6,357,423
14 15 16 17 18	Legal Department	Includes the following departments: Chief Legal, Record Services, Risk Management	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	12,746,518	85.79%	2,111,024
19 20 21 22 23	Finance	Includes the following departments: CFO, Treasury, FP&A Tax , Investor Relations, Corporate Aircraft, Business Technology Applications, Security, Data Center, Project Management & Asset Control and Capital Related Exp.	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	14,103,644	74.14%	4,920,407
23 24 25 26 27 28		Includes the following departments: Regulatory Affairs, Load Research, Government Affairs, Regulatory Support Services, Community Relations and Public Affairs	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	3,858,396	83.59%	757,460
20 29 30 31 32 33	Executive Department	Includes the following departments: CEO and Board of Directors	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	2,979,188	71.19%	1,205,667
34 35 36 37 38	Audit & Controls	Includes the following departments: Internal Audit and Enterprise Risk Management	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	750,134	73.00%	277,44
30 39 40 41 42 43	Distribution	Includes the following departments: Sioux Falls Facilities and Mail Services	Overhead costs not charged directly are typically allocated based on a 3-factor formula consisting of gross plant, labor, and margin.	528,871	73.00%	195,61
44			· ·	\$87,622,356	80.21%	\$21.617.53

Sch. 6	AFFI	LIATE TRANSACTIONS - PROD	UCTS & SERVICES PROVIDED TO UTI	LITY		
		-		Charges	% of Total	Charges
	Affiliate Name	Products & Services	Method to Determine Price	to Utility	Affil. Rev.	to MT Utility
1						
2	Nonutility Subsidiaries					
3						
4		·				
5						
6						
7		•				
8						
				\$0		\$0
	Total Nonutility Subsidiaries Revenues		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0	L	
11						
12	<i>i</i>			r	T	
13	Utility Subsidiaries					
14	Canadian-Montana Pipeline Corporation	Transportation	Tariff Rates	\$29,400	20.2%	\$29,400
15	Total Utility Subsidiaries			\$29,400		\$29,400
16	Total Utility Subsidiaries Revenues			\$2,473,186		
17	TOTAL AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$29,400		\$29,400

Sch. 7	4	AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS - PRODUC	TS & SERVICES PROVIDED BY UTILIT	Y		
	Affiliate Name	Products & Services	Method to Determine Price	Charges to Affiliate	% of Total Affil. Exp.	Revenues to MT Utility
1	Nonutility Subsidiaries					
3 4 5						
6		•			· .	
	Total Nonutility Subsidiaries			\$0		\$0
	Total Nonutility Subsidiaries Expenses		······································	\$344		
11						
13	Utility Subsidiaries					
14	Natural Gas Funding Trust	Metering and billing services	Negotiated Contract Rate	\$1,000,000	. 94.9%	\$1,000,000
15	Total Utility Subsidiaries			\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000
16	Total Utility Subsidiaries Expenses			\$1,065,228		
17	TOTAL AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS			\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000

Sch. 8	T	MONTANA UTIL	ITY	INCOME STAT	EME	NT - NATURA	LG	AS (INCLUDES	CN	1P)	
		Account Number & Title	Т	his Year Cons. Utility		n Jurisdictional Adjustments		This Year Montana		Last Year Montana	% Change
1 2 3		Operating Revenues	\$	315,329,572	\$	92,960,425	\$	222,369,147	\$	207,227,712	7.31%
4	Total Ope	rating Revenues		315,329,572		92,960,425		222,369,147		207,227,712	7.31%
567		Operating Expenses									
8	401	Operation Expense		224,915,826	1	74,931,442		149,984,384		137,524,445	9.06%
9		Maintenance Expense		8,449,444		1,635,478		6,813,966		5,820,806	17.06%
10	403	Depreciation Expense		18,686,673		5,668,371		13,018,302		12,251,308	6.26%
11		Amort. & Depletion of Gas Plant		2,594,656	1	297,637		2,297,019		1,928,363	19.12%
. 12		Amort. of Plant Acquisition Adj.		(2,286,206)		(2,286,206)		-		-	
13		Regulatory Amortizations - Debit	1	13,899,147		1,360,113		12,539,034		10,619,460	18.08%
14		Regulatory Amortizations - Credit		(3,630,421)		(265,228)		(3,365,193)		(5,222,095)	35.56%
15		Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	ļ	25,168,693		1,843,120		23,325,573		23,811,591	-2.04%
16	409.1	Income Taxes-Federal		(1,202,470)		(1,277,793)		75,323		(30,140)	>300.00%
17		-Other		(334,819)		(349,209)		14,390		(41,846)	134.39%
18		Deferred Income Taxes-Dr.		41,620,517		11,062,306		30,558,211		17,157,472	78.10%
19		Deferred Income Taxes-Cr.		(36,940,233)		(7,465,460)		(29,474,773)		(15,264,941)	-93.09%
20 21	411.4	Investment Tax Credit Adj.		(34,003)		(34,003)		-		-	-
	Total Oner	ating Expenses		290,906,804		85,120,568		205,786,236		188,554,423	9,14%
H	the second s	ATING INCOME	\$	24,422,768	s		\$	16,582,911	\$	18,673,289	-11.19%
24			<u> </u>			110001001	<u> </u>		- -	10,010,200	
25											
	This financia	I statement is presented on the basis of	f the	accounting requi	reme	nts of the Feder	al Fr	nerav Regulatory			
		(FERC) as set forth in its applicable U		• ·							.
		, subsidiaries are presented using the		•					intor	^	
J J	•	entation in FERC Form 1, plus Canadia	•			-	, hie	sented are consi	5161		
30	with the bles	entation in FERG Form 1, plus Carladia		fillana ripenne c	, or hor	auon.					1
31											
32											
33										<i>i</i>	
34											
35											
				*		··					

Sch. 9	MONTAN	A REVENUES - NA	TURAL GAS (INC	LUDES CMP)		
			Non			
		This Year Cons.	Jurisdictional	This Year	Last Year	
	Account Number & Title	Utility	Adjustments	Montana	Montana	% Change
1 2						
3						· ·
4		\$ 173,610,638	\$ 49,487,213	\$ 124,123,425	\$ 116,083,244	6.93%
5	442.1 Commercial	98,902,364	35,505,975	63,396,389	58,397,898	8.56%
6		1,465,611	-	1,465,611	1,707,854	-14.18%
7		509,413	-	509,413	459,804	10.79%
8		535,898	-	535,898	414,501	29.29%
9 10		-	-	-	-	-
10	Total Sales to Core DBUs	275,023,924	84,993,188	190,030,736	177,063,301	7.32%
12						
13	447 Sales for Resale	7,278,167	-	7,278,167	6,736,309	8.04%
14						
	Total Sales of Natural Gas	282,302,091	84,993,188	197,308,903	183,799,610	7.35%
16 17		(69,900)	-	(69,900)	(948,889)	92.63%
	Total Revenue Net of Rate Refunds	282,232,191	84,993,188	197,239,003	182,850,721	7.87%
16		202,202,101	04,000,100	101,200,000	102,000,721	1.0770
17	Transportation					
18	-					
19	489 Transportation (inc. CMP)	28,927,707	7,333,844	21,593,863	20,871,222	3.46%
20	495 Off System Storage	-]	-	-	-	-
21 22	Total Revenues From Transportation	28,927,707	7,333,844	21,593,863	20,871,222	3.46%
22	Total Revenues From mansportation	20,921,101	7,333,044	21,093,003	20,071,222	3.40%
24	Other Operating Revenue					
25			.		ł	
26	Miscellaneous Revenues	4,169,674	633,393	3,536,281	3,505,769	0.87%
27						
	Total Other Operating Revenue	4,169,674	633,393	3,536,281	3,505,769	0.87%
- F	TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 315,329,572	\$ 92,960,425	\$ 222,369,147	\$ 207,227,712	7.31%
30 31						
32	Sales for Resale reported on line 13	represents on and of	ff-system sales from	excess supply	•	
33	Revenues generated from these sale					
34	This line consists of sales for resale a					
35	which only reflects sales to other utilit	ies.				
36						
37		··				

Sch. 10	MONTANA OPERAT		CE EXPENSES - NA			
1.12		This Year Cons.	Non Jurisdictional	This Year	Last Year	
142 A 2	Account Number & Title	Utility	Adjustments	Montana	Montana	% Change
1	Gas Raw Materials	(· · ·				
2						
3	728 Liquefied Petroleum Gas	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	
4	735 Miscellaneous Production Expenses	627	627	_		
5	Total Operation-Gas Raw Materials	627	627	-	-	
6						
7	Gas Raw Materials-Maintenance					
8	741 Structures & Improvements	6,661	6,661	-	- 1	· · ·
9	Total Maintenance-Gas Raw Materials	6,661	6,661	-	· -	-
10	Total Gas Raw Materials	7,288	7,288			
11	Production Expenses					
12					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Production & Gathering-Operation					
14	750 Supervision & Engineering	5,604	-	5,604	1,153	>300.00%
15	751 Maps & Records	0,004	[]	5,004	1,100	~300.00%
16	752 Gas Wells Expenses	231,255	-	231,255	23,475	>300.00%
17	752 Gas Wells Expenses	201,200	-	201,200	20,410	~300.00%
18	755 Field Compressor Station Expense	97,478	-	97,478	35,475	174.78%
19	755 Field Comp. Station Fuel & Power	141,936	-	141,936	20,770	>300.00%
20	756 Field Meas. & Reg. Station Expense	10,737		10,737	20,770	>300.00%
20	756 Field Meas, & Reg. Station Expense 757 Dehydration Expense	13,668	-1		1 774	
21			-	13,668		>300.00%
22	758 Gas Well Royalties	350,425	-	350,425	497	>300.00%
	759 Other Expenses	298,068	· -	298,068	56,330	>300.00%
24	760 Rents	5,675		5,675		-
25	Total OperProduction & Gathering	1,154,846		1,154,846	142,158	>300.00%
26		ļ	J			
	Production Maintenance			[· [
28	762 Maint. of Gathering Structures	2,154	-	2,154	-	-
29	763 Maint. of Producing Gas Wells	11,100	-	11,100	1,823	>300.00%
30	764 Maint. of Field Lines	1,556	-	1,556	-	-
31	765 Maint. of Field Compressor Stations	22,261	-	22,261	182,506	-87.80%
32	766 Maint. of Field Meas. & Reg. Stations	3,057	-	3,057	1,172	160.78%
33	767 Maint. of Purification Equipment	2,952	-	2,952	1,106	166.96%
34	769 Maint. of Other Equipment	19,524		19,524	2,197	>300.00%
	Total Maintenance - Production	62,604		62,604	188,804	<u>-66.8</u> 4%
	TOTAL Natural Gas Production & Gatthering	1,217,450	-	1,217,450	330,962	267.85%
37						
	Other Gas Supply Expense-Operation					
39	800 NG Weilhead Purchases	103,025,754	-	103,025,754	101,721,848	1.28%
40	803 NG Transmission Line Purchases	1,574,502	-	1,574,502	2,338,030	-32.66%
41	805 Other Gas Purchases	62,715,310	61,149,326	1,565,984	(6,266,016)	124.99%
42	805 Purchased Gas Cost Adjustments	-	· -	-	-	-
43	805 Incremental Gas Cost Adjustments	-	-	· -	-]	_
44	805 Deferred Gas Cost Adjustments	-	-	-	-	-
45	806 Exchange Gas	-	-	-	-	-
46	807 Well Expenses-Purchased Gas	3,877,810	15,511	3,862,299	2,677,990	44.22%
47	807 Purch. Gas Meas. Stations-Oper.		- [-	-	-
48	807 Purch. Gas Meas. Stations-Maint.	-	-	-	-	_
49	807 Purch. Gas Calculations Expenses		· _	_ ·		-
50	808 Other Purchased Gas Expenses	-	-	- 1	-	_
51	808 Gas Withdrawn from Storage -Dr.	(4,708,295)	_	(4,708,295)	(2,395,848)	-96.52%
52	809 Gas Delivered to Storage -Cr.		-		,_,_,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
53	810 Gas Used-Comp. Station Fuel-Cr.	_	_	_	_]	_
54	811 Gas Used-Products Extraction-Cr.	_			_	[
55	812 Gas Used-Other Utility OperCr.	Ĩ		_		<u> </u>
	813 Other Gas Supply Expenses	[]]		[]	<u> </u>
561						
56 57 T	otal Other Gas Supply Expenses	166,485,081	61,164,837	105,320,244	98,076,004	7.39%

Sch.	IUMONTANA OPERAT	ION & MAINTENAN This Year Cons.	Non Jurisdictional		Last Year	
	Account Number & Title	Utility	Adjustments_	Montana	Montana	% Change
	1 Storage Expenses					
	2		;			
	3 Underground Storage-Operation 4 814 Supervision & Engineering	450 440	. •	150 140		
	4 814 Supervision & Engineering 5 815 Maps & Records	150,148 29	-	150,148	31,006	>300.00% -45.62%
	6 816 Wells	319.350	-	319,350	214,213	49.08%
	7 817 Lines	65,498	• •	65,498	54,500	20.18%
	8 818 Compressor Station	372,418	-	372,418	361,135	3.12%
	819 Compressor Station Fuel & Power	-	· -	-	-	· -
		73,736	-	73,736	32,315	128.18%
11		63,245 94,926	-	63,245 94,926	185,901 88,927	-65.98% 6.75%
1:		123,838	_	123,838	118,931	4.13%
14		-	· · _		-	
15		1,263,188	-	1,263,188	1,086,981	16.21%
16						
17						
18		-	• -	-	-	-
19 20		45,059	-	45,059	-46,810	-3.74%
21		7,617 27,001	-	7,617 27,001	4,104 14,532	85.61% 85.81%
22		137,993		137,993	253,351	-45.53%
23		294	<u>:</u>	294	2,367	-87.57%
24		10,891	-]	10,891	24,629	-55.78%
25		31,729	<u>·</u>	31,729		79.05%
26		260,584		260,584	363,514	-28.32%
27	Total Underground Storage Expenses	1,523,772		1,523,772	1,450,495	5.05%
28			· [
29 30		2,610,704	9,630	2,601,074	2,454,140	5.99%
31	851 System Control & Load Dispatching	1,119,212	5,000	1,119,212	1,015,086	10.26%
32	853 Compressor Station Labor & Expense	646,367	-	646,367	551,257	17.25%
33	855 Other Fuel & Power for Comp. Stal.	-	-	-	-	-
34	856 Mains	994,152	23,337	970,815	1,082,928	-10.35%
35	857 Measuring & Regulating Station	607,558	2,160	605,398	664,195	-8.85%
36	858 Transmission & CompBy Others	·	-			
37 38	859 Other Expenses	1,954,528	124	1,954,404	1,247,199	56.70%
38 39	860 Rents Total Operation-Transmission	7,932,521	35,251	7,897,270	7.014,805	12.58%
40	Transmission-Maintenance	1,002,021	00,201	1,001,210	7,014,000	12.00 %
41	861 Supervision & Engineering	129,703	-	129,703	24,400	>300.00%
42	862 Structures & improvements	133,453	×~ 165	133,288	89,064	49.65%
43	863 Mains	219,400	10,675	208,725	140,286	48.78%
44	864 Compressor Station Equipment	1,080,450	-	1,080,450	525,652	105.54%
45 46	865 Meas. & Reg. Station Equipment 867 Other Equipment	366,620	1,067	365,553	442,974	-17.48%
40	Total Maintenance-Transmission	<u> </u>	11,907	<u> </u>	<u>19,905</u> 1,242,281	<u>-10.57%</u> 55.80%
48	Total Transmission Expenses	9,879,949	47,158	9,832,791	8,257,086	19.08%
49		0,010,010		0,002,701	0,201,000	
50	Distribution Expenses	ł				
51	Distribution-Operation					
52	870 Supervision & Engineering	3,091,958	1,232,384	1,859,574	1,791,580	3.80%
53	871 Load Dispatching	108,338	108,338	-	-	-
54	872 Compressor Station Labor & Expense	-	-	-	-	-
55 56	873 Compressor Station Fuel and Power 874 Mains and Services	4 759 002	2 101 757	2 567 226	2 314 050	-
56 57	874 Mains and Services 875 Meas. & Reg. Station-General	4,758,993 409,598	2,191,757 214,544	2,567,236 195,054	2,314,050 197,643	10.94% -1.31%
58	876 Meas. & Reg. Station-Industrial		-		-	-1.3170
59	877 Meas. & Reg. Station-City Gate	226,297	52,940	173,357	177,454	-2.31%
60	878 Meter & House Regulator	2,451,952	832,250	1,619,702	1,527,672	6.02%
61	879 Customer Installations	2,775,757	255,930	2,519,827	2,467,053	2.14%
62	880 Other Expenses	1,076,933	483,145	593,788	896,777	-33.79%
63	881 Rents	3,573		3,573	3,649	-2.08%
	Total Operation-Distribution	14,903,399	5,371,288	9,532,111	9,375,878	1.67%
65 66	Distribution-Maintenance 885 Supervision & Engineering	1,258,934	281,688	977,246	801 406	0.000
67	886 Structures & Improvements	1,200,934	201,000	5//,240	891,406	9.63%
68	887 Mains	1,276,087	314,658	961,429	726,433	32.35%
69	889 Meas. & Reg. Station ExpGeneral	162,652	101,632	61,020	44,163	38.17%
70	890 Meas. & Reg. Station ExpIndustrial	-	-		-	
71	891 Meas. & Reg. Station ExpCity Gate	50,339	50,339	-	-	-{
72	892 Services	1,057,438	503,676	553,762	509,765	8.63%
73	893 Meters & House Regulators	1,299,468	298,587	1,000,881	910,745	9.90%
74	894 Other Equipment	5,104,918	1,550,580	3,554,338	3 082 512	15 210/
	Fotal Distribution Expenses	20,008,317	6,921,868	13,086,449	3,082,512	<u>15.31%</u> 5.04%
		20,000,017	0,021,000	10,000,443	12,700,000	3.04%

٠.

Schedule 10A

		This Year Cons.	Non Jurisdictional	I This Year	Last Year	
	Account Number & Title	Utility	Adjustments	Montana	Montana	% Char
1	Customer Accounts Expenses					
2	Customer Accounts-Operation			1		
3	901 Supervision	-			-	
4	902 Meter Reading	1,343,537	773,615	569,922	530,830	7.3
5	903 Customer Records & Collection	3,183,984	542,292	2,641,692	2,618,613	0.8
6	904 Uncollectible Accounts	1,019,746	227,616	792,130	523,024	51.4
7	905 Miscellaneous Customer Accounts	39,382	39,420			-60.2
8	Total Customer Accounts Expenses	5,586,649	1,582,943	4,003,706	3,672,443	9.0
9						
10	Customer Service & Information Expenses					
11	Customer Service-Operation			1		
12	907 Supervision	-	-	-	-	
13	908 Customer Assistance	2,517,033	1,055,326	1,461,707	1,368,213	6.8
14	909 inform. & Instructional Advertising	508,774	131,860	376,914	486,538	-22.5
15	910 Misc. Customer Service & Inform.	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	
16	Total Customer Service & Information Exp.	3,025,807	1,187,186	1,838,621	1,854,751	-0.8
17						
18	Sales Expenses					
19	Sales-Operation			}		
20	911 Supervision	-	-	-	-	
21	912 Demonstrating & Selling	-	·	-	-	
22	913 Advertising	116,560	37,668	78,892	76,490	3.1
23	916 Miscellaneous Sales			<u> </u>		
24	Total Sales Expenses	116,560	37,668	78,892	76,490	3.1
25						
26	Administrative & General Expenses					
27	Admin. & General - Operation	10.000	a .a a.a.			
28	920 Administrative & General Salaries	12,269,626	3,424,250	8,845,376	7,983,696	10.7
29	921 Office Supplies & Expenses	3,938,115	1,343,695	2,594,420	2,177,746	19.1
0	922 Administrative Exp. Transferred-Cr.	(3,194,049)	(1,358,031)	(1,836,018)	(1,822,736)	-0.7
31	923 Outside Services Employed	2,257,392	628,799	1,628,593	2,054,109	-20.7
32	924 Property Insurance	281,863	75,931	205,932	189,439	8.7
33	925 Legal & Claim Department	3,231,435	580,723	2,650,712	2,060,425	28.65
14	926 Employee Pensions & Benefits	(1,601,975)	246,409	(1,848,384)	(1,748,781)	-5.70
5	928 Regulatory Commission Expenses	16,206	25	16,181	127,503	-87.3
6	930 Miscellaneous General Expenses	6,230,539	316,168	5,914,371	4,600,313	28.56
7	_931 Rents	1,017,996	293,673	724,323	603,221	20.08
	Total Operation-Admin. & General	24,447,148	5,551,642	18,895,506	16,224,935	16.46
	Admin. & General - Maintenance	1.027.040	66 000	4 000 040	0.40.005	
아	935 General Plant	1,067,249	<u>66,330</u> 5.617,972	1,000,919	943,695	6.06
	Total Admin. & General Expenses	25,514,397 \$ 233,365,270		19,896,425	17,168,630	15.89
12	OTAL OPER. & MAINT. EXPENSES	\$ 233,365,270	\$ 76,566,920	\$ 156,798,350	\$ 143,345,251	9.39

Schedule 10B

Sch. 11	MONTANA TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME - N	ATURAL GAS (INCLUDES CM	P)
	Description	This Year	Last Year	% Change
1				
2	Taxes associated with Payroll/Labor	\$1,774,818	\$1,640,447	8.19%
3	Property Taxes	19,965,541	20,896,137	-4.45%
4	Crow Tribe RR and Utility Tax	66,132	71,581	-7.61%
5	Blackfoot Possessoray Tax	299,064	317,493	-5.80%
6	City Tax	3,221	908	254.74%
7	Consumer Counsel	150,981	99,286	52.07%
8	Public Service Commission	609,878	489,462	24.60%
9	Heavy Highway Use	6,388	5,713	11.82%
10	Vehicle Use Taxes	91,892	77,082	19.21%
11	Gas Production Taxes	173,242	-	-
12	Oil & Gas Royalty Taxes	135,574	147,406	-8.03%
13	Delaware Franchise Tax	40,745	45,327	-10.11%
14				
15				
16				
17	<u>Canadian Taxes</u>			
18	Ad Valorem	8,097	20,749	-60.98%
19				
20				
21				[
22				
23 TC	DTAL TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	\$23,325,573	\$23,811,591	-2.04%

Sch. 12	PAYMENTS FOR	SERVICES TO PERSONS OTHER THAN EMPLOYEE	Total
	Name of Recipient	Nature of Service	i Uldi
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Construction	88,790
	ACE ELECTRIC INC	Construction	918,179
	AEVENIA INC	Construction	202,591
	AFTEC LLC		254,135
4	ALME CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Welding Services	257,996
	ALSTOM GRID INC	Software Support Services	2,308,677
6	APPALACHIAN PIPELINE CONTRACTORS	Pipeline Contractor	1,063,448
7	ARCADIS	Engineering Services	163,328
8	AREA STEEL	Construction	3,453,442
9	ASPLUNDH TREE EXPERT CO	Tree Trimming	
	ASSOCIATED ARBORISTS	Vegetation Management	1,796,451
	AUTOMOTIVE RENTALS INC	Fleet Management	8,114,301
	B & B CONTRACTING INC	Construction	459,179
	BALHOFF & WILLIAMS LLC	Legal Services	307,143
	BART ENGINEERING COMPANY	Engineering Services	254,976
	BENEDICT CONSULTING PLLC	Energy Management System Consulting	231,524
		Inspection and Remediation Services	154,285
	BGL ASSET SERVICES LLC	Water Hauling Services	114,708
17	BIG SKY WATER HAULING LLC	Asphalt Services	121,062
	BILL BALTRUSCH CONSTRUCTION INC	•	582,874
	BILL FIELD TRUCKING INC	Hauling Services	244,113
	BROWN COUNTY LANDFILL	Landfill Services	275,071
21	BROWNING, KALECZYC, BERRY & HOVAN	Legal Services	97,168
22	CARDINAL UTILITY CONSTRUCTION	Construction	91,112
	CAUTHEN FORBES & WILLIAMS	Governmental Affairs Consultant	
	CENTRAL AIR SERVICE INC	Aerial Pilot Services	329,048
	CENTRAL COPTERS INC	Flight Services	137,845
	CENTRON SERVICES INC	Collection Services	94,291
	CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY	Aircraft Maintenance	185,496
	CHARLES RIVER ASSOCIATES	Expert Witness	120,000
		Temporary Employment Services	99,788
29	COMPLETE CAREER CENTER INC	Process Management Services	79,471
	CONSTRUCTION BUSINESS ASSOCIATES	Fabrication Services	761,866
	CONTINENTAL STEEL WORKS		165,700
32	CON-WAY TRANSPORTION SERVICES	Freight Services	93,868
33	COP CONSTRUCTION LLC	Construction	175,723
34	CRIST KROGH & NORD LLC	Legal Services	610,345
	CROWLEY FLECK	Legal Services	
	DAKOTA HIGH VOLTAGE TESTING	Electric System Testing and Maintenance	117,714
	DAVEY TREE SURGERY COMPANY	Tree Trimming	1,712,585
20	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	Legal Services	507,673
	DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP	Audit Services	1,570,892
		Tax Consultants	305,300
		Board of Director Fees	76,768
	DENTON LOUIS PEOPLES	Weatherization Program Services	1,823,754
	DEPT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES		611,016
43	DEWILD GRANT RECKERT & ASSOCIATES	Engineering Services	82,185
	DHC INC	Boring Services	984,055
	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP	Legal Services	1,433,023
	DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION CO	Gas Pipeline Construction	
	DJ&A P C CONSULTING ENGINEERS	Engineering Services	120,101
	DNV RENEWABLES (USA) INC	Renewable Energy Consultants	179,444
	EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE	Membership Dues	422,399
	EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE	Anchor Rod Inspection Services	487,959
		Audit Services	99,573
		Locating Services and Excavation Notifications	1,980,917
	ELM LOCATING & UTILITY SERVICE	Software Support Services	419,266
	EMC CORPORATION HEADQUARTERS		178,110
	ENERGY CONTRACT SERVICES INC		772,123
55	ENERGY SHARE OF MONTANA	USBC Services	80,506
56	EXPRESS SERVICES INC	Temporary Employment Services	106,508
	FALLS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Construction	
	FISHNET SECURITY	Software Support Services	983,614
	FLEMING & O'LEARY PLLP	Legal Services	82,639
	GARLINGTON, LOHN & ROBINSON	Legal Services	99,053
		Information Technology Consulting	119,055
61	GARTNER INC	Well and Compressor Maintenance	120,329
	GD & J INC	Intellana compression manushando	
		Energy Conculting Services	80.120
63	GE ELECTRIC INTERNATIONAL INC GEOTEK ENGINEERING & TESTING	Energy Consulting Services Geotechnical Exploration Services	80,120 102,834

h. 12A		CES TO PERSONS OTHER THAN EMPLOYEE Nature of Service	Total
	Name of Recipient		
		Landscape Repair Services	114,3
	GREATER GALLATIN CONTRACTORS	Concrete and Asphalt Services	.624,3
	H & H CONTRACTING INC	Asphalt Services	
	H and H ASPHALT & MAINTENANCE	Backhoe Services	305,2
68	HAIDER CONSTRUCTION INC	Construction	700,7
69	HAROLD K SCHOLZ CO		87,1
	HARTINGTON TELECOMMUNICATIONS	Boring Services	456,1
71	HDR ENGINEERING INC	Engineering Services Employee Wellness Program Management	332,3
	HEALTH FITNESS CORPORATION		647,4
	HEATH CONSULTANTS INC	Gas Leak Surveys	171,0
74	HIGH MARK MEDIA	Marketing Services	153,5
75	HKG ARCHITECTS INC	Architectural Services	1,420,4
76	HUFF CONSTRUCTION INC	Construction	99,3
77	IMS CONSTRUCTION INC	Construction	2,153,8
78	INDEPENDENT INSPECTION COMPANY	Electric Line Inspection	
	INDEPENDENT POWER SYSTEMS INC	Installation of Renewal Energy Systems	181,3
80	INTELLIGENT ACCESS SYSTEMS OF NC	Access System Installation	97,2
	INTERGRAPH CORPORATION	Software Consultants	616,9
	JACOBSEN TREE EXPERTS	Tree Trimming	. 813,9
	JAMCS CORPORATION	Construction	. 81,6
	JAMES TALCOTT CONSTRUCTION INC	Construction	. 170,5
		Legal Services	169,0
		Construction	. 287,1
		Flight Services	163,5
87	JSSI JET SUPPORT SERVICES INC	Roofing Contractor	94,2
	K & K ROOFING AND EXCAVATION INC	-	97,4
89	KELLY SERVICES INC	Engineering Services	8,616,5
90	KEMA SERVICES INC	USB and DSM Programs and Services	114,8
91	KM CONSTRUCTION CO INC	Construction	98,4
92	KNIFE RIVER	Construction	. 110,7
93	KRONEBUSCH ELECTRIC INC	Construction	
94	LANDS ENERGY CONSULTING	Energy Consultants	122,:
	LARSON DIGGING INC	Construction	83,5
	LC STAFFING SERVICE	Temporary Employment Services	103,5
	LEONARD,STREET & DEINARD	Legal Services	. 91,4
08	LOCKMER PLUMBING HEATING & UTILITIES	Gas Meter Relocations	202,4
	MAPPCOR	Electric Reliability Services	286,0
		Conservation Program Consultants	90,4
100		Actuarial and Consulting Services	122,5
	MERCER HUMAN RESOURCE CONSULTI	Information Technology Services	. 393,4
	MERIDIAN IT INC	Computer Licensing	577,9
	MICROSOFT LICENSING GP		78,8
104	MICROSOFT SERVICES	Computer Maintenance	175,0
105	MONTANANS FOR COMMON SENSE PROPERTY RIGHTS	Political Action Committee	209,5
106	MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE	Debt Rating Services	. 261,5
107	MOUNTAIN WEST HOLDING COMPANY	Construction	
108	NATIONAL CENTER FOR APPROPRIATE TECHNOLOGY	Conservation Program Consultants	1,629,8
	NATURAL GAS SERVICES INC	Gas Servicemen	99,6
	NEWMECH COMPANIES INC	Construction	2,903,2
111	NORTHWEST ENERGY EFFICIENCY ALLIANCE	Energy Services	1,658,1
	OPEN ACCESS TECHNOLOGY INT'L INC	Software Support Services	303,8
	P2 ENERGY SOLUTIONS INC	Computer System Implementation	99,9
110	PAR ELECTRIC CONTRACTORS INC	Electric Construction and Maintenance	7,608,8
		Construction	172,0
115		Construction	107,2
	PARISI WESTERN PLUMBING & HEATING INC	Advertising	977,0
	PAULSEN MARKETING	Legal Services	613,6
	PERKINS COIE	Board of Director Fees	89,3
	PHILIP MASLOWE		180,3
	PICEK CONSTRUCTION CO INC	Construction	1,968,6
	POWER ENGINEERS INCORPORATED	Engineering Services	2,123,7
122	POWERPLAN CONSULTANTS INC	Software Implementation Support Services	2,123,7 105,1
123	PRAIRIE POTHOLE CONSULTING	Land Survey Services	
	PRATT & WHITNEY POWER SYSTEMS	Construction	10,172,0
	PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP	Software Implementation Support Services	496,6
120	PROFESSIONAL MAILING & MARKETING	Mailing Services	3,001,2
		Boring Services	242,7
	RML INCORPORATED ROCKY MOUNTAIN CONTRACTORS INC	Electric Construction and Maintenance	21,130,4
400			

89923933				
	Name of Recipient	Nature of Service	·	Total
13	0 ROS CONSULTING LLC	Engineering Services		
	1 ROUNDS BROTHERS TRENCHING	Boring Services		247,
	2 SAP INDUSTRIES INC	Software Support Services		.1,449,
	3 SCENIC CITY ENTERPRISES INC	Construction		111,
	4 SCHAEFFER CONSTRUCTION	Construction		149,3
	5 SCHOENFELDER CONSTRUCTION INC	Construction		
	6 SHUMAKER TRUCKING & EXCAVATING	Excavation Contractor		1,294,:
	7 SMARTPROS LEGAL & ETHICS LTD	Leadership Training and Surveys		1,254,
	BISOLAR PLEXUS	USB and DSM Programs and Services		96,0
	SOUTH DAKOTA ELECTRIC UTILITY COMPANIES	Membership Dues		
	1	,		88,:
		Temporary Employment Services		223,0
	STANDARD & POOR'S FINANCIAL SERVICES	Debt Rating Services		115,:
	2 STATE LINE CONTRACTORS INC	Electric Construction and Maintenance		537,8
	STENSON MANAGEMENT CONSULTING	Effective Leadership Consultant	· ·	120,0
	STONE & WEBSTER INC	Power Generation Development		1,117,6
	SULLIVAN, TABARACCI & RHOADES, PC	Legal Services		172,7
	SUMMIT ROOFING INC	Roofing Contractor		105,4
147	SWANK ENTERPRISES	Construction	· .	121,2
148	T&R ELECTRIC	Transformer Repair		145,7
149	TENDRIL NETWORKS INC	Software Support Services		305,4
150	TERRA CONTRACTING LLC	Construction	[1,931,7
151	TERRACON	Engineering Services		114,2
152	TETRA TECH	Environmental Services	1	195,1
153	THE BOLDT COMPANY	Power Plant Construction		2,166,4
154	THE ELECTRIC COMPANY OF SOUTH DAKOTA	Construction		75,9
155	THE ENERGY AUTHORITY INC	Scheduling and Dispatching		271,0
156	THE L E MYERS CO	Storm Damage Restoration		1,923,7
157	THE LIBERTY CONSULTING GROUP	Professional Services		200,1
158	TODD BRUESKE CONSTRUCTION	Construction		. 305,1
	TONY LASLOVICH CONSTRUCTION	Construction		91,0
	TOWER SYSTEMS INC	Construction		280,2
	TOWERS WATSON	Rate Case and Compensation Support		144,6
	TRADEMARK ELECTRIC INC	Construction		701,1
	UTILITIES UNDERGROUND LOCATION CENTER	Locating Services and Excavation Notifications		· 117,0
	UTILITY DATA CONTRACTORS INC	Data Entry and Mapping Services		413,5
	VAN NESS FELDMAN	Legal Services		328,0
	VARSITY CONTRACTORS INC	Janitorial Services		285,8
	VERTEX	Billing Services		285,8 4,154,1
		Forestry Consultants		4,154,1 391,4
	WASHINGTON FORESTRY CONSULTANT WASHINGTON WEB ARCHITECTS INC	Website Architects		
				76,2
1		Electric System Impact Studies		78,0
		Construction		197,6
	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	Legal Services		662,18
	XEROX CAPITAL SERVICES LLC	Copy Machine Maintenance		85,03
174				
175				
176				
	Total of Payments Set Forth Above		\$	140,060,31

Sch. 13	POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEES	/ POLITICAL C	ONTRIBUTION	S
	Description	Total Company	Montana	% Montana
1				
3	(PAC)s:			
5 6 7 8				
9 10	b. NorthWestern Energy Employees PAC; and			
11 12	c. NorthWestern Public Service Employees PAC.			
14	All of the money contributed by members is dedicated to support political candidates. No			
16 17	company funds may be spent in support of a political candidate. Nominal administrative costs for such things as duplicating, postage, and meeting			
19	expenses are paid by the company as provided by law. These costs are charged to shareholder expense.			
22	During 2011, NorthWestern Energy contributed \$175,000 to the following PAC:			
25 26 27	Montanans for Common Sense Property Tax Laws	\$175,000.00	\$175,000.00	100.00%
28 29				
30 31			· .	
32 33				
34 35				
36	FOTAL Contributions	\$ 175,000.00	\$ 175,000.00	100.00%

	Plan Name: NorthWestern Energy Pension Plan						
. 2	1 Plan Name: NorthWestern Energy Pension Plan						
	Defined Benefit Plan? Yes	De	Defined Contribution Plan? No				
3	Actuarial Cost Method? Projected Unit Credit		S Code:			•	
	4 Annual Contribution by Employer: Variable		he Plan Over Fi	unde	d? No		
5			· · · · ·				
	ltem		Current Year	<u> </u>	Last Year	% Chang	
6	Change in Benefit Obligation	\$	104 422 204		262 540 460	45.050/	
/	Benefit obligation at beginning of year	φ	421,133,381		363,518,169	15.85%	
	Service cost		9,187,089		8,454,335	8.67%	
	Interest cost		21,718,105		21,336,658	1.79%	
	Plan participants' contributions Amendments				-	-	
			42 005 802		45 264 476	-	
	Actuarial (gain) loss		43,905,803		45,364,176	-3.21%	
	Acquisition		(10.014.001)		-	-	
	Benefits paid	¢	(18,014,681)		(17,539,957)	-2.71%	
	Benefit obligation at end of year Change in Plan Assets	\$	477,929,697	\$	421,133,381	13.49%	
	Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$	377,834,016	\$	343,464,773	10.040/	
	Actual return on plan assets	Ψ	12,782,224	φ	42,909,200	10.01%	
	Acquisition		12,102,224		42,909,200	-70.21%	
	Employer contribution		- 10,500,000	1	0 000 000	16 670/	
	Plan participants' contributions		10,500,000		9,000,000	16.67%	
	Benefits paid		- (18,014,681)	1	(17 520 057)	0 710/	
		\$	383,101,559		(17,539,957)	-2.71%	
	Fair value of plan assets at end of year Funded Status		(94,828,138)		377,834,016	1.39%	
	Unrecognized net actuarial gain (loss)	φ	(94,020,130)	φ	(43,299,365)	-119.01%	
	Unrecognized prior service cost		-	Í	-	-	
	Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	\$	(94,828,138)	\$	(43,299,365)	-119.01%	
	Weighted-average Assumptions as of Year End	—	(04,020,100)	Γ.Ψ	(+0,200,000)	-110.0170	
	Discount rate		4.55%	1	5.25%	-13.33%	
	Expected return on plan assets		7.25%		7.75%	-6.45%	
	Rate of compensation increase	3	50% Union &	31	50% Union &	-0.45 %	
00		3.55% Non-Union 3.55% Non-Union					
34	Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs					·····	
	Service cost	\$	9,187,089	\$	8,454,335	8.67%	
	Interest cost		21,718,105	· .	21,336,658	1.79%	
37	Expected return on plan assets		(26,958,867)		(26,275,609)	-2.60%	
	Amortization of prior service cost		246,361		246,361		
	Recognized net actuarial gain	1	2,515,966		140,169	>300.00%	
	Net periodic benefit cost (SEC Basis)	\$	6,708,654	\$	3,901,914	71.93%	
41	Montana Intrastate Costs: (MPSC Regulatory Basis)		-		~		
42	Pension Costs	\$	29,410,000	\$	29,410,000		
43	Pension Costs Capitalized		6,021,422		5,372,685	12.07%	
44	Accumulated Pension Asset (Liability) at Year End	\$	(94,828,138)	\$	(43,299,365)	-119.01%	
	Number of Company Employees:						
46	Covered by the Plan		3,149		3,181	-1.01%	
47	Not Covered by the Plan 2/		213		130	63.85%	
48	Active	[972		1,032	-5.81%	
49	Retired	1	1,358		1,296	4.78%	
50	Deferred Vested Terminated		819		853	-3.99%	
	/ NorthWestern Corporation has a separate pension plan coveri	ng Sou		Nebra			

<u>Sch. 14a</u>	a Pension Costs								
. 1	1 Plan Name: NorthWestern Energy 401k Retirement Savings Plan								
. 3	Defined Benefit Plan? No Actuarial Cost Method? N/A Annual Contribution by Employer: Variable	IRS	fined Contributi 6 Code: 401(k) he Plan Over F						
с 	ltem		Current Year		Last Year	% Chang			
<u>/////////////////////////////////////</u>	Change in Benefit Obligation		ourient real	. 	Lastiea				
	Benefit obligation at beginning of year					· · ·			
	Service cost								
	Interest cost								
	Plan participants' contributions			No	t Applicable				
	Amendments					· · · ·			
	Actuarial loss			1					
	Acquisition								
	Benefits paid		<u> </u>	- 					
	Benefit obligation at end of year	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	-				
	Change in Plan Assets		000 040 000		100 101 100	10 770			
	Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$	220,342,829	\$	192,194,493	-12.77%			
	Actual return on plan assets								
		1	0 700 475		F 000 400	10.07%			
	Employer contribution 2/	\$	6,720,175	\$	5,980,199	12.37%			
	Plan participants' contributions								
	Benefits paid	·	040 404 055	+	000 040 000	0.070/			
	Fair value of plan assets at end of year 2/	\$	218,194,855		220,342,829	-0.97%			
1	Funded Status				Applicable				
	Unrecognized net actuarial loss								
	Unrecognized prior service cost			<u> </u>					
	Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	\$	-	\$					
28				<u> </u>					
	Weighted-average Assumptions as of Year End			Not	Applicable				
1	Discount rate			}					
	Expected return on plan assets								
	Rate of compensation increase								
33									
	Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs			Not	Applicable				
	Service cost								
	interest cost	1							
	Expected return on plan assets								
	Amortization of prior service cost								
	Recognized net actuarial loss								
	Net periodic benefit cost (SEC Basis)	\$	-	\$	-				
41					T				
42	Nontana Intrastate Costs: (MPSC Regulatory Basis)								
43	401(k) Plan Defined Contribution Costs	\$	4,598,308	\$	3,980,161	15.53%			
44	401(k) Plan Defined Contribution Costs Capitalized		941,461		727,105	29.48%			
45	Accumulated Pension Asset (Liability) at Year End			Not	Applicable				
46	Number of Company Employees:		3/		3/				
47	Covered by the Plan - Eligible		1,388		1,352	2.66%			
48	Not Covered by the Plan	[
49	Active - Participating		1,347		1,304	3.30%			
50	Retired								
51	Vested Former Employees, Retirees and Active-	1	259		251	3.19%			
52	Noncontributing								
021		L							

Schedule 14a

Sch. 15	Other Post Employme	nt Benefits (OP	EBS)	
	Item	Current Year	Last Year	% Change
	Regulatory Treatment:			
	Commission authorized - most recent			
	Docket number: D2009.9.129			
4	Order number: 7046h			
	Amount recovered through rates	\$350,602	\$1,161,304	-69.81%
	Weighted-average Assumptions as of Year End	1/	2/	40.070/
	Discount rate	3.75%		-16.67%
	Expected return on plan assets Medical Cost Inflation Rate 3/	7.25% 8.75%,4.5%:17		-6.45%
			· /	
		-	edit Actuarial, Cost	· •
1			om the Date of Hire	
10	Actuarial Cost Method	1 7	ibility Date	
ſ		3.50% Union &	3.50% Union &	
	Rate of compensation increase		3.55% Non-Union	
	List each method used to fund OPEBs (ie: VEBA, 401(h)) and if tax advan	taged:	
13	Union Employees - VEBA - Yes, tax advantaged			
14	Non-Union Employees - 401(h) - Yes, tax advantag	ged	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Describe any Changes to the Benefit Plan:		•	
16				
· ·	1/ Obtained from NorthWestern Energy-Montana's 2010	FASB 106 Valuation	. Assumptions and c	lata
	are as of December 31, 2011.			
	2/ Obtained from NorthWestern Energy-Montana's 2009	FASB 106 Valuation	Assumptions and d	lata
	are as of December 31, 2010.			
	3/ First Year, Ultimate, Years to Reach Ultimate.			
				}

	Other Post Employment Be		Lirrort Vara	1001	ntinued)	0/ Oh
			urrent Year		Last Year	% Chan
	1 Number of Company Employees:				•	
	2 Covered by the Plan 3 Not Covered by the Plan			1		
		·			• • •	. ; ·
	4 Active				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	5 Retired	:			÷.	1
i 	6 Spouses/Dependants covered by the Plan		·		· · · ·	<u> </u>
	7 Montana 4/	•				
	8 Change in Benefit Obligation					
9	Benefit obligation at beginning of year		\$26,467,645		\$22,862,746	15.77%
1(D Service cost		358,150		403,973	-11.349
1	I Interest Cost		970,483		1,363,908	-28.85
12	2 Plan participants' contributions		1,089,753		-	
13	Amendments		(464,242)	-) -
	Actuarial loss/(gain)		(2,711,685		4,341,706	-162.46
	5 Acquisition		-	1	-	- -
	Benefits paid		(3,289,421		(2,504,688)	-31.33%
	Benefit obligation at end of year		\$22,420,683		\$26,467,645	-15.29%
	Change in Plan Assets		φ22, 120,000	+	ψ20,401,040	10.207
	Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	1	\$17,201,034	1	\$15,298,244	12.44%
			339,995		1,902,790	-82.139
	Actual return on plan assets		229,995		1,902,790	-02.137
			-	1		00 500
	Employer contribution		160,918		2,504,688	-93.58%
	Plan participants' contributions	[-	1	-	-
	Benefits paid		(2,199,668)	<u> </u>	(2,504,688)	12.18%
	Fair value of plan assets at end of year		\$15,502,279		\$17,201,034	-9.88%
	Funded Status	1	(\$6,918,404)		(\$9,266,611)	25.34%
.27	Unrecognized net transition (asset)/obligation		-		-	-
28	Unrecognized net actuarial loss/(gain)		-	1	-	-
29	Unrecognized prior service cost	1	-	1	-	-
	Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost		(\$6,918,404)		(\$9,266,611)	25.34%
	Components of Net Periodic Benefit Costs			1		
	Service cost		\$358,150		\$403,973	-11.34%
	Interest cost		970,483	1	1,363,908	-28.85%
	Expected return on plan assets		(1,185,450)		(1,185,614)	0.01%
35	Amortization of transitional (asset)/obligation	[-	((1,100,011)	-
36	Amortization of prior service cost		(2,148,915)		(\$2,102,491)	-2.21%
	Recognized net actuarial loss/(gain)		657,715		982,909	-33.08%
	Net periodic benefit cost	·	(\$1,348,017)		(\$537,315)	-150.88%
30	Net periodic dellent cost	+	$(\phi_{1,340,017})$		(\$557,515)	-100,007
	Accumulated Post Retirement Benefit Obligation	r.		~	~ .	• •
40		\$	-	\$	-	-
41		1			-	-
42	Amount Funded through other - Company funds		160,918		2,504,688	-93.58%
43	TOTAL	- <u></u>	\$160,918		\$2,504,688	-93.58%
44		\$	-	\$	-	-
45		1	-		-	. -
46	Amount that was tax deductible - Other		350,602		1,161,304	-69.81%
47	TOTAL		\$350,602		\$1,161,304	-69.81%
48	Montana Intrastate Costs:					
49	Pension Costs		\$350,602		\$1,161,304	-69.81%
50	Pension Costs Capitalized	1	71,782		212,150	-66.16%
51	Accumulated Pension Asset (Liability) at Year End	1	(6,918,404)		(9,266,611)	25.34%
	Number of Montana Employees:	1	(
53	Covered by the Plan	1	2,085		2,137	-2.43%
54	Not Covered by the Plan		192		153	25.49%
55	Active	1	1,014		1,080	-6.11%
56	Retired	1	961		948	1.37%
	Spouses/Dependants covered by the Plan		110		109	0.92%
57	4/ There is approximately an additional \$10,006,342 and	\$0 E00				
outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively for other supplemental retirement agree						
			••		•	
	addition to what is reflected for Montana above.				C	

SCHEDULE 16

Note: This schedule includes the ten most highly compensated employees assigned or allocated to Montana that are not already included on Sch 17.

	TOP TEN MONTAN	A COMPENS.	ATED EMPI	LOYEES (ASSIC	GNED OR AL		
Line No.	Name/Title	Base Salary	Bonuses	Other 2/	Total Compensation	Total Compensation Reported Last Year	% Increase Tota! Compensatior
1	Patrick R. Corcoran Vice President, Government & Regulatory Affairs	198,940	60,710	A 16,118 E 62,804 C 133,755 E		456,779	3%
2	Michael R. Cashell Vice President, Transmission	174,693	48,581 A	A 25,520 E 40,147 C 120,374 E		339,632	21%
3	William T. Rhoads General Manager, Generation	153,946	24,475 A	20,146 E 22,341 C 110,134 D 6,927 E 15,009 F		N/A	
4	Kendall G. Kliewer Vice President and Controller	224,444	0 A	40,844 B 70,856 C 6,384 D		393,990	-13%
5	John D. Hines Vice President, Supply	176,555	48,581 A	14,112 B 41,417 C 46,167 D		274,085	19%
6	Vichael L. Nieman Chief Audit and Compliance Officer	192,217	46,554 A	41,179 B 34,793 C 2,684 D 5,598 E	323,025	326,244	-1%
7 J	ohn S. Fitzpatrick Executive Director State/Local Community Relations	171,017	28,953 A	20,685 B 19,087 C 50,033 D 4,446 F 6,720 G	300,941	286,439	5%
8	Daniel L. Rausch Director, Investor Relations & Business Development	168,094	35,653 A	35,162 B 25,027 C 3,768 D 3,782 F	271,486	264,152	3%
9	/ayne M. Hitt Director, Tax	153,085	32,702 A	34,248 B 22,341 C 5,913 D 8,500 H 625 I	257,414	N/A	
10 М	ichael Andrew McLain Corporate Counsel	107,500	19,630 A	18,990 B 105,114 H	251,234	N/A	

TOP TEN MONTANA COMPENSATED EMPLOYEES (ASSIGNED OR ALLOCATED)

|--|

				OTEED (ADDI	GNED OR ALI	JOCATED)	
Line No.	Name/Title	Base Salary	Bonuses 1/	Other 2/	Total Compensation	Total Compensation Reported Last Year	% Increase Total Compensation
1	1/ Bonuses include the following:		·				
2 3 4 5 6 7	 17 Bonuses include the following: A> Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation Plan. Amounts were e company performance against plan, the varied from the funded level based on 2/ All Other Compensation for named emploits - migroup term life, Health Savings Accour 401(k) match and non-elective 401(k) of C> Values reflect the grant date fair value D>Change in pension value over previous assuming benefits commence at age 6 payment form consistent with those dision our Annual Report on Form 10-K for E> Vacation sold back during the year. F> Merit pay or bonus. G> Vehicle allowance. H> Payments and imputed income for reim low of facilities 	arned in 2011 a e incentive plan individual perfor oyees consists o edical, dental, vi t, non-cash awa contribution. for restricted sto year. The pres 5 and using the closed in the No the year ended	nd paid in the fi was funded at rmance. If the following: ision, employee ards and related ock awards. ent value of ac discount rate, notes to the Cons December 31,	irst quarter of 20 101% of target. a assistance proo d tax liability gros cumulated bene mortality assump solidated Financ 2011.	12. Based on Individual awards gram, as up, fits was calculated ption and assumed		

SCHEDULE 17

. 14

Note: This schedule contains the five most highly compensated corporate officers who are assigned or allocated to Montana.

	TOP FIVE MONTANA COMPENSATED EMPLOYEES (ASSIGNED OR ALLOCATED)								
Line No.		Base Salary	Bonuses 1/	Other 2/	Total Compensation	Total Compensation Reported Last Year	% Increase Total Compensation		
1	Robert C. Rowe President & Chief Executive Officer	510,101	415,110 4	18,903 B 474,785 C 49,812 D	1,468,711	1,231,916	19%		
2	Brian B. Bird Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer	334,634	170,199 A	40,012 B 216,755 C 9,531 D	771,131	735,084	5%		
3	Heather Grahame Vice President, General Counsel	304,510	123,902 A	42,152 B 146,691 C 7,642 E	624,897	465,271	34%		
4	Curtis T. Pohl Vice President, Distribution	239,748	97,551 A	42,303 B 115,486 C 6,848 D 7,222 F	509,158	436,999	17%		
5	Bobbi Schroeppel Vice President, Customer Care, Communications & Human Resources	211,692	64,601 A	40,793 B 66,813 C 5,503 D	389,402	374,244	4%		

	TOP FIVE MONTAN	A COMPENSA	TED EMPLU	YEES (ASSIC	SNED OK ALL		<u>, </u>
Line No.	Name/Title	Base Salary	Bonuses	Other 2/	Total Compensation	Total Compensation Reported Last Year	% Increase Total Compensation
1	1/ Bonuses include the following:	I.,	<u> </u>		<u></u>	L	
- 2							
3	A> Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensat					•	
4	Incentive Compensation Plan. Amount				of 2012. Based	on	•
6	company performance against plan, the	e incentive plan w	as funded at 10	1% of target.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·	
7	2/ All Other Compensation for named emplo	vees consists of t	he foliowina:				
8		yooo domonoto on t	ne jone milgi				· ·
9	B> Employer contributions to benefits - me	dical, dental, visio	on, employee as	sistance progran	n,		
10	group term life, Health Savings Accoun						
11							
12	C> Values reflect the grant date fair value	for restricted stoc	k awards.			•	
13	D. Obum in the set						
14 15	D> Change in pension value over previous						
16	assuming benefits commence at age 65					•	
17	payment form consistent with those disclosed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.						
18							
19	E> Payments and imputed income for reim	bursements relate	ed to relocation.				
20							· ·
21	F> Vacation sold back during the year.						
22				_ <u></u>			

Sch. 18	BALANCE SHE	ET 1/			
	Account Title	This Year	Last Year	Variance	% Change
1	Assets and Other Debits				
2	Utility Plant		ĺ		1
Э		\$3,479,352,07	9 \$3,357,302,14	1 \$122,049,938	3.64%
4		40,209,53			0.00%
5		4,90			0.00%
6		72,580,80			109.14%
7		(1,481,407,15			5.62%
8		(11,057,58			22.22%
9		(23,574,46			17.31%
10		(20,014,40	- (20,000,004	ή (ψ0,=+10,001)	
11					
12		355,128,50	355,128,500		0.00%
12		32,119,40			0.00%
í -		2,463,356,030			
14		2,403,300,03	2,307,790,313	10,000,720	3.16%
15					
16		9,974,240			20.68%
17	122 Accumulated Depr. & AmortNonutilility Property	(503,814			11.81%
18		(152,003,379			126.54%
19	124 Other Investments	8,556,077	7 5,937,333	2,618,744	44.11%
20	128 Miscellaneous Special Funds			-	-
21	LT Portion of Derivative Assets - Hedges		-		- .
22	Total Other Property & Investments	(133,976,876	6) (53,347,663	(80,629,213)	151.14%
23	Current and Accrued Assets				
24	131 Cash	5,888,517	6,191,524	(303,007)	-4.89%
25	134 Other Special Deposits	3,998,525	3,330,081	668,444	20.07%
26	135 Working Funds	39,300		(1,267)	-3.12%
27	136 Temporary Cash Investments			-	-
28	141 Notes Receivable			_	-
29	142 Customer Accounts Receivable	71,822,880	71,029,517	793,363	1.12%
30	143 Other Accounts Receivable	8,031,487		(3,035,153)	-27.43%
31	144 Accumulated Provision for Uncollectible Accounts	(2,929,624			1.90%
32	145 Notes Receivable-Associated Companies	(2,020,024	(2,07.,002)	(04/122)	-
33	146 Accounts Receivable-Associated Companies	4,851,585	12,435,690	(7,584,105)	-60.99%
34	151 Fuel Stock	7,281,127	1	1,287,553	21.48%
34		22,407,788		1,803,953	8.76%
	154 Plant Materials and Operating Supplies	29,819,575			23.83%
36	164 Gas Stored - Current			5,738,702	
37	165 Prepayments	8,675,982	5,427,163	3,248,819	59.86%
38	171 Interest and Dividends Receivable	-	-	-	-
40	172 Rents Receivable	76,604		21,674	39.46%
41	173 Accrued Utility Revenues	71,118,239	69,393,581	1,724,658	2.49%
42	174 Miscellaneous Current & Accrued Assets	350,081	305,033	45,048	14.77%
43	175 Derivative Instrument Assets (175)	-	8,500	(8,500)	-100.00%
44	(Less) Long-Term Portion of Derivative Instrument Assets	-	-	-	-]
45	176 LT Portion of Derivative Assets - Hedges	-	-	-	-
46	(iess) LT Portion of Derivative Assets - Hedges	<u>-</u>		-	<u>+</u>
	Total Current & Accrued Assets	231,432,066	227,086,606	4,345,460	1.91%
48	Deferred Debits				
49	181 Unamortized Debt Expense	11,307,102	12,256,091	(948,989)	-7.74%
50	182 Regulatory Assets	329,875,457	249,597,474	80,277,983	32.16%
51	183 Preliminary Survey and Investigation Charges	825,634	2,344,107	(1,518,473)	-64.78%
52	184 Clearing Accounts	13,354	2,710	10,644	>300.00%
53	185 Temporary Facilities	-	78	(78)	-100.00%
54	186 Miscellaneous Deferred Debits	1,883,035	2,834,279	(951,244)	-33,56%
55	189 Unamortized Loss on Reacquired Debt	15,413,238	16,882,134	(1,468,896)	-8.70%
56	190 Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	164,228,720	97,507,302	66,721,418	68,43%
57	191 Unrecovered Purchased Gas Costs	3,554,323	1,633,876	1,920,447	117.54%
	otal Deferred Debits	527,100,863	383,058,051	144,042,812	37.60%
14 ga		\$ 3,087,912,089			
28 1	OTAL ASSETS and OTHER DEBITS	φ 3,007,912,089	φ 2,944,567,507	\$ 143,324,782	4.87%

Sch. 18	Icont.		BALANCE SHEET	1/		 -	····			
		Account Title		<u>"</u>	This Year	÷ † ÷	This Year	<u> </u>	Variance	% Change
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	<u></u>	Liabilities and Other Cred	lits				1110 1 001	- <u>`</u>	rananoo	// Onlange
1 .	2	Proprietary Capital		ŀ.						
		Common Stock Issued		\$	398,41	1 \$. 397,993	\$	418	0.11%
		Preferred Stock Issued	,	*	000,11	. *	-	v	-	-
		Premium on Capital Stock				_	-	1.	-	_
		Miscellaneous Paid-In Capital			816,700,362		813,878,068		2,822,294	0.35%
		Discount on Capital Stock						•	2,022,201	-
8		Capital Stock Expense				-	-		-	
1 10		Appropriated Retained Earnings	1			-	-		-	_
10		Unappropriated Retained Earnings			128,631,093	3	87,984,357	1	40,646,736	46.20%
12		Reacquired Capital Stock			(90,272,890		(90,427,113)		154,223	-0.17%
13		Accumulated Other Comprehensive I	ncome		3,655,967		8,513,655	1	(4,857,688)	-57.06%
14		rietary Capital			859,112,943		820,346,960		38,765,983	4.73%
15		Long Term Debt			000,1140.0		02010101000		00,700,000	
16		Bonds	ĺ		905,205,000	, í	905,205,000	1	_	0.00%
17		Advances in Associated Companies			303,203,000	,	303,203,000	1 .		0.00 %
18		Other Long Term Debt					153,000,000	1	(153,000,000)	-100.00%
19		(Less) Unamortized Discount on Long	Torm Debt-Debit		155,738		179,838	1	(135,000,000) (24,100)	-13.40%
20					905,049,262		1,058,025,162	 	(152,975,900)	-14.46%
20		Other Noncurrent Liabiliti			900,049,202		1,000,020,102		(152,975,900)	-14.40%
					32 047 970		24 200 045		(1 270 100)	4 0.09/
22		Obligations Under Capital Leases-No			32,917,879	'	34,288,045	ļ	(1,370,166)	-4.00%
23		Accumulated Provision for Property In			-	1	40.000.405		(0.070.047)	-
24		Accumulated Provision for Injuries an	÷ 1		10,003,210		12,380,125		(2,376,915)	-19.20%
25		Accumulated Provision for Pensions a			26,150,621		28,680,305	{	(2,529,684)	-8.82%
26		Accumulated Miscellaneous Operatin			214,313,846		206,905,197		7,408,649	3.58%
27		Accumulated Provision for Rate Refu	nas		11,432,481		3,541,702		7,890,779	. 222.80%
28		Asset Retirement Obligations			6,291,623		7,180,922		(889,299)	-12.38%
29	Iotal Other	Noncurrent Liabilities			301,109,660		292,976,296		8,133,364	2.78%
30		Current and Accrued Liabilit	ties			í i	1			
31		Notes Payable			166,933,493	1			166,933,493	-
32		Accounts Payable	. 1		80,813,254		84,151,450		(3,338,196)	-3.97%
33		Notes Payable to Associated Compar					-		-	-
34		Accounts Payable to Associated Com	panies		70,978		61,584		9,394	15.25%
35		Customer Deposits			13,088,340		9,784,498		3,303,842	33.77%
36		faxes Accrued	1		33,058,019		130,979,557		(97,921,538)	-74.76%
37		nterest Accrued	}		15,318,941		15,284,739		34,202	0.22%
. 39		Dividends Declared			-				-	-
40		ax Collections Payable			1,198,760		1,222,070		(23,310)	-1.91%
41		Aiscellaneous Current and Accrued Li			47,775,316		48,679,642		(904,326)	-1.86%
42		Obligations Under Capital Leases-Cur	rent		1,370,168		1,275,845		94,323	7.39%
43		Perivative Instrument Liabilities			20,312,243		29,720,807		(9,408,564)	-31.66%
44		Derivative Instrument Liabilities - Hedg	jes		-	L				
45	Total Currer	t and Accrued Liabilities			379,939,512		321,160,192		58,779,320	18.30%
46		Deferred Credits	1			[
47	252 (Customer Advances for Construction			41,020,091		43,787,528		(2,767,437)	-6.32%
48	253 0	Other Deferred Credits			137,947,782		79,080,915		58,866,867	74.44%
49	254 F	Regulatory Liabilities			28,352,270		22,765,216		5,587,054	24.54%
50	255 A	ccumulated Deferred Investment Tax	Credits		1,572,445		1,996,006		(423,561)	-21.22%
51	257 L	Inamortized Gain on Reacquired Deb	t [-	ĺ	- [-	·-
52		ccumulated Deferred Income Taxes			433,808,124		304,449,032		129,359,092	42.49%
53	Total Deferre	ed Credits			642,700,712		452,078,697		190,622,015	42.17%
		LITIES and OTHER CREDITS	\$	3 3	3,087,912,089	\$		\$	143,324,782	4.87%
55	····		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
	1/ This fina	ncial statement is presented on the ba	isis of the accounting reg	uireme	nts of the Feder	ral Ene	erav Regulatory			
	-	(FERC) as set forth in its applicable U						the		
		of accounting. The amounts present								1
1	Montana Pipe	. .		- prost		0.000		•		
	nomana ripe	ano corp.								
60										
61										
62										J.
63										
64									·	Schedule 18A

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Nature of Operations

NorthWestern Corporation, doing business as NorthWestern Energy, provides electricity and natural gas to approximately 668,300 customers in Montana, South Dakota and Nebraska. We have generated and distributed electricity in South Dakota and distributed natural gas in South Dakota and Nebraska since 1923 and have generated and distributed electricity and distributed natural gas in Montana since 2002.

The Financial Statements for the periods included herein have been prepared by NorthWestern Corporation (NorthWestern, we or us), pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with the accounting requirements of the FERC as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases requires management to make estimates and assumptions that may affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Events occurring subsequent to December 31, 2011, have been evaluated as to their potential impact to the Financial Statements through the date of issuance, February 15, 2012.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

Financial Statement Presentation

The financial statements are presented on the basis of the accounting requirements of the FERC as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts and published accounting releases, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). This report differs from GAAP due to FERC requiring the presentation of subsidiaries on the equity method of accounting, which differs from Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 94 "Consolidation of All Majority-Owned Subsidiaries" (SFAS No. 94). SFAS No. 94 requires that all majority-owned subsidiaries be consolidated (see Note 3). The other significant differences consist of the following:

- Earnings per share is not presented;
- Removal and decommissioning costs of generation, transmission and distribution assets are reflected in the Balance Sheets as a component of accumulated depreciation of \$251.2 million and \$237.5 million as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, in accordance with regulatory treatment as compared to regulatory liabilities for GAAP purposes (see Note 5);
- Goodwill is reflected in the Balance Sheets as a utility plant adjustment of \$355.1 million as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, in accordance with regulatory treatment, as compared to goodwill for GAAP purposes (see Note 6);
- The write-down of plant values associated with the 2002 acquisition of the Montana operations is reflected in the Balance Sheets as a component of accumulated depreciation of \$147.6 million for December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, in accordance with regulatory treatment as compared to plant for GAAP purposes;
- The current portion of gas stored underground is reflected in the Balance Sheets as current and accrued assets, as compared to inventory for GAAP purposes;
- Current and long-term debt is classified in the Balance Sheets as all long-term debt in accordance with regulatory treatment, while current and long-term debt are separately presented for GAAP reporting;

- Accumulated deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified in the Balance Sheets as gross non-current deferred debits and credits, respectively, while GAAP presentation reflects either a net deferred tax asset or liability separately classified as current or non-current; and
- Regulatory assets and liabilities are reflected in the Balance Sheets as non-current items, while current and non-current amounts are separately presented for GAAP.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used for such items as long-lived asset values and impairment charges, long-lived asset useful lives, tax provisions, asset retirement obligations, uncollectible accounts, our QF obligation, environmental costs, unbilled revenues and actuarially determined benefit costs. We revise the recorded estimates when we receive better information or when we can determine actual amounts. Those revisions can affect operating results.

Revenue Recognition

Customers are billed monthly on a cycle basis. To match revenues with associated expenses, we accrue unbilled revenues for electrical and natural gas services delivered to customers, but not yet billed at month-end.

Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable are net of allowances for uncollectible accounts of \$2.9 million and \$2.9 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Unbilled revenues were \$71.1 million and \$69.4 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at average cost. Inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Fuellstock	\$ 7,281	\$
Materials and supplies	22,408	20,604
Gas stored underground (including the non-current portion reflected an utility		
plant)	61,939	
	\$ 91,628	\$ 82,797

Regulation of Utility Operations

Our regulated operations are subject to the provisions of ASC 980, Regulated Operations (ASC 980). Regulated accounting is appropriate provided that (i) rates are established by or subject to approval by independent, third-party regulators, (ii) rates are designed to recover the specific enterprise's cost of service, and (iii) in view of demand for service, it is reasonable to assume that rates are set at levels that will recover costs and can be charged to and collected from customers.

Our Financial Statements reflect the effects of the different rate making principles followed by the jurisdictions regulating us. The economic effects of regulation can result in regulated companies recording costs that have been, or are expected to be, allowed in the ratemaking process in a period different from the period in which the costs would be charged to expense by an unregulated enterprise. When this occurs, costs are deferred as regulatory assets and recorded as expenses in the periods when those same amounts are reflected in rates. Additionally, regulators can impose liabilities upon a regulated company for amounts previously collected from customers and for amounts that are expected to be refunded to customers (regulatory liabilities).

If we were required to terminate the application of these provisions to our regulated operations, all such deferred amounts would be recognized in the Statement of Income at that time. This would result in a charge to earnings, net of applicable income taxes, which could be material. In addition, we would determine any impairment to the carrying costs of deregulated plant and inventory assets.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging. All derivatives are recognized in the Balance Sheets at their fair value unless they qualify for certain exceptions, including the normal purchases and normal sales exception. Additionally, derivatives that qualify and are designated for hedge accounting are classified as either hedges of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment (fair-value hedge) or hedges of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash-flow hedge). For fair-value hedges, changes in fair values for both the derivative and the underlying hedged exposure are recognized in earnings each period. For cash-flow hedges, the portion of the derivative gain or loss that is effective in offsetting the change in the cost or value of the underlying exposure is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and later reclassified into earnings when the underlying transaction occurs. Gains and losses from the ineffective portion of any hedge are recognized in earnings immediately. For other derivative contracts that do not qualify or are not designated for hedge accounting, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recognized in earnings each period. Cash inflows and outflows related to derivative instruments are included as a component of operating, investing or financing cash flows in the Statement of Cash Flows, depending on the underlying nature of the hedged items.

Revenues and expenses on contracts that qualify are designated as normal purchases and normal sales and are recognized when the underlying physical transaction is completed. While these contracts are considered derivative financial instruments, they are not required to be recorded at fair value, but on an accrual basis of accounting. Normal purchases and normal sales are contracts where physical delivery is probable, quantities are expected to be used or sold in the normal course of business over a reasonable period of time, and price is not tied to an unrelated underlying derivative. As part of our regulated electric and gas operations, we enter into contracts to buy and sell energy to meet the requirements of our customers. These contracts include short-term and long-term commitments to purchase and sell energy in the retail and wholesale markets with the intent and ability to deliver or take delivery. If it were determined that a transaction designated as a normal purchase or a normal sale no longer met the exceptions, the fair value of the related contract would be reflected as an asset or liability and immediately recognized through earnings. See Note 7, Risk Management and Hedging Activities for further discussion of our derivative activity.

Utility Plant

Utility plant is stated at original cost, including contracted services, direct labor and material, allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC), and indirect charges for engineering, supervision and similar overhead items. All expenditures for maintenance and repairs of utility plant are charged to the appropriate maintenance expense accounts. A betterment or replacement of a unit of property is accounted for as an addition and retirement of utility plant. At the time of such a retirement, the accumulated provision for depreciation is charged with the original cost of the property retired and also for the net cost of removal. Also included in utility plant are assets under capital lease, which are stated at the present value of minimum lease payments.

AFUDC represents the cost of financing construction projects with borrowed funds and equity funds. While cash is not realized currently from such allowance, it is realized under the ratemaking process over the service life of the related property through increased revenues resulting from a higher rate base and higher depreciation expense. The component of AFUDC attributable to

borrowed funds is included as a reduction to net interest charges, while the equity component is included in other income. We determine the rate used to compute AFUDC in accordance with a formula established by the FERC. This rate averaged 7.9% and 8.2% for Montana for 2011 and 2010, respectively, and 7.8% and 8.2% for South Dakota for 2011 and 2010, respectively. AFUDC capitalized totaled \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 for Montana and South Dakota combined.

We capitalize preliminary survey and investigation charges related to the determination of the feasibility of transmission or generation utility projects in deferred debits. Upon commencement of construction, these costs are transferred to construction work in process, and upon completion, these costs will be transferred to utility plant. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we have capitalized preliminary survey and investigation costs of approximately \$21.8 million and \$19.0 million, respectively. Capitalized costs are charged to operating expense if the development of the project is no longer feasible.

We may require contributions in aid of construction from customers when we extend service. Amounts used from these contributions to fund capital additions were \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We record provisions for depreciation at amounts substantially equivalent to calculations made on a straight-line method by applying various rates based on useful lives of the various classes of properties (ranging from three to 40 years) determined from engineering studies. As a percentage of the depreciable utility plant at the beginning of the year, our provision for depreciation of utility plant was approximately 3.3% and 3.2% for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Depreciation rates include a provision for our share of the estimated costs to decommission three coal-fired generating plants at the end of the useful life of each plant. The annual provision for such costs is included in depreciation expense, while the accumulated provisions are included in accumulated depreciation.

Income Taxes

Exposures exist related to various tax filing positions, which may require an extended period of time to resolve and may result in income tax adjustments by taxing authorities. We have reduced deferred tax assets or established liabilities based on our best estimate of future probable adjustments related to these exposures. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate exposures in light of any additional information and make adjustments as necessary to reflect the best estimate of the future outcomes. We believe our deferred tax assets and established liabilities are appropriate for estimated exposures; however, actual results may differ from these estimates. The resolution of tax matters in a particular future period could have a material impact on our Statements of Income and provision for income taxes.

Environmental Costs

We record environmental costs when it is probable we are liable for the costs and we can reasonably estimate the liability. We may defer costs as a regulatory asset if we have prior regulatory authorization for recovery of these costs from customers in future rates. Otherwise, we expense the costs. If an environmental expense is related to facilities we currently use, such as pollution control equipment, then we capitalize and depreciate the costs over the remaining life of the asset, assuming the costs are recoverable in future rates or future cash flows.

Our remediation cost estimates are based on the use of an environmental consultant, our experience, our assessment of the current situation and the technology currently available for use in the remediation. We regularly adjust the recorded costs as we revise estimates and as remediation proceeds. If we are one of several designated responsible parties, then we estimate and record only our share of the cost. We treat any future costs of restoring sites where operation may extend indefinitely as a capitalized cost of plant retirement. The depreciation expense levels we can recover in rates include a provision for these estimated removal costs.

Emission Allowances

We have sulfur dioxide (SO2) emission allowances and each allowance permits a generating unit to emit one ton of SO2 during or after a specified year. We have approximately 3,200 excess SO2 emission allowances per year for years 2017 through 2031, however these allowances have no carrying value in our Financial Statements and the market for these years is presently illiquid. These emission allowances are not subject to regulatory jurisdiction. When excess SO2 emission allowances are sold, we reflect the gain in operating income and cash received is reflected as an investing activity.

Accounting Standards Issued

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued accounting guidance related to fair value measurement, which amends current guidance to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments generally represent clarification of how the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise in a fair value measurement are relevant only when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets and are not relevant when measuring the fair value of financial assets or of liabilities. In addition, the guidance expanded the disclosures for the unobservable inputs for Level 3 fair value measurements, requiring quantitative information to be disclosed related to (1) the valuation processes used, (2) the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those unobservable inputs, and (3) use of a nonfinancial asset in a way that differs from the asset's highest and best use. The new guidance will be effective for us beginning January 1, 2012. Other than requiring additional disclosures, we do not anticipate material impacts on our financial statements upon adoption.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting pronouncement that provides new guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income in financial statements eliminating the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders' equity. It requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued revised guidance deferring the effective date of the specific requirement to present items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income alongside their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income. All other provisions of this guidance, which are to be applied retrospectively, are effective for us beginning January 1, 2012. This guidance concerns disclosure only and will not have a material effect on our financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued new guidance for the testing of goodwill impairment. This guidance provides an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, then the entity is required to perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. An entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. The guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for us beginning January 1, 2012. We are evaluating the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on accounting policies as they relate to goodwill impairment testing in future periods.

Accounting Standards Adopted

There have been no new accounting pronouncements or changes in accounting pronouncements adopted during the year ended December 31, 2011 that are of significance, or potential significance, to us.

(3) Equity Investments

The following table presents our equity investments reflected in the investments in associated companies on the Balance Sheets (in thousands):

<i>.</i> •	December 31,		
·	2011	2010	
Clark Fork & Blackfoot, LLC	\$	\$ (7,272)	
Colstrip Unit 4 Basis Adjustment	(165,531)	(164,952)	
Mountain States Transmission Intertie, LLC	18,296	14;616	
Natural Gas Funding Trust	2,466	1,661	
NorthWestern Services, LLC	(10,049)	(10,401)	
NorthWestern Investments, LLC	-	96,369	
Risk Partners Assurance, Ltd.	2,815	2;880	
Total Investments in Subsidiary Companies	<u>\$ (152,003)</u>	67,099)	

(4) Utility Plant

The following table presents the major classifications of our net utility plant (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2011	2010	
Land and improvements	\$ 58,635	\$.57,195	
Building and improvements	161,349	152,310	
Storage, distribution, and transmission	2,394,539	2,271,440	
Generation	682,070	706,384	
Construction work in process	72,581	34,704	
Other equipment	222,973	210,188	
	3,592,147	3,432,221	
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,516,039)	(1,431,677)	
	\$ 2,076,108	\$ 2,000,544	

Plant and equipment under capital lease were \$29.8 million and \$31.9 million as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which included \$29.2 million and \$31.1 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to a long-term power supply contract with the owners of a natural gas fired peaking plant, which has been accounted for as an obligation under capital lease.

Jointly Owned Electric Generating Plant

We have an ownership interest in four electric generating plants, all of which are coal fired and operated by other companies. We have an undivided interest in these facilities and are responsible for our proportionate share of the capital and operating costs while being entitled to our proportionate share of the power generated. Our interest in each plant is reflected in the Balance Sheets on a pro rata basis and our share of operating expenses is reflected in the Statements of Income. The participants each finance their own investment.

Information relating to our ownership interest in these facilities is as follows (in thousands):
	в	ig Stone		Neal #4		Coyote	Co	olstrip Unit 4
		(SD)	· ·	(IA)		(ND)		(MT)
December 31, 2011								
Ownership percentages		.23.4%	6	. (8:79	6	10.0%		30.0%
Plant in service	\$	58,383	\$	29,991	\$	45,066	\$	287,462
Accumulated depreciation		39,246		23,046		29,740		59,586
December 31, 2010		a second and a second a sub-second strategy strategy	No. of the second s	w loss of water party in the state of the st				•
Øwnership percentages		23:4%	6	8:7%	6	10.0%		30:0%
Plant in service	\$	58,283	\$	29,897	\$	45,050	\$	284,770
Accumulated depreciation		×40,201		.22,443		30,114		54,402

(5) Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize a liability for the legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event. We have identified asset retirement obligations (ARO), which are liabilities related to our electric and natural gas transmission and distribution assets that have been installed on easements over property not owned by us. The easements are generally perpetual and only require remediation action upon abandonment or cessation of use of the property for the specified purpose. The ARO liability is not estimable for such easements as we intend to utilize these properties indefinitely. In the event we decide to abandon or cease the use of a particular easement, an ARO liability would be recorded at that time.

Our regulated utility operations have, previously recognized removal costs of transmission and distribution assets as a component of depreciation in accordance with regulatory treatment. Generally, the accrual of future non-ARO removal obligations is not required. However, long-standing ratemaking practices approved by applicable state and federal regulatory commissions have allowed provisions for such costs in historical depreciation rates. These removal costs have accumulated over a number of years based on varying rates as authorized by the appropriate regulatory entities. These amounts do not represent legal retirement obligations. As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we have recognized accrued removal costs of \$235.3 million and \$222.1 million, respectively, which are classified as accumulated depreciation. In addition, for our generation properties, we have accrued non-ARO decommissioning costs since the generating units were first put into service in the amount of \$15.9 million and \$15.4 million as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which are classified as accumulated depreciation.

The liabilities associated with conditional AROs are adjusted on an ongoing basis due to the passage of new laws and regulations and revisions to either the timing or amount of estimates of undiscounted cash flows and estimates of cost escalation factors. Our conditional AROs are primarily related to Department of Transportation requirements to cut, purge and cap retired natural gas pipeline segments. We measure the liability at fair value when incurred and capitalize a corresponding amount as part of the book value of the related assets, which increases our property, plant and equipment and other noncurrent liabilities. The increase in the capitalized cost is included in determining depreciation expense over the estimated useful life of these assets. Since the fair value of the ARO is determined using a present value approach, accretion of the liability due to the passage of time is recognized each period and recorded as a regulatory asset until the settlement of the liability. The following table presents the change in our gross conditional ARO (in thousands):

	Dec	ember:31,
	2011	2010
Liability:at/January/1,	\$7,181	\$ 6,688
Accretion expense	493	518
Iliabilities incurred	486	
Liabilities settled	(1,970) (35)
Revisions to cash flows	102	(66)
Liability at December 31,	<u>\$.</u> 6,292	\$ 7,181

(6) Utility Plant Adjustments

Utility plant adjustments are not amortized; rather, they are evaluated for impairment at least annually. We evaluated our utility plant adjustments during the fourth quarters of 2011 and 2010 and determined that it was not impaired.

(7) Risk Management and Hedging Activities

Nature of Our Business and Associated Risks

We are exposed to certain risks related to the ongoing operations of our business, including the impact of market fluctuations in the price of electricity and natural gas commodities and changes in interest rates. We rely on market purchases to fulfill a large portion of our electric and natural gas supply requirements within the Montana market. Several factors influence price levels and volatility. These factors include, but are not limited to, seasonal changes in demand, weather conditions, available generating assets within regions, transportation availability and reliability within and between regions, fuel availability, market liquidity, and the nature and extent of current and potential federal and state regulations.

Objectives and Strategies for Using Derivatives

To manage our exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices we routinely enter into derivative contracts, such as fixed-price forward purchase and sales contracts. The objective of these transactions is to fix the price for a portion of anticipated energy purchases to supply our customers. These types of contracts are included in our electric and natural gas supply portfolios and are used to manage price volatility risk by taking advantage of seasonal fluctuations in market prices. While individual contracts may be above or below market value, the overall portfolio approach is intended to provide price stability for consumers; therefore, these commodity costs are included in our cost tracking mechanisms and are recoverable from customers subject to prudence reviews by the applicable state regulatory commissions. We do not maintain a trading portfolio, and our derivative transactions are only used for risk management purposes. In addition, we may use interest rate swaps to manage our interest rate exposures associated with new debt issuances or to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates on variable rate debt.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments

We evaluate new and existing transactions and agreements to determine whether they are derivatives. The permitted accounting treatments include: normal purchase normal sale; cash flow hedge; fair value hedge; and mark-to-market. Mark-to-market accounting is the default accounting treatment for all derivatives unless they qualify, and we specifically designate them, for one of the other accounting treatments. Derivatives designated for any of the elective accounting treatments must meet specific, restrictive criteria both at the time of designation and on an ongoing basis. The changes in the fair value of recognized derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and the type of hedge transaction.

Normal Purchases and Normal Sales

We have applied the normal purchase and normal sale scope exception (NPNS) to most of our contracts involving the physical purchase and sale of gas and electricity at fixed prices in future periods. During our normal course of business, we enter into full-requirement energy contracts, power purchase agreements and physical capacity contracts, which qualify for NPNS. All of these contracts are accounted for using the accrual method of accounting; therefore, there were no amounts recorded in the Financial Statements at December 31, 2011 and 2010. Revenues and expenses from these contracts are reported on a gross basis in the appropriate revenue and expense categories as the commodities are received or delivered.

Mark-to-Market Accounting

Certain contracts for the purchase of natural gas associated with our gas utility operations do not qualify for NPNS. These are typically forward purchase contracts for natural gas where we lock in a fixed price, settle the contracts financially and do not take physical delivery of the natural gas. We use the mark-to-market method of accounting for these derivative contracts as we do not elect hedge accounting. Upon settlement of these contracts, associated proceeds or costs are refunded to or collected from our customers consistent with regulatory requirements; therefore, we record a regulatory asset or liability based on changes in market value.

The following table represents the fair value and location of derivative instruments subject to mark-to-market accounting (in thousands). For more information on the determination of fair value see Note 9 - Fair Value Measurements.

		December 31,		
Mark-to-Market Transactions	Balance Sheet Location	2011	2010	
Natural concept designation highlight	Current and Accrued	\$ 20:21-2	£	

The following table represents the net change in fair value for these derivatives (in thousands):

	τ	Jnrealized gain	(loss) recognized
,		ir	n
		Regulato	ry Assets
		Decem	ber 31,
Derivatives Subject to Regulatory Deferral	. –	2011	2010
Natural2gas	\$	9,400	\$ (6(051)

Credit Risk

We are exposed to credit risk primarily through buying and selling electricity and natural gas to serve customers. Credit risk is the potential loss resulting from counterparty non-performance under an agreement. We manage credit risk with policies and procedures for, among other things, counterparty analysis and exposure measurement, monitoring and mitigation. We may request collateral or other security from our counterparties based on the assessment of creditworthiness and exposure. It is possible that volatility in commodity prices could cause us to have material credit risk exposures with one or more counterparties.

We enter into commodity master enabling agreements with our counterparties to mitigate credit exposure, as these agreements reduce the risk of default by allowing us or our counterparty the ability to make net payments. The agreements generally are: (1) Western Systems Power Pool agreements - standardized power purchase and sales contracts in the electric industry; (2) International Swaps and Derivatives Association agreements - standardized financial gas and electric contracts; (3) North American Energy

Standards Board agreements - standardized physical gas contracts; and (4) Edison Electric Institute Master Purchase and Sale Agreements - standardized power sales contracts in the electric industry.

Many of our forward purchase contracts contain provisions that require us to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. If our credit rating were to fall below investment grade, the counterparties could require immediate payment or demand immediate and ongoing full overnight collateralization on contracts in net liability positions.

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair value of forward purchase contracts that do not qualify for NPNS that contain credit risk-related contingent features. If the credit risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered as of December 31, 2011, the collateral posting requirements would be as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Posted	Contingent
Contracts with Contingent Feature	Liability	Collateral	Collateral
Credit rating	\$ 8,790	\$1	\$ 8,790

Interest Rate Swaps Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

If we enter into contracts to hedge the variability of cash flows related to forecasted transactions that qualify as cash flow hedges, the changes in the fair value of such derivative instruments are reported in other comprehensive income. The relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item must be documented to include the risk management objective and strategy and, at inception and on an ongoing basis, the effectiveness of the hedge in offsetting the changes in the cash flows of the item being hedged. Gains or losses accumulated in other comprehensive income are reclassified to earnings in the periods in which earnings are affected by the variability of the cash flows of the related hedged item. Any ineffective portion of all hedges would be recognized in current-period earnings. Cash flows related to these contracts are classified in the same category as the transaction being hedged.

We have used interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges to manage our interest rate exposures associated with new debt issuances. These swaps were designated as cash-flow hedges with the effective portion of gains and losses, net of associated deferred income tax effects, recorded in AOCI. We reclassify these gains from AOCI into interest on long-term debt during the periods in which the hedged interest payments occur. The following table shows the effect of these derivative instruments on the Financial Statements (in thousands):

			Amount of Gain
			Reclassified from AOCI
	Amount of Gain	Location of Gain	into Income during the
	Remaining in AOCI as of	Reclassified from AOCI to	Year Ended
Cash Flow Hedges	December 31, 2011	Income	December 31, 2011
Interest rate contracts	\$ 8,087	Interest on long-term debt	\$ 12188

We expect to reclassify approximately \$1.2 million of pre-tax gains on these cash-flow hedges from AOCI into interest on longterm debt during the next twelve months. These gains relate to swaps previously terminated, and we have no current interest rate swaps outstanding.

We expect to reclassify approximately \$1.2 million of pre-tax gains on these cash-flow hedges from AOCI into interest on long-term debt during the next twelve months. These gains relate to swaps previously terminated, and we have no current interest rate swaps outstanding.

(8) Related Party Transactions

Accounts receivable from and payables to associated companies primarily include intercompany billings for direct charges, overhead, and income tax obligations. The following table reflects our accounts receivable from and accounts payable to associated companies (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2011		2010
Accounts Receivable from Associated Companies:			
Clark Fork & Blackfoot, LLC	\$	-	\$ 7,273
Mountain:States Transmission Intertie, LLC		,650	2,096
NorthWestern Investments, LLC		-	157
NorthWestern Services, BEC	2	,184	2,892
Risk Partners Assurance, Ltd.		18	18
	<u> </u>	,852	\$ 12,436
Accounts Payable to Associated Companies			
Natural Gas Funding Trust	\$	71	\$ 62

(9) Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (i.e., an exit price). Measuring fair value requires the use of market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, corroborated by market data, or generally unobservable. Valuation techniques are required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

A fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value, and requires fair value measurements to be categorized based on the observability of those inputs has been established by the applicable accounting guidance. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 inputs) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices available in active markets at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Pricing inputs, other than quoted prices included within Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date; and
- Level 3 Significant inputs that are generally not observable from market activity.

We classify assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement of each individual asset and liability taken as a whole. The table below sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the gross components of our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Normal purchases and sales transactions are not included in the fair values by source table as they are not recorded at fair value. There were no transfers between levels for the periods presented. See Note 7 - Risk Management and Hedging Activities for further discussion.

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Margin Cash Collateral	Total Net Fair
December 31, 2011	1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Offset	Value
	STRACT STRACT STRACT	NEWSCOMPTONIC CONTRACTOR	(in thousands)		
Other Special Deposits	\$ 3,999	ʻs — s	s —	·e	\$ 3,999
Rabbi trust	φ	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}$	Press Press Press Press (a	SΨUSION DE CASSA (COM 27, 1996)	φ
investments	8,049		_		8,049
Derivative liability (1)	0,047	(20,312)			(20,312)
Total	\$ 12,048	\$ (20,312) S	<u>negati denti negati de la man</u>	e •	فشيالة المسيوية ومستعمل كالتلاف المستعم المستعم المستعم الا
10131	<u> </u>		P		<u>\$ (8,264)</u>
December 31, 2010					
Other Special	· •	s — . 9		\$	e
Concernation and a second second second second second	\$	ው		⊕0::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	.\$
Rabbi trust	5 105				5 405
investments Derivative asset (1)	5,495	1.620			5,495 1,620
		DORONADEIINGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG			
Derivative liability (1)	 Names and the second states with	(31,332)			(31,332)
Net derivative position	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(29,712)		•	(29,7/12)
Total	<u>\$ 8,825</u>	<u>\$ (29,712)</u> <u>\$</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ (20,887)</u>

(1) The changes in the fair value of these derivatives are deferred as a regulatory asset or liability until the contracts are settled. Upon settlement, associated proceeds or costs are passed through the applicable cost tracking mechanism to customers.

We present our derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis in the Balance Sheets. The table above disaggregates our net derivative assets and liabilities on a gross contract-by-contract basis as required and classifies each individual asset or liability within the appropriate level in the fair value hierarchy, regardless of whether a particular contract is eligible for netting against other contracts. These gross balances are intended solely to provide information on sources of inputs to fair value and do not represent our actual credit exposure or net economic exposure. Increases and decreases in the gross components presented in each of the levels in this table also do not indicate changes in the level of derivative activities. Rather, the primary factors affecting the gross amounts are commodity prices.

Other special deposits represent amounts held in money market mutual funds. Rabbi trust assets represent assets held for nonqualified deferred compensation plans, which consist of our common stock and actively traded mutual funds with quoted prices in active markets. Fair value for the commodity derivatives was determined using internal models based on quoted forward commodity prices. We consider nonperformance risk in our valuation of derivative instruments by analyzing the credit standing of our counterparties and considering any counterparty credit enhancements (e.g., collateral). The fair value measurement of liabilities also reflects the nonperformance risk of the reporting entity, as applicable. Therefore, we have factored the impact of our credit standing as well as any potential credit enhancements into the fair value measurement of both derivative assets and derivative liabilities. Consideration of our own credit risk did not have a material impact on our fair value measurements.

Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of financial instruments is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Decem	De	ecember 31	, 2010	
	Carrying		Carry	ing	
,	Amount	Fair Value	Amou	nt	Fair Value
Liabilities:					
Long-term debt (including current portion)	\$ 905,049	9 \$ 1,066,68	1 \$ 1,05	8,025 \$	1,126,336

Notes payable consist of commercial paper and is not included in the table above as carrying value approximates fair value. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies; however, considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that we would realize in a current market exchange.

We determined fair value for long-term debt based on interest rates that are currently available to us for issuance of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities, except for publicly traded debt, for which fair value is based on market prices for the same or similar issues or upon the quoted market prices of U.S. treasury issues having a similar term to maturity, adjusted for our bond issuance rating and the present value of future cash flows.

(10) Notes Payable

On February 8, 2011, we entered into a commercial paper program under which we may issue unsecured commercial paper notes on a private placement basis up to a maximum aggregate amount outstanding at any time of \$250 million to provide an additional financing source for our short-term liquidity needs. The maturities of the commercial paper issuances will vary, but may not exceed 270 days from the date of issue. Commercial paper issuances are supported by available capacity under our unsecured revolving credit facility. See Note 11 - Long-Term Debt, for more information on our unsecured revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$166.9 million in commercial paper outstanding. Commercial paper borrowings and related interest rates for the year ended December 31, 2011 were as follows (dollars in millions):

Amount outstanding as of December 31, 2011	\$166.9
Weighted average interest rate as of December 31, 2011	0.57%
Daily average amount outstanding during 2011	\$83.4
Weighted average interest rate during 2011	0.42%
Maximum month-end balance during 2011	\$166.9

(11) Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

	·	December 31,		
	Due	2011	2010	
Unsecured Debt:				
Unsecured Revolving Line of Credit	2016 \$	— \$	153,000	
Secured Debt:				
Mortgage bonds—	IN THE PARTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP			
South Dakota—6:05%		55,000	55,000	
South Dakota—5.01%	2025	64,000	64,000	
Montana—6:04%		150,000	150,000	
Montana—6.34%	. 2019	250,000	250,000	
Montana—5.71%	2039	55,000	55,000	
Montana—5.01%	2025	161,000	161,000	
Pollution control obligations—				
Montana—4.65%	2023	170,205	170,205	
Other Long Term Debt:				
Discount on Notes and Bonds		(156)	(180)	
	\$	905,049 \$	1,058,025	

Unsecured Revolving Line of Credit

On June 30, 2011, we amended and restated our unsecured revolving credit facility scheduled to expire on June 30, 2012. We extended the term to June 30, 2016, and increases the aggregate principal amount available under the facility by \$50 million to \$300 million. The facility also has an accordion feature that allows us to increase the size up to \$350 million with the consent of the lenders. The amended facility does not amortize and borrowings bear interest based on a credit ratings grid. The 'spread' or 'margin' ranges from 0.88% to 1.75% over the LIBOR. Based on our unsecured credit ratings on the closing date of the agreement, the applicable spread was 1.25%. A total of eight banks participate in the new facility, with no one bank providing more than 17% of the total availability. While no direct borrowings were outstanding as of December 31, 2011, letters of credit of \$3.0 million were outstanding. Commitment fees for the unsecured revolving line of credit were \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The credit facility includes covenants that require us to meet certain financial tests, including a maximum debt to capitalization ratio not to exceed 65%. The facility also contains covenants which, among other things, limit our ability to engage in any consolidation or merger or otherwise liquidate or dissolve, dispose of property, and enter into transactions with affiliates. A default on the South Dakota or Montana First Mortgage Bonds would trigger a cross default on the credit facility; however a default on the credit facility would not trigger a default on any other obligations.

Secured Debt

First Mortgage Bonds and Pollution Control Obligations

The South Dakota Mortgage Bonds are a series of general obligation bonds issued under our South Dakota indenture. All of such bonds are secured by substantially all of our South Dakota and Nebraska electric and natural gas assets.

The Montana First Mortgage Bonds and Montana Pollution Control Obligations are secured by substantially all of our Montana electric and natural gas assets.

Maturities of Long-Term Debt

The aggregate minimum principal maturities of long-term debt and capital leases, during the next five years are zero in 2012 through 2015 and \$150.0 in 2016.

As of December 31, 2011, we are in compliance with our financial debt covenants.

(12) Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate differs from the federal tax rate of 35% primarily due to repairs and state tax bonus depreciation deductions. The regulatory accounting treatment of these deductions requires immediate income recognition for temporary tax differences of this type, which is referred to as the flow-through method. When the flow-through method of accounting for temporary differences is reflected in regulated revenues we record deferred income taxes and establish related regulatory assets and liabilities. We recognized federal repairs related tax benefits of \$13.4 million and \$9.7 million for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We recognized a state tax bonus depreciation related benefit of \$7.6 million for 2011, related to DGGS and other qualifying additions. Based on guidance issued by the IRS, we believe DGGS qualifies for a 50% bonus depreciation deduction in 2011. By comparison, we recognized a state tax bonus depreciation related benefit of \$2.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2010, after the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 was signed into law. This act provides a bonus depreciation deduction ranging from 50%-100% for qualified property acquired or constructed and placed into service during 2010 through 2012. We expect to recognize additional bonus depreciation related benefits through 2012.

In addition, we maintain a valuation allowance against certain state net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards based on our forecast of taxable income and our estimate that a portion of these NOL carryforwards will more likely than not expire before we can use them. During the first six months of 2011, we recognized a \$2.4 million favorable state NOL carryforward utilization benefit due to 2010 taxable income being higher than our original estimate.

During 2011, we replaced the fixed asset module of our existing financial system with a new fixed asset software system commonly used in the utility industry and are in process of implementing the income tax module of this software to gain more utility specific functionality. This software is specialized to the utility industry and provides us a more integrated process of reconciling our temporary and permanent tax differences to our financial statements. We expect to complete the implementation of the income tax module during the first quarter of 2012. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we determined the calculation of certain differences associated primarily with plant-related basis differences had been overstated and therefore recognized a cumulative tax benefit adjustment of approximately \$3.9 million. The adjustment related to prior periods and is not material to previously issued or current period financial statements.

The IRS issued guidance during the third quarter of 2011 providing a safe harbor method for determining the tax treatment of repairs costs for electric transmission and distribution property. We are evaluating whether or not we want to elect the safe harbor method, which may result in a change in related repairs deductions and unrecognized tax benefits. We expect to complete our evaluation by the third quarter of 2012.

Deferred income taxes relate primarily to the difference between book and tax methods of depreciating property, amortizing taxdeductible goodwill, the difference in the recognition of revenues and expenses for book and tax purposes, certain natural gas and electric costs which are deferred for book purposes but expensed currently for tax purposes, and NOL carry forwards. We have elected under Internal Revenue Code 46(f)(2) to defer investment tax credit benefits and amortize them against expense and customer billing rates over the book life of the underlying plant. The components of the deferred income tax assets and liabilities recognized in our Balance Sheets are related to the following temporary differences (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
NØL/carryforward	\$	41\$
Pension / postretirement benefits	41,8	98 —
QF obligations	20,5	96
Customer advances	16,1	57 17,247
Property taxes		
Environmental liability	9,6	70 8,425
AMT credit carryforward		
Unbilled revenue	. 6,2	0000/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/0
Compensation accruals	7,20	una se posta de secondo com com com com com com a de la compañía de la compañía de la compañía de la compañía d
Reserves and accruals	4,3'	CARDONOPHICS STREET, AND ARREST CONTRACTOR OF A CARDINAL STREET, AND A CARDINAL STREET, AND A CARDINAL STREET,
Regulatory liability	1,09	98 550
Other, net	1,80	52 (1,098)
Waluation allowance	(3,8	<u>34)</u> (653)
Deferred Tax Asset	164,22	97,507
Excess tax depreciation	(273,00)1) (185,628)
Goodwill amortization	(96,23	(77,193)
Flow, through depreciation	(49,74	.0)) (34,395))
Regulatory assets	(14,32	3) (9,234)
Property taxes	(51	1)
Other, net		2,001
Deferred TaxiLiability	(433,80	8) (304,449)
Deferred Tax Liability, net	<u>\$ (269,57</u>	9) \$ (206,942)

A valuation allowance is recorded when a company believes that it will not generate sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character to realize the value of its deferred tax assets. We have a valuation allowance against certain state NOL carryforwards as we do not believe these assets will be realized. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we increased our valuation allowance by approximately \$0.3 million against certain state NOL carryforwards as we believe they will expire before we can use them due to decreased forecasts of state taxable income during the carryforward period.

At December 31, 2011 we estimate our total federal NOL carryforward to be approximately \$457.2 million. If unused, our federal NOL carryforwards will expire as follows: \$180.6 million in 2025; \$4.0 million in 2026; \$1.0 million in 2027; \$95.5 million in 2028; \$23.8 million in 2029; \$3.2 million in 2030; and \$149.1 million in 2031. We estimate our state NOL carryforward as of December 31, 2011 is approximately \$429.4 million. If unused, our state NOL carryforwards will expire as follows: \$211.5 million in 2012; \$3.0 million in 2013; \$0.8 million in 2014; \$74.0 million in 2015; \$18.6 million in 2016; \$2.5 million in 2017; and \$119.0 million in 2018. We believe it is more likely than not that sufficient taxable income will be generated to utilize these NOL carryforwards except as noted above.

Uncertain Tax Positions

We recognize tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not threshold as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information.

The change in unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Unrecognized Tax Benefits:at January 1	\$~120,859	\$ 122,844
Gross increases - tax positions in prior period		
Gross decreases - tax positions in prior period	entra 000000	(5,707)
Gross increases - tax positions in current period	26,864	6,202
Grossidecreases-stax positions in current period	¢ 121.040	(2;480))
Unrecognized Tax Benefits at December 31	\$ <u>151,949</u>	\$ 120,859

Our unrecognized tax benefits include approximately \$79.2 million and \$80.4 million related to tax positions as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively that if recognized, would impact our annual effective tax rate. We do not anticipate total unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change due to the settlement of audits or the expiration of statutes of limitations within the next twelve months.

Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we have not recognized expense for interest or penalties, and do not have any amounts accrued at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for the payment of interest and penalties.

Our federal tax returns from 2000 forward remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

(13) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table displays the components of AOCI, which is included in proprietary capital on the Balance Sheets (in thousands).

	Net Unrealized			
	Gains on Hedging	Pension and Other		
annan bar daraan baran kuusus a kuusus a kuusu ab bar sana sana anna anna anna anna bara anna bar sana anna ba	Instruments	Benefits	Other	<u> </u>
Balances December 31, 2009	<u>\$ 10,465</u>	<u>\$ (1,024)</u>	<u>\$ 284 5</u>	<u>9,7</u> 25
Reclassification of net gains on hedging instruments				
from OCI to net income	(1,188)			(1,188)
Pension and postretirement medical liability				
adjustment, net of tax of \$75	1997 - 1997 -	(134)		(134)
Foreign currency translation	·		111	111
Balances December 31, 2010	9;277	(1,158)	.395	8,514
Reclassification of net gains on hedging instruments				
from OCI to net income, net of taxes of \$458	(4,302)			(4,302)
Pension and postretirement medical liability				
adjustment, net of tax of \$155	en en service en en ens s	(581)		(58,1)
Foreign currency translation		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25	25
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 4,975	\$ (1,739) \$	§ 420 \$	3,656

(14) Operating Leases

We lease vehicles, office equipment and facilities under various long-term operating leases. At December 31, 2011 future minimum lease payments for the next five years under non-cancelable lease agreements are as follows (in thousands):

2012. \$	1,951
2013	1,021
2014	
2015	181
2016	67.

Lease and rental expense incurred was \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(15) Employee Benefit Plans

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

We sponsor and/or contribute to pension and postretirement health care and life insurance benefit plans for eligible employees, which includes two cash balance pension plans. The plan for our South Dakota and Nebraska employees is referred to as the NorthWestern pension plan, and the plan for our Montana employees is referred to as the NorthWestern Energy pension plan.

We utilize a number of accounting mechanisms that reduce the volatility of reported pension costs. Differences between actuarial assumptions and actual plan results are deferred and are recognized into earnings only when the accumulated differences exceed 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligation or the market-related value of plan assets. If necessary, the excess is amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees. The Plan's funded status is recognized as an asset or liability in our financial statements. See Note 17 - Regulatory Assets and Liabilities, for further discussion on how these costs are recovered through rates charged to our customers.

Benefit Obligation and Funded Status

Following is a reconciliation of the changes in plan benefit obligations and fair value and a statement of the funded status (in thousands):

	Pension Benefits		Ot	Other Postretirement Benefits				
		Decer	nber 3	1,		December 31,		1,
	2	.011		2010		2011		2010
Change in Benefit Obligation:			() Radia	i isrealis i				
OTHER OFFICE A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPT	\$	478,790	\$	ANALY AND ANALY AND	\$	fortale approximation of the second	\$	32,347
Service cost		.10,199		9,361		437		4.83
	www.	24,394	19.000000000	24,090	C F. Gatebard G	1,348	-	1,803
Rlan amendments						(464)		
Actuarial loss (gain)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	44,586	E'MORGEZZIÓ	51,730	SAMESING	(2,056)	8856998266	4,758
Benefits paid		(21,433)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(21,669)	***	(2,806)	***	(3,423)
90000000000000000000000000000000000000	<u>\$</u>	536,536	\$	478,790	\$	32,427	\$	35,968
Change in Fair Walue of Plan Assets:								
Support And	\$ 000000000000000000000000000000000000	428,152	\$	*******	\$	17,201	\$	15,298
Return on plan assets		14,218		48,392		340		1,903
Employer contributions		11,700	020000000000000000000000000000000000000	10,000	8:090f 0.710.000	767	naaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	3,423
Benefits paid		(21,433)		(21,669)		(2,806)		(3,423)
AND DESIGNATION OF THE REPORT OF THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF THE DESIGNATION OF THE ADDRESS OF		432,637	\$		<u>\$</u>		\$	17,201
Funded'Status	<u> </u>	103,899)	\$	(50,638)	<u>\$</u>	(16,925)	<u>\$</u> ,	(18,767)
Unrecognized net actuarial (gain) loss	ananananan sarara		000001/030500		00000000000000		vantare en	
Unrecognized prior service cost								
Accrued benefit cost	<u> </u>	103,899)	\$	(50,638)	<u>\$</u>	(16,925)	\$	(18,767)
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of								
Current liability					111-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	(1,075)		(1,078)
Noncurrent/liability	(1	03,899)		*(50,638)		(15,850)		(17,689)
Net amount recognized \$	S(1	03,899)	\$	(50,638)	\$	(16,925)	\$	(18,767)
Amounts recognized in regulatory assets consist of:								
Prior service (cost) credit		(1,241)		(1,487)		23,545		25,230
Net actuarial loss	(1	30,062)		(71,749)		(10,025)		(12,549)
Amounts recognized in AOCI consist of:								
Prior service cost						(1,604)		(1,755))
Net actuarial gain						(1,051)		(395)
Total §	(1	31,303)	<u>\$</u>	(73,236)	\$ <u>`</u>	10,865	\$	10,531

The total projected benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were as follows (in millions):

	Pension	Benefits
	Decem	ber 31,
	2011	2010
Projected benefit obligation	\$.536.5	\$
Accumulated benefit obligation	533.5	475.7
Fair-valuetof.plan.assets	432.6	428.2

Net Periodic Cost (Credit)

The components of the net costs (credits) for our pension and other postretirement plans are as follows (in thousands):

	Pension	Benefits	Other Postretirement Benefits			
	Decem	ıber 31,	December 31,			
-	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Components of Net						
Periodic Benefit Cost						
Service cost	\$ 10,199	\$ 9,361	\$ 437	\$ 483		
Interest cost	24,394		1,348	1,803		
Expected return on						
plan assets	(30,462)	(29,839)	(1,185)	(1,186)		
Amortization of prior						
service cost (credit)		246	(1,998)	(1,952)		
Recognized actuarial			(2014)])))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))			
loss	2,516	140	658	984		
Net Periodic Benefit						
Cost (Credit)	6,893	\$ 3,998	\$ (740)	.\$ 132		

For purposes of calculating the expected return on pension plan assets, the market-related value of assets is used, which is based upon fair value. The difference between actual plan asset returns and estimated plan asset returns are amortized equally over a period not to exceed five years.

We estimate amortizations from regulatory assets into net periodic benefit cost during 2012 will be as follows (in thousands):

		Other
	Pension	Postretirement
	Benefits	Benefits
Prior/service/cost/(credit)	246	\$ (1,998)
Accumulated gain	7,596	720

Actuarial Assumptions

The measurement dates used to determine pension and other postretirement benefit measurements for the plans are December 31, 2011 and 2010. The actuarial assumptions used to compute net periodic pension cost and postretirement benefit cost are based upon information available as of the beginning of the year, specifically, market interest rates, past experience and management's best estimate of future economic conditions. Changes in these assumptions may impact future benefit costs and obligations. In computing future costs and obligations, we must make assumptions about such things as employee mortality and turnover, expected salary and wage increases, discount rate, expected return on plan assets, and expected future cost increases. Two of these assumptions have the most impact on the level of cost: (1) discount rate and (2) expected rate of return on plan assets.

For 2011 and 2010, we set the discount rate using a yield curve analysis, which projects benefit cash flows into the future and then discounts those cash flows to the measurement date using a yield curve. This is done by constructing a hypothetical bond portfolio whose cash flow from coupons and maturities matches the year-by-year, projected benefit cash flow from our plans.

In determining the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, we review historical returns, the future expectations for returns for each asset class weighted by the target asset allocation of the pension and postretirement portfolios, and long-term inflation assumptions. Considering this information and future expectations for asset returns, we reduced our expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption from 7.25% to 7.00% for 2012.

The health care cost trend rates are established through a review of actual recent cost trends and projected future trends. Our retiree medical trend assumptions are the best estimate of expected inflationary increases to our healthcare costs. Due to the relative size of our retiree population (under 800 members), the assumptions used are based upon both nationally expected trends and our specific expected trends. Our average increase remains consistent with the nationally expected trends.

-	Pensior	Benefits	Other Postretirement Benefits			
_	Decen	aber 31,	Decemi	oer 31,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Discountitate	4.40-4.55%	5.00=5.25%	3.50-4.30%	4.00-5.00%		
Expected rate of return on						
assets	7.25	7.75	7.25	7.75		
Long-term rate of increase in						
compensation levels						
(nonunion)	3.58	3.58	3.58	3,58		
Long-term rate of increase						
in compensation levels (union)	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50		

The weighted-average assumptions used in calculating the preceding information are as follows:

The postretirement benefit obligation is calculated assuming that health care costs increased by 9.0% in 2011 and the rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits thereafter was assumed to decrease gradually by 0.25% per year to an ultimate trend of 4.5% by the year 2029.

With our 2009 plan amendment to cap the company contribution toward the premium cost, future health care cost trend rates are expected to have a minimal impact on company costs and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation.

Investment Strategy

Our investment goals with respect to managing the pension and other postretirement assets are to meet current and future benefit payment needs while maximizing total investment returns (income and appreciation) after inflation within the constraints of

diversification, prudent risk taking, and the Prudent Man Rule of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Each plan is diversified across asset classes to achieve optimal balance between risk and return and between income and growth through capital appreciation. Our investment philosophy is based on the following:

- Each plan should be substantially fully invested as long-term cash holdings reduce long-term rates of return;
- It is prudent to diversify each plan across the major asset classes;
- Equity investments provide greater long-term returns than fixed income investments, although with greater short-term volatility;
- Fixed income investments of the plans should strongly correlate with the interest rate sensitivity of the plan's aggregate liabilities in order to hedge the risk of change in interest rates negatively impacting the overall funded status;
- Allocation to foreign equities increases the portfolio diversification and thereby decreases portfolio risk while providing for the potential for enhanced long-term returns;
- Active management can reduce portfolio risk and potentially add value through security selection strategies;
- A portion of plan assets should be allocated to passive, indexed management funds to provide for greater diversification and lower cost; and
- It is appropriate to retain more than one investment manager, provided that such managers offer asset class or style diversification.

Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through quarterly investment portfolio reviews, annual liability measurements, and periodic asset/liability studies.

The most important component of an investment strategy is the portfolio asset mix, or the allocation between the various classes of securities available. The mix of assets is based on an optimization study that identifies asset allocation targets in order to achieve the maximum return for an acceptable level of risk, while minimizing the expected contributions and pension and postretirement expense. In the optimization study, assumptions are formulated about characteristics, such as expected asset class investment returns, volatility (risk), and correlation coefficients among the various asset classes, and making adjustments to reflect future conditions expected to prevail over the study period. Based on this, the target asset allocation established, within an allowable range of plus or minus 5%, is as follows:

· · · · ·			Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
		<u></u>	December 31,		December	31,
	NA AMERICAN DI DI MINARA MPRANDISTI DI M	2(011	2010	2011	2010
Domestic debt securities			40.0%	40:0%	40:0%	40:0%
International debt securities	NY 2012 TO THE OWNER OF THE SECTION OF THE SECTION.	NAMES AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION	10.0	10.0		
Domestic equity securities			40.0	40:0	50:0	50:0
International equity securities			10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
The actual allocation by plan is a	s follows:					
					NorthWester	n Energy
	NorthWestern En	ergy Pension	NorthWestern Pension		Health and Welfare	
	December	r 31,	December 31,		Decembe	er 31,
ana yan dalama dar daya bekar (yer) yer tan bernan dalam (yer) yan dalama dalama dalama dalama dalama musuur b	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Cash and cash equivalents	%%	·%	-%%	%	.2.0%	<u> </u>
Domestic debt securities	39.5	37.5	38.4	37.0	39.4	39.1
International debt securities	.10.6	10.2	111.2	10.5		
Domestic equity securities	40.3	41.9	40.9	41.8	49.8	50.7
International equity securities	9:6	10:4	9:5	1037	8.8	10.2
-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Generally, the asset mix will be rebalanced to the target mix as individual portfolios approach their minimum or maximum levels. Debt securities consist of U.S. and international instruments. Core domestic portfolios can be invested in government, corporate, assetbacked and mortgage-backed obligation securities. The portfolio may invest in high yield securities, however, the average quality must be rated at least "investment grade" by rating agencies. Performance of fixed income investments is measured by both traditional investment benchmarks as well as relative changes in the present value of the plan's liabilities. Equity investments consist primarily of U.S. stocks including large, mid and small cap stocks, which are diversified across investment styles such as growth and value. We also invest in international equities with exposure to developing and emerging markets. Derivatives, options and futures are permitted for the purpose of reducing risk but may not be used for speculative purposes.

Our plan assets are primarily invested in common collective trusts (CCTs), which are invested in equity and fixed income securities. In accordance with our investment policy, these pooled investment funds must have an adequate asset base relative to their asset class and be invested in a diversified manner and have a minimum of three years of verified investment performance experience or verified portfolio manager investment experience in a particular investment strategy and have management and oversight by an investment advisor registered with the SEC. Investments in a collective investment vehicle are valued by multiplying the investee company's net asset value per share with the number of units or shares owned at the valuation date. Net asset value per share is determined by the trustee. Investments held by the CCT, including collateral invested for securities on loan, are valued on the basis of valuations furnished by a pricing service approved by the CCT's investment manager, which determines valuations using methods based on quoted closing market prices on national securities exchanges, or at fair value as determined in good faith by the CCT's investment manager if applicable. The funds do not contain any redemption restrictions. The direct holding of NorthWestern Corporation pension plan assets also include a participating group annuity contract in the John Hancock General Investment Account, which consists primarily of fixed-income securities. The participating group annuity contract is valued based on discounted cash flows of current yields of similar contracts with comparable duration based on the underlying fixed income investment.

The fair value of our plan assets at December 31, 2011 by asset category are as follows (in thousands):

			Quoted Market		
		· · · ·	Prices in Active Markets for	Significant	Significant
			Identical Assets	Observable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs
	Asset Category	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	Pension Plan Assets	ф. 212 и	с. Ф	ф. 010	Ф.
	Cash and cash equivalents Equity securities: (1)		\$		\$
	US small/mid cap growth US small/mid(cap.value	14,922 15,290		14,922 15,290	
	US large cap growth US large cap value	43,786 46,248		43,786 46,248	
	US large cap passive Non-US core	54,477 41,270		54,477 41,270	
	Fixed income securities:(2) US core opportunistic	80,702		80,702	
	US passive	41,630		41,630	
2000,00	Long duration	6,998		:6,998	
ş	Long duration investment grade	13,058		13,058	
50062	Long duration passive	5,441		5,441	
NUCCON.	Non-US passive Active long corporate	46,023 112,730		46,023 112,730	
(Society)	Participating group annuity contract	9,749 \$432,637 \$		9,749 432,637	
(Other Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets	······································	······································	*********************************	
Č	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 270 \$		S	\$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
E	Equity securities: (1)		International contracts of the second sec	STREET,	INTERNET CONTRACTOR OF CONT
1933	US small/mid cap growth	643			
55	US small/mid cap value	636		636	
82	S&P 500 index US large cap growth	5,671 180		5,671 180	astrosti e constantes de la constante
	US [*] large cap value	192		192.	
	US large cap passive Non-US core	227 1,379		227 1,379	
F	ixed income securities: (2) Passive bond market	1,156		1,156	
1730	US core opportunistic	4,603		4,603	
	USipassive	×185		1285	
.	Long duration	25 61		25 21	
93) 93	Long duration investment grade	26		61 26	
	Non-US passive	1191		20 191	
鐗	Active long corporate	57		57	anago, masya analy ing pathinistona Jacing in
		\$ <u>15,502</u> \$	`\$`\$	15,502 \$	

The fair value of our plan assets at December 31, 2010 by asset category are as follows (in thousands):

			Quoted Market		
			Prices in Active		
			Markets for	Significant	Significant
Areat Catagory		Total	Identical Assets Level 1	Observable Inputs Level 2	Unobservable Inputs
Asset Category Pension Plan Assets	a de se ce	<u>10121</u>		Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	s in sea an	47 \$	La pageorganization, obligation. P	\$ 47	¢
Equity securities: (1)	Ф Servester Servester	47 . 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993	,	φ +/	р — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
US small/mid cap growth		15,768		15,768	
US small/mid cap growth		16,124		15,766	
US large cap growth	n an	48,012	***************************************	48,012	n Satur Satur Manager (S. Kenzy) i paget
US large cap value		46,668		46,668	200 - 10 - 10 - <u>10 - 1</u> 0 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -
US large cap passive	an a	52,688		52,688	
Non-US core		44,751		44,751	
Fixed income securities:(2)		and an and an a far and the second		endormeren um den sich dout auf den man der eine der Statumen er den de	***************************************
US core opportunistic		65,449		65,449	
US passive		35,596		35,596	
Long duration		49,083	<u> </u>	49;083	
Non-US passive	-	43,653		43,653	AA 6 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
Participating group annuity contract		10,313		10,313	
	<u>\$</u>	428,152 \$		<u>\$ 428,152</u>	<u>s </u>
Other Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	4		\$ 4	KIKOPAKI NADAROANSI INDONISTI DI DODUANSI ANGAZIA
Equity securities: (1)					
US small/mid cap growth	erene karanderad	806		806	MENSIKE SAMATAN MANANA MANANA M
US small/mid cap value		829		829	
S&P 500 index		6,029		6,029	
US large cap growth		346		346	
US large cap value		334	 XX # X # X # X # X # X # X # X # X # X	334	
US large cap passive		37,8	ALLER REAL REAL PROPERTY AND A	378	
Non-US core		1,758		1,758	
Fixed/income securities: (2) Passive bond market	BADDOLISH	1,073		1 072	
US core opportunistic		4,683		1,073 4,683	
US passive		4;085 272	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	272	PROCESSING STRATES
Long duration		377		377	
Non-US passive	natenatistiki	312	SAUGORI SAUGORI SAUGORI SAUGORI SAUGORI SAUGORI SAUGORI	312	nourmanant (Casarda) (Concerne).
		J 1 Z.			

(1) This category consists of active and passive managed equity funds, which are invested in multiple strategies to diversify risks and reduce volatility.

- (2) This category consists of investment grade bonds of issuers from diverse industries, debt securities issued by international, national, state and local governments, and asset-backed securities. This includes both active and passive managed funds.
 - For further discussion of the three levels of the fair value hierarchy see Note 9 Fair Value Measurements.

Cash Flows

In accordance with the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA), and the relief provisions of the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008 (WRERA), which was signed into law on December 23, 2008, we are required to meet minimum funding levels in order to avoid required contributions and benefit restrictions. We have elected to use asset smoothing provided by the WRERA, which allows the use of asset averaging, including expected returns (subject to certain limitations), for a 24-month period in the determination of funding requirements.

Based on the assumptions allowed under the PPA, WRERA, Treasury guidance and IRS guidance, we estimate that we will not have a minimum annual required contribution for 2012. We do expect to contribute approximately \$11.7 million to our pension plans during 2012. Additional legislative or regulatory measures, as well as fluctuations in financial market conditions, may impact these funding requirements.

Due to the regulatory treatment of pension costs in Montana, expense is calculated using the average of our actual and estimated funding amounts from 2005 through 2012, therefore changes in our funding estimates creates increased volatility to earnings. Annual contributions to each of the pension plans are as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010
NorthWestern Energy/Pension[Plan (MT)]	10.500 8	9.000

NorthWestern Pension Plan (SD)	1,200	1,000
8	111,700 \$	10,000

We estimate the plans will make future benefit payments to participants as follows (in thousands):

	Other
	Postretirement
Pension Benefits	Benefits
2012	\$3;664
2013 25,357	3,662
2014 26;334	3,581
2015 27,755	3,495
2016	3,334
2017-2021 165,725	12,470

Defined Contribution Plan

Our defined contribution plan permits employees to defer receipt of compensation as provided in Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the plan, employees may elect to direct a percentage of their gross compensation to be contributed to the plan. We contribute various percentage amounts of the employee's gross compensation contributed to the plan. Matching contributions for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$6.7 million and \$6.0 million, respectively.

(16) Stock-Based Compensation

We grant stock-based awards through our 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), which includes restricted stock awards and performance share awards. As of December 31, 2011, there were 1,006,952 shares of common stock remaining available for grants. The remaining vesting period for awards previously granted ranges from one to five years if the service and/or performance requirements are met. Nonvested shares do not receive dividend distributions. The long-term incentive plan provides for accelerated vesting in the event of a change in control.

We account for our share-based compensation arrangements by recognizing compensation costs for all share-based awards over the respective service period for employee services received in exchange for an award of equity or equity-based compensation. The compensation cost is based on the fair value of the grant on the date it was awarded.

Restricted Stock and Performance Share Awards

Performance share awards were granted under the 2005 LTIP during 2011 and 2010. With these awards, shares will vest if, at the end of the three-year performance period, we have achieved certain performance goals and the individual remains employed by us. The exact number of shares issued will vary from 0% to 200% of the target award, depending on actual company performance relative to the performance goals. These awards contain both a market and performance based component. The performance goals for these awards are independent of each other and equally weighted, and are based on two metrics: (i) cumulative net income and return on equity growth; and (ii) total shareholder return (TSR) relative to a peer group.

Fair value is determined for each component of the performance share awards. The fair value of the net income component is estimated based upon the closing market price of our common stock as of the date of grant less the present value of expected dividends, multiplied by an estimated performance multiple determined on the basis of historical experience, which is subsequently trued up at vesting based on actual performance. The fair value of the TSR portion is estimated using a statistical model that incorporates the probability of meeting performance targets based on historical returns relative to the peer group. The fair value of restricted stock is measured based upon the closing market price of our common stock as of the date of grant less the present value of expected dividends. The following summarizes the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of performance shares and related compensation expense as well as the resulting estimated fair value of performance shares granted:

	2011	2010
Risk-free interest rate	1.40%	1.38%
Expected life, in years	3	3
Expected volatility	25:6% to 47:0%	
Dividend yield	4.9%	5.4%

The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield of a three-year bond at the time of grant. The expected term of the performance shares is three years based on the performance cycle. Expected volatility was based on the historical volatility for the peer group. Both performance goals are measured over the three-year vesting period and are charged to compensation expense over the vesting period based on the number of shares expected to vest.

A summary of nonvested shares as of December 31, 2011, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	Performance Share Awards		Restricted S	Stock Awards
		Weighted-Average		Weighted-Average
	· .	Grant-Date		Grant-Date
	Shares	Fair Value	Shares	Fair Value
Beginning nonvested grants	179,939	.\$	15,888	\$
Granted	108,679	20.48	2,000	29.34
Wested	(73,397)	21.48	(15,888))	30.32
Forfeited	(10,508)	20.30		_
Remaining nonvested grants	204,713	\$	2,000	\$ 25.44

We recognized compensation expense of \$2.1 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and a related income tax benefit of \$1.6 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$2.0 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested portion of outstanding awards, which is reflected as other paid-in capital in our Balance Sheets. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years. The total fair value of shares vested was \$2.9 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Retirement/Retention Restricted Share Awards

In December 2011, an executive retirement / retention program was established that provides for the annual grant of restricted share units. These awards are subject to a five-year performance and vesting period. The performance measure for these awards requires net income for the calendar year of at least three of the five full calendar years during the performance period to exceed net income for the calendar year the awards are granted. Once vested, the awards will be paid out in shares of common stock in five equal annual installments after a recipient has separated from service. The fair value of these awards is measured based upon the closing market price of our common stock as of the date of grant less the present value of expected dividends. There were 8,596 restricted share awards granted during 2011, with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$28.00.

Director's Deferred Compensation

Nonemployee directors may elect to defer up to 100% of any qualified compensation that would be otherwise payable to him or her, subject to compliance with our 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors and Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The deferred compensation may be invested in NorthWestern stock or in designated investment funds. Compensation deferred in a particular month is recorded as a deferred stock unit (DSU) on the first of the following month based on the closing price of NorthWestern stock or the designated investment fund. The DSUs are marked-to-market on a quarterly basis with an adjustment to director's compensation expense. Based on the election of the nonemployee director, following separation from service on the Board, other than on account of death, he or she shall be paid a distribution either in a lump sum or in approximately equal installments over a designated number of years (not to exceed 10 years). During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, DSUs issued to members of our Board totaled 31,032 and 36,831, respectively. Total compensation expense attributable to the DSUs during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

(17) Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with the provisions of ASC 980, as discussed in Note 2 - Significant Accounting Policies. Pursuant to this guidance, certain expenses and credits, normally reflected in income as incurred, are deferred and recognized when included in rates and recovered from or refunded to the customers. Regulatory assets and liabilities are recorded based on management's assessment that it is probable that a cost will be recovered or that an obligation has been incurred. Accordingly, we have recorded the following major classifications of regulatory assets and liabilities that will be recognized in expenses and revenues in future periods when the matching revenues are collected or refunded. These regulatory items have corresponding assets and liabilities that will be paid for or refunded in future periods. Because these costs are recovered as paid, they do not earn a return. We have specific orders to cover approximately 98% of our regulatory assets and 100% of our regulatory liabilities.

			December 31,	
	Note	Remaining	2011	2010
NAVE WARKNEY VIDER DER VOR VOR UM HUN KINKE MUNICIPALITE DER VORDER VORDER VORDER VORDER VORDER VORDER VORDER V	Reference	Amortization Period	(in tho	usands)
Rension	13	Undetermined	\$128,844	\$\$\$\$\$94,500
Postretirement benefits	13	Undetermined	6,434	9,104
Distribution infrastructure projects	16	6 Years	4,883	
Environmental clean-up	18	Various	16,998	15,438
Energy supply derivatives	6	1 Year		
Income taxes	10	Plant Lives	124,967	71,374
Other		Various	27,437	29,460
Total regulatory assets			\$ 329,875	\$ 249,597
Gasstoragesales		.28°Years	11,672	12,092
Unbilled revenue		1 Year	10,597	8,203
Environmental clean-up		1 Year	1,733	467
State & local taxes & fees		1 Year	2,578	805
Other		Warious	1,772	1,198
Total regulatory liabilities		<u>-</u>	§ 28,352	\$ 22,765

Pension and Postretirement Benefits

We recognize the unfunded portion of plan benefit obligations in the Balance Sheets, which is remeasured at each year end, with a corresponding adjustment to regulatory assets/liabilities as the costs associated with these plans are recovered in rates. The portion of the regulatory asset related to our Montana pension plan will amortize as cash funding amounts exceed accrual expense under GAAP. The South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (SDPUC) allows recovery of pension costs on an accrual basis. The Montana Public Service Commission (MPSC) allows recovery of postretirement benefit costs on an accrual basis.

Montana Distribution System Infrastructure Project (DSIP)

In March 2011, we requested and received MPSC approval of an accounting order to defer certain incremental operating and maintenance expenses. The accounting order allows us to defer up to \$16.9 million of expenses incurred during 2011 and 2012 as a regulatory asset and amortize these expenses associated with the phase-in portion of the DSIP over five years beginning in 2013. See Note 18 - Regulatory Matters, for further information regarding this item.

Environmental clean-up

Environmental clean-up costs are the estimated costs of investigating and cleaning up contaminated sites we own. We discuss the specific sites and clean-up requirements further in Note 19 - Commitments and Contingencies. Environmental clean-up costs are typically recoverable in customer rates when they are actually incurred. We record changes in the regulatory asset consistent with changes in our environmental liabilities. When cost projections become known and measurable we coordinate with the appropriate regulatory authority to determine a recovery period.

Income Taxes

Tax assets primarily reflect the effects of plant related temporary differences such as flow-through of depreciation, repairs related deductions, removal costs, capitalized interest and contributions in aid of construction that we will recover or refund in future rates. We amortize these amounts as temporary differences reverse.

Unbilled Revenue

In accordance with regulatory guidance in South Dakota, we recognize revenue when it is billed. Accordingly, we record a regulatory liability to offset unbilled revenue.

State & Local Taxes & Fees (Montana Property Tax Tracker)

Under Montana law, we are allowed to track the increases in the actual level of state and local taxes and fees and recover these amounts. The MPSC has authorized recovery of approximately 60% of the estimated increase in our local taxes and fees (primarily property taxes) as compared to the related amount included in rates during our last general rate case.

Gas Storage Sales

A regulatory liability was established in 2000 and 2001 based on gains on cushion gas sales in Montana. This gain is being flowed to customers over a period that matches the depreciable life of surface facilities that were added to maintain deliverability from the field after the withdrawal of the gas. This regulatory liability is a reduction of rate base.

(18) Regulatory Matters

Dave Gates Generating Station at Mill Creek (DGGS)

Our regulatory filings seeking approval of rates related to DGGS are based on approximately 80% of our revenues related to the facility being subject to the jurisdiction of the Montana Public Service Commission (MPSC) and approximately 20% being subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Intervenors in both jurisdictions have been challenging our proposed allocation methodology. In March 2012, the MPSC issued a final order in review of our previously submitted required compliance filing. The MPSC found that the total project costs incurred were prudent and established final rates. As a result of the lower than estimated construction costs and impact of the flow-through of accelerated state tax depreciation, the final rates are lower than our 2011 interim rates. The amount we over collected of approximately \$6.2 million will be refunded to customers over a one-year period beginning in May 2012. The MPSC's final order approves using our proposed cost allocation methodology on a temporary basis, and requires us to complete a study of the relative contribution of retail and wholesale customers to regulation capacity needs. The results of this study may be used in determining future cost allocations between retail and wholesale customers.

Based on the MPSC's final order we recognized revenue of approximately \$2.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 that we had previously deferred pending outcome of the allocation uncertainty.

A FERC hearing regarding DGGS rates is scheduled for June 11, 2012 and an initial decision is scheduled to be issued on September 24, 2012. We continue to bill customers interim rates which have been effective since January 1, 2011. These interim rates are subject to refund plus interest pending final resolution at FERC.

Through March 31, 2012, we have deferred revenue of approximately \$1.9 million associated with DGGS due to lower than estimated construction costs, our current estimate of operating expenses as compared to amounts included in our interim rate requests, and uncertainty related to the FERC's ultimate treatment of our cost allocation methodology. This uncertainty could result in an inability to fully recover our costs, as well as requiring us to refund more interim revenues than our current estimate.

Wind Generation

In February 2012, the MPSC approved our application for pre-approval to purchase a wind project in Judith Basin County in Montana to be developed and constructed by Spion Kop Wind, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Compass Wind, LLC (Compass) that would provide approximately 40 MW of capacity, with an estimated cost for the total project of approximately \$86 million. The approval includes an authorized rate of return of 7.4%, which was computed using a 10% return on equity, a 5% estimated cost of debt and a capital structure consisting of 52% debt and 48% equity. The approval also includes a performance condition that would reduce our revenue requirement if the average production failed to meet a minimum threshold for the first three years. We do not believe this performance condition will have a significant impact. Construction has commenced and commercial operation is projected to begin by December 31, 2012. Both the energy and associated renewable energy credits would be placed into our electric supply portfolio to meet future customer loads and renewable portfolio standards obligations.

Battle Creek Filing

In March 2012, we submitted an application with the MPSC to place our majority interest in the Battle Creek Field natural gas production fields and gathering system acquired in 2010 in regulated natural gas rate base. The application reflects a joint stipulation between us and the Montana Consumer Counsel (MCC) of a 10% return on equity and a capital structure consisting of 52% debt and 48% equity. Since November 2010, the cost of service for the natural gas produced, including a return on our investment has been included in our natural gas supply tracker on an interim basis. Pending MPSC approval, the corresponding amounts included in the natural gas supply tracker are subject to refund and through March 31, 2012, we have deferred revenue of approximately \$1.8 million based on the difference between our cost of service and current natural gas market prices.

Montana Electric and Natural Gas Tracker Filings

Each year we submit electric and natural gas tracker filings for recovery of supply costs for the 12-month period ended June 30 and for the projected supply costs for the next 12-month period. The MPSC reviews such filings and makes its cost recovery determination based on whether or not our electric and natural gas supply procurement activities were prudent. During March 2012, the MPSC found that our natural gas supply costs through the period ended June 30, 2011 were prudently incurred. During April 2012, the MPSC found that our electric supply costs through the period ended June 30, 2011 were prudently incurred.

(19) Commitments and Contingencies

Qualifying Facilities Liability

Our QF liability primarily consists of unrecoverable costs associated with three contracts covered under the PURPA. The QFs require us to purchase minimum amounts of energy at prices ranging from \$78 to \$136 per MWH through 2029. Our estimated gross contractual obligation related to the QFs is approximately \$1.3 billion through 2029. A portion of the costs incurred to purchase this energy is recoverable through rates, totaling approximately \$1.0 billion through 2029. The present value of the remaining QF liability is recorded in our Balance Sheets. The following summarizes the change in the QF liability (in thousands):

		December 31,		
		2011		2010
Beginning QF liability	`\$	177,322	\$	165,839
Unrecovered amount		(6,043)		(1,198)
/Interest/expense		12,908		12,681
Ending QF liability	\$	184,187	\$	177,322

The following summarizes the estimated gross contractual obligation less amounts recoverable through rates (in thousands):

	Gross	Recoverable	
	Obligation	Amounts	Net
2012	67,1111	\$ 54,904 \$	12,207
2013	69,816	55,462	14,354
2014	72,354	56,025	116,329
2015	74,135	56,598	17,537
2016	75;945	57,188	118,757
Thereafter	909,322	683,404	225,918
[Fotal]	1,268,683	\$ 963,581 \$	305,102

Long Term Supply and Capacity Purchase Obligations

We have entered into various commitments, largely purchased power, coal and natural gas supply and natural gas transportation contracts. These commitments range from 20 to 25 years. Costs incurred under these contracts were approximately \$390.3 million and \$417.28 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, our commitments under these contracts are \$298.9 million in 2012, \$262.9 million in 2013, \$191.3 million in 2014, \$116.9 million in 2015, \$117.6 million in 2016, and \$819.1 million thereafter. These commitments are not reflected in our Financial Statements.

Environmental Liabilities

The operation of electric generating, transmission and distribution facilities, and gas gathering, transportation and distribution facilities, along with the development (involving site selection, environmental assessments, and permitting) and construction of these assets, are subject to extensive federal, state, and local environmental and land use laws and regulations. Our activities involve compliance with diverse laws and regulations that address emissions and impacts to the environment, including air and water, protection of natural resources and wildlife. We monitor federal, state, and local environmental initiatives to determine potential impacts on our financial results. As new laws or regulations are promulgated, our policy is to assess their applicability and implement the necessary modifications to our facilities or their operation to maintain ongoing compliance.

Our environmental exposure includes a number of components, including remediation expenses related to the cleanup of current or former properties, and costs to comply with changing environmental regulations related to our operations. At present, the majority of our environmental reserve relates to the remediation of former manufactured gas plant sites owned by us. We use a combination of site investigations and monitoring to formulate an estimate of environmental remediation costs for specific sites. Our monitoring procedures and development of actual remediation plans depend not only on site specific information but also on coordination with the different environmental regulatory agencies in our respective jurisdictions; therefore, while remediation exposure exists, it may be many years before costs become fixed and reliably determinable.

Our liability for environmental remediation obligations is estimated to range between \$28.3 to \$37.5 million, primarily for manufactured gas plants discussed below. As of December 31, 2011, we have a reserve of approximately \$31.4 million, which has not been discounted. Environmental costs are recorded when it is probable we are liable for the remediation and we can reasonably estimate the liability. Over time, as specific laws are implemented and we gain experience in operating under them, a portion of the costs related to such laws will become determinable, and we may seek authorization to recover such costs in rates or seek insurance reimbursement as applicable; therefore, although we cannot guarantee regulatory recovery, we do not expect these costs to have a material effect on our financial position or ongoing operations.

Manufactured Gas Plants - Approximately \$26.0 million of our environmental reserve accrual is related to manufactured gas plants. A formerly operated manufactured gas plant located in Aberdeen, South Dakota, has been identified on the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System list as contaminated with coal tar residue. We are currently investigating, characterizing, and initiating remedial actions at the Aberdeen site pursuant to work plans approved by

the South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Our current reserve for remediation costs at this site is approximately \$12.0 million, and we estimate that approximately \$9.2 million of this amount will be incurred during the next five years.

We also own sites in North Platte, Kearney and Grand Island, Nebraska on which former manufactured gas facilities were located. During 2005, the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ) conducted Phase II investigations of soil and groundwater at our Kearney and Grand Island sites. During 2006, the NDEQ released to us the Phase II Limited Subsurface Assessments performed by the NDEQ's environmental consulting firm for Kearney and Grand Island. In February 2011, NDEQ completed an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment and Site Investigation Report for Grand Island, which recommended additional ground water testing. Our reserve estimate includes assumptions for additional ground water testing. At present, we cannot determine with a reasonable degree of certainty the nature and timing of any risk-based remedial action at our Nebraska locations.

In addition, we own or have responsibility for sites in Butte, Missoula and Helena, Montana on which former manufactured gas plants were located. An investigation conducted at the Missoula site did not require remediation activities, but required preparation of a groundwater monitoring plan. The Butte and Helena sites were placed into the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) voluntary remediation program for cleanup due to excess regulated pollutants in the groundwater. Voluntary soil and coal tar removals were conducted in the past at the Butte and Helena locations in accordance with MDEQ requirements. We have conducted additional groundwater monitoring at the Butte and Missoula sites and, at this time, we believe natural attenuation should address the conditions at these sites; however, additional groundwater monitoring will be necessary. Monitoring of groundwater at the Helena site is ongoing and will be necessary for an extended time. At this time, we cannot estimate with a reasonable degree of certainty the nature and timing of risk-based remedial action at the Helena site or if any additional actions beyond monitored natural attenuation will be required.

Global Climate Change - There are national and international efforts to adopt measures related to global climate change and the contribution of emissions of GHG including, most significantly, carbon dioxide. These efforts include legislative proposals and EPA regulations at the federal level, actions at the state level, and private party litigation relating to GHG emissions. Coal-fired plants have come under particular scrutiny due to their level of GHG emissions. We have joint ownership interests in four electric generating plants, all of which are coal fired and operated by other companies. We have undivided interests in these facilities and are responsible for our proportionate share of the capital and operating costs while being entitled to our proportionate share of the power generated.

While numerous bills have been introduced that address climate change from different perspectives, including through direct regulation of GHG emissions, the establishment of cap and trade programs and the establishment of Federal renewable portfolio standards, Congress has not passed any federal climate change legislation and we cannot predict when or if Congress will pass such legislation and in what form. In the absence of such legislation, the EPA is regulating GHG emissions under its existing authority pursuant to the Clean Air Act. For example, the EPA promulgated regulations requiring major sources in the United States to begin collecting and reporting information regarding their GHG emissions. Certain of our facilities began collecting such data on January 1, 2010 and submitted their first annual reports to the EPA in September 2011. For petroleum and natural gas facilities, data collection began on January 1, 2011, with the first annual report due on March 31, 2012.

In June 2010, the EPA also adopted rules that make certain "stationary sources," such as power plants, subject to permitting requirements for their GHG emissions. Sources that emit more than 100,000 tons of greenhouse gases per year are now required to obtain permits for those emissions even if they are not otherwise required to obtain a new or modified permit. Such permits may require the installation and operation of "best available control technology" to control GHG emissions.

Also, in December 2010, the EPA entered into an agreement to settle litigation brought by states and environmental groups whereby the EPA agreed to issue New Source Performance Standards for GHG emissions from certain new and modified electric generating units and "emissions guidelines" for existing units over the next two years. Pursuant to this settlement agreement, the EPA agreed to issue proposed rules in 2011. The EPA, however, did not meet this deadline for issuing the proposed rules.

On June 20, 2011, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a decision that bars state and private parties from bringing federal common law nuisance actions against electrical utility companies based on their alleged contribution to climate change. The Supreme Court's decision did not, however, address state law claims. This decision is expected to affect other pending federal climate change litigation. Although we are not a defendant in any of these proceedings, additional litigation in federal and state courts over these issues is continuing.

Physical impacts of climate change may present potential risks for severe weather, such as floods and tornadoes, in the locations where we operate or have interests. Furthermore, requirements to reduce GHG emissions from stationary sources could cause us to incur material costs of compliance, increase our costs of procuring electricity in the marketplace or curtail the demand for fossil fuels such as oil and gas. In addition, we believe future legislation and regulations that affect GHG emissions from power plants are likely, although technology to efficiently capture, remove and/or sequester such emissions may not be available within a timeframe consistent with the implementation of such requirements. We cannot predict with any certainty whether these risks will have a material impact on our operations.

Coal Combustion Residuals (CCRs) - In June 2010, the EPA proposed two approaches to regulating the disposal and management of CCRs under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). CCRs include fly ash, bottom ash and scrubber wastes. Under one approach, the EPA would regulate CCRs as a hazardous waste under Subtitle C of RCRA. This approach would have significant impacts on coal-fired plants, and would require plants to retrofit their operations to comply with hazardous waste requirements from the generation of CCRs and associated waste waters through transportation and disposal. This could also have a negative impact on the beneficial use of CCRs and the current markets associated with such use. The second approach would regulate CCRs as a solid waste under Subtitle D of RCRA. This approach would only affect disposal, most significantly any wet disposal, of CCRs. EPA has not yet issued a final CCR rule. We cannot predict at this time the final requirements of any CCR regulations and what impact, if any, they would have on us, but the costs of complying with any such requirements could be significant.

Water Intakes - Section 316(b) of the Federal Clean Water Act requires that the location, design, construction and capacity of any cooling water intake structure reflect the "best available technology" for minimizing environmental impacts. Permits required for existing facilities are to be developed by the individual states using their best professional judgment until the EPA takes action to address several court decisions that rejected portions of previous rules and confirmed that EPA has discretion to consider costs relative to benefits in developing cooling water intake structure regulations. In March 2011, EPA proposed a rule to address impingement and entrainment of aquatic organisms at existing cooling water intake structures. EPA has not yet issued a final rule; however, it is under a consent decree to do so by July 2012. When a final rule is issued and implemented, additional capital and/or increased operating costs may be incurred. The costs of complying with any such final water intake standards are not currently determinable, but could be significant.

Clean Air Act Rules and Associated Emission Control Equipment Expenditures

EPA has proposed or issued a number of rules under different provisions of the Clean Air Act that could require the installation of emission control equipment at the generation plants where we have joint ownership.

The Clean Air Visibility Rule was issued by the EPA in June 2005, to address regional haze in national parks and wilderness areas across the United States. The Clean Air Visibility Rule requires the installation and operation of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to achieve emissions reductions from designated sources (including certain electric generating units) that are deemed to cause or contribute to visibility impairment in such 'Class I' areas.

In December 2011, the EPA issued a final rule relating to Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS), which was formerly the proposed Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards for hazardous air pollutant emissions from new and existing electric generating units. Among other things, these MATS standards set stringent emission limits for acid gases, mercury, and other hazardous air pollutants. Facilities that are subject to the MATS must come into compliance within three years after the effective date of the rule (or by 2015) unless a one year extension is granted on a case-by-case basis. Numerous challenges to the MATS standards have been filed with the EPA and in Federal court and we cannot predict the outcome of such challenges. In the meantime, we are

assessing the impact of the new MATS standards on our facilities, including the costs of compliance. As discussed below, we expect that these costs could be significant.

On July 7, 2011, the EPA finalized the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) to reduce emissions from electric generating units that interfere with the ability of downwind states to achieve ambient air quality standards. Under the CSAPR, significant reductions in emissions of nitrogen oxide (NOx) and SO2 emissions reductions would be required beginning in 2012. The CSAPR was to become effective on January 1, 2012; however, on December 30, 2011, a Federal court ordered that CSAPR be stayed until a hearing could be held on the numerous legal challenges brought against EPA regarding the rule. It is currently expected that a hearing will be held in April 2012 and a decision on CSAPR will be issued sometime thereafter. The Federal court that stayed the CSAPR ordered that the Clean Air Interstate Rule remain in effect while the CSAPR is stayed. Regardless of the outcome of the stay hearing, CSAPR only applies to power plants within the eastern half of the United States, and, thus is only applicable to one plant in which we have an ownership interest, the Neal 4 plant located in Iowa. We do not expect CSAPR to affect any of the other plants in which we have an ownership interest.

We have joint ownership in generation plants located in South Dakota, North Dakota, Iowa and Montana that are or may become subject to various regulations that have been issued or proposed under the Clean Air Act, as discussed below.

South Dakota. The South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) determined that the Big Stone Plant, of which we have a 23.4% ownership, is subject to the Regional Haze Rule. South Dakota DENR submitted its revised State Implementation Plan (SIP) and associated implementation rules to the EPA on September 19, 2011. Under the SIP, the Big Stone plant must install and operate a new BART compliant air quality control system (AQCS) to reduce sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate emissions as expeditiously as practicable, but no later than five years after the EPA's approval of South Dakota's SIP. We expect EPA approval of the SIP in the first half of 2012, however such approval cannot be guaranteed and we cannot predict the timing of any such approval with certainty. We will not incur any significant costs until the EPA approves the SIP or issues a federal implementation plan in its place. Although studies and evaluations are continuing, the current project cost for the AQCS is estimated to be approximately \$490 million (our share is 23.4%).

Our incremental capital expenditure projections include amounts related to our share of the BART technologies at Big Stone based on current estimates. We could, however, face additional capital or financing costs. We will seek to recover any such costs through the regulatory process. The SDPUC has historically allowed timely recovery of the costs of environmental improvements; however, there is no precedent on a project of this size.

Based on the finalized MATS standards, it appears that Big Stone would meet the requirements by installing the AQCS system and using mercury control technology such as activated carbon injection. Mercury emissions monitoring equipment is already installed at Big Stone, but its operation has been put on hold pending additional regulatory direction.

North Dakota. The North Dakota Regional Haze SIP requires the Coyote generating facility, of which we have 10.0% ownership, to reduce its NOx emissions. On February 23, 2010, the North Dakota Department of Health (NDDOH) issued a construction permit to Coyote Station requiring installation of control equipment to limit its NOx emissions to 0.5 pounds per million Btu as calculated on a 12-month rolling average basis. The control equipment must be installed by July 1, 2018 and compliance with the limit must begin on July 1, 2019. Subsequent to issuance of the construction permit, the NDDOH entered into further negotiations with the EPA on regional haze plan implementation. As part of those negotiations, Coyote agreed to accept a NOx emission limit of 0.5 pounds per million Btu as calculated on a 30-day rolling average basis, including periods of start-up and shutdown, beginning on July 1, 2018. The current estimate of the total cost of the project is approximately \$6 million (our share is 10.0%). The EPA is under a consent decree to take final action on North Dakota's revised regional haze implementation plan in the first half of 2012.

Iowa. The Neal 4 generating facility, of which we have an 8.7% ownership, is installing a scrubber, a baghouse and a selective non-catalytic reduction system to comply with national ambient air quality standards, the proposed CSAPR and MATS standards. These improvements are also expected to result in compliance with the regional haze provisions of the Clean Air Act. Capital expenditures for such equipment are currently estimated to be approximately \$270 million (our share is 8.7%). The plant began

incurring such costs in 2011 and the costs will be spread over the next three years. Our incremental capital expenditure projections include amounts related to our share of the emission control equipment at Neal 4 based on current estimates. We could, however, face additional capital or financing costs. We will seek to recover any such costs through the regulatory process.

Montana. Colstrip Unit 4, a coal fired generating facility in which we have a 30% interest, is currently controlling emissions of mercury under regulations issued by the State of Montana, which is more strict than the Federal standard, and has been since January 2010. The owners do not believe additional equipment will be necessary to meet the MATS standards for mercury. Additionally, the Colstrip facility anticipates meeting the expected MATS for acid gases without additional costs. However, Colstrip may have to install additional controls to further reduce particulate matter to meet MATS using particulate matter as a surrogate for non-mercury metals. The Colstrip owners are continuing to determine what may be required and while it is not possible to predict costs at this time, the costs of additional controls could be significant. In November 2010, Colstrip Unit 4 received a request from the EPA to provide further analysis regarding why Colstrip Unit 4 is not a BART eligible unit under the regional haze rule. The plant operator completed a high level analysis of various control options to reduce emissions of SO2 and particulate matter and submitted that analysis to EPA in January 2011. The analysis shows that these units are well controlled, any incremental reductions would not be cost effective and further analysis is not warranted. The plant operator also concluded that further analysis for NOx was not justified as controls at Colstrip Unit 4 were installed and the EPA previously agreed that such controls would satisfy BART for NOx control. The plant operator informed us that the EPA verbally indicated that it does not agree with all of the plant operator's conclusions and will be requesting additional information. The EPA is under a consent decree to take final action on Montana's regional haze implementation plan no later than June 29, 2012. The costs of complying with any final regional haze standards in Montana are not currently determinable, but could be significant.

Other - We continue to manage equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) oil in accordance with the EPA's Toxic Substance Control Act regulations. We will continue to use certain PCB-contaminated equipment for its remaining useful life and will, thereafter, dispose of the equipment according to pertinent regulations that govern the use and disposal of such equipment.

We routinely engage the services of a third-party environmental consulting firm to assist in performing a comprehensive evaluation of our environmental reserve. Based upon information available at this time, we believe that the current environmental reserve properly reflects our remediation exposure for the sites currently and previously owned by us. The portion of our environmental reserve applicable to site remediation may be subject to change as a result of the following uncertainties:

- We may not know all sites for which we are alleged or will be found to be responsible for remediation; and
- Absent performance of certain testing at sites where we have been identified as responsible for remediation, we cannot estimate with a reasonable degree of certainty the total costs of remediation.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Colstrip Energy Limited Partnership

In December 2006 and June 2007, the MPSC issued orders relating to certain QF long-term rates for the period July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2006. Colstrip Energy Limited Partnership (CELP) is a QF with which we have a power purchase agreement through June 2024. Under the terms of the power purchase agreement with CELP, energy and capacity rates were fixed through June 30, 2004 (with a small portion to be set by the MPSC's determination of rates in the annual avoided cost filing), and beginning July 1, 2004 through the end of the contract, energy and capacity rates are to be determined each year pursuant to a formula, with the rates to be used in that formula derived from the annual MPSC QF rate review.

CELP initially appealed the MPSC's orders and then, in July 2007, filed a complaint against NorthWestern and the MPSC in Montana district court, which contested the MPSC's orders. CELP disputed inputs into the underlying rates used in the formula, which initially are calculated by us and reviewed by the MPSC on an annual basis, to calculate energy and capacity payments for the contract years 2004-2005 and 2005-2006. CELP claimed that NorthWestern breached the power purchase agreement causing damages, which CELP asserted to be approximately \$23 million for contract years 2004-2005 and 2005-2006. The parties stipulated that NorthWestern would not implement the final derived rates resulting from the MPSC orders, pending an ultimate decision on CELP's complaint.

On June 30, 2008, the Montana district court granted both a motion by the MPSC to bifurcate, having the effect of separating the issues between contract/tort claims against us and the administrative appeal of the MPSC's orders and a motion by us to refer the claims against us to arbitration. The order also stayed the appellate decision pending a decision in the arbitration proceedings. Arbitration was held in June 2009 and the arbitration panel entered its interim award in August 2009, holding that although NorthWestern failed to use certain data inputs required by the power purchase agreement, CELP was entitled to neither damages for contract years 2004-2005 or 2005-2006, nor to recalculation of the underlying MPSC filings for those years, effectively finalizing CELP's contract rates for those years. We requested clarification from the arbitration panel as to its intent regarding the applicable rates.

On November 2, 2009, we received the final award from the arbitration panel which confirmed that the filed rates for 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 are not required to be recalculated. In affirming its interim award, the arbitration panel also denied CELP's request for attorney fees, holding that each party would be responsible for its own fees.

On June 15, 2010, the Montana district court confirmed the final arbitration panel award and denied CELP's motion to vacate, modify or correct the award. CELP appealed the decision to the Montana Supreme Court (MSC). In May 2011, the MSC affirmed the Montana district court's order and the arbitration award.

Meanwhile, on October 31, 2010, NorthWestern filed with the MPSC, consistent with the direction of the arbitration panel, for a determination of the inputs that will be used to calculate contract rates for periods subsequent to June 30, 2006. The MPSC has not yet ruled on our filing. On June 30, 2011, CELP submitted another demand for arbitration, seeking clarification from the same panel regarding the panel's intent as to the implementation of its award in Contract Years 17 (July 2005 - June 2006) and 18 (July 2006 - June 2007). The parties initially agreed to submit the matter without witnesses but following simultaneous submission of briefs in February 2012 and a hearing on March 1, 2012, the arbitration panel has requested further proceedings, including witness testimony at a hearing scheduled for July 30 through August 1, 2012. Based on our current assumptions (including current discount rates), if CELP prevailed entirely, we could be required to increase our QF liability by approximately \$20 million. If we prevailed entirely, we could reduce our QF liability by up to \$42 million. Due to the uncertainty around resolution of this matter, we currently are unable to predict its outcome. In addition, settlement discussions concerning these claims are ongoing.

Other Legal Proceedings

We are also subject to various other legal proceedings, governmental audits and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these other actions will not materially affect our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

(20) Common Stock

We have 250,000,000 shares authorized consisting of 200,000,000 shares of common stock with a \$0.01 par value and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a \$0.01 par value. Of these shares, 2,265,957 shares of common stock are reserved for the incentive plan awards. For further detail of grants under this plan see Note 16 - Stock-Based Compensation.

Repurchase of Common Stock

Shares tendered by employees to us to satisfy the employees' tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards totaled 2,750 and 14,453 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and are reflected in treasury stock. These shares were credited to treasury stock based on their fair market value on the vesting date.

Sch. 19	MONTANA PLANT IN SER			/P)
		This Year	Last Year	
	Account Number & Title	Montana	Montana	% Change
	Intangible Plant	€40.07)	0.00%
		\$12,87		
		114,169		
5	9	1,871,100		
6		1,998,148	1,990,000	-0.0.1%
7	1			
8		9,489,539	9,616,934	100.00%
g		1,092,770		100.00%
10		1,094,453		100.00%
11		54,640		100.00%
12		437,100		100.00%
13		77,640		100.00%
14	Total Production Plant	12,246,142		100.00%
15				
16	Underground Storage Plant			
17		4,786,297		0.46%
18	2351 Structures and Improvements	3,030,416		0.00%
19	2352 Wells	7,863,030	7,863,030	0.00%
20	2353 Lines	12,545,864	12,441,388	0.84%
21	2354 Compressor Station Equipment	7,311,476		0.48%
22	2355 Measuring & Regulating Equip.	2,993,930		0.43%
23	2356 Purification Equipment	397,931	397,931	0.00%
24	2357 Other Equipment	873,927	867,069	0.79%
	Total Underground Storage Plant	39,802,871	39,621,939	0.46%
26				
27	Transmission Plant	7 770 000	7 507 040	0.5404
28	2365 Rights of Way	7,778,230	7,587,918	2.51%
29	2366 Structures and Improvements	12,017,948	11,799,624	1.85%
30	2367 Mains	188,967,308	184,041,159	2.68%
31 32	2368 Compressor Station Equipment 2369 Meas. & Reg. Station Equipment	21,847,403 15,884,879	20,987,227 15,346,784	4.10% 3.51%
33	2370 Communication Equipment	10,004,079	15,340,764	3.51%
33	2371 Other Equipment	165,972	75,019	121.24%
	Total Transmission Plant	246,661,740	239,837,731	2.85%
35		240,001,740	200,007,701	2.0070
36	Distribution Plant			
37	2374 Land and Land Rights	904,311	904,311	0.00%
38	2375 Structures and Improvements	90,524	90,524	0.00%
39	2376 Mains	116,982,007	109,277,598	7.05%
40	2377 Compressor Station Equipment	-	-	-
41	2378 M&R Station EquipGeneral	2,775,069	2,695,844	2.94%
42	2379 M&R Station EquipCity Gate	-	-	· •
43	2380 Services	61,307,681	59,709,623	2.68%
44	2381 Customers Meters and Regulators	58,479,173	56,045,838	4.34%
45	2382 Meter Installations	-	-	-
46	2383 House Regulators	-	-	-
47	2384 House Regulator Installations	-	-	-
48	2385 M&R Station EquipIndustrial	110,489	56,334	96.13%
49	2386 Other Prop. on Customers' Premises		-	-
50	2387 Other Equipment	26,216	26,216	0.00%
51 7	otal Distribution Plant	240,675,470	228,806,288	5.19%

Sch. 1	19 cont. MONTANA PLANT IN SERVICE - NATURAL GAS (INCLUDES CMP)				
			This Year	Last Year	
	8	Account Number & Title	Montana	Montana	% Change
1	1	······································			
	2	General Plant			
	3 2389	Land and Land Rights	101,675		0.00%
4	4 2390	Structures and Improvements	851,009		0.00%
	5 2391	Office Furniture and Equipment	207,996	213,628	-2.64%
6	3 2392	Transportation Equipment	8,206,397	7,421,800	10.57%
		Stores Equipment	28,927	29,833	-3.04%
8		Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	4,643,682	4,451,600	4.31%
9	2395	Laboratory Equipment	860,606	828,476	3.88%
10	2396	Power Operated Equipment	2,549,307	2,250,713	13.27%
11	2397	Communication Equipment	3,985,396	3,978,126	0.18%
12	2398	Miscellaneous Equipment	70,165	73,509	-4.55%
13	2399	Other Tangible Property		-	-
14		eneral Plant	21,505,160	20,200,369	6.46%
15	Total G	as Plant in Service	562,889,531	542,836,569	3.69%
16	;				
17	4101	Gas Plant Allocated from Common	27,357,225	25,093,253	9.02%
18	2105	Gas Plant Held for Future Use	4,900	4,900	0.00%
19	2107	Gas Construction Work in Progress	6,698,193	4,663,953	43.62%
20	2117	Gas in Underground Storage	58,833,414	54,125,119	8.70%
21					
22		· · ·			
23	TOTAL	GAS PLANT	\$655,783,263	\$626,723,794	4.64%
24					
25					
26		CONSOLIDATED	Decerr	ıber 31,	
27		PLANT IN SERVICE	2011	2010	
28					
29	Montan	a Electric	\$ 2,167,521,871	\$ 2,101,023,875	
30	Yellows	tone National Park	13,176,795	12,583,248	
31		a Natural Gas (Includes CMP)	562,889,531	542,836,569	
32	Commo		79,977,860	73,833,445	
33		nd Propane	1,516,050	1,513,553	
34		akota Electric	460,538,538	439,875,046	
35		akota Natural Gas	150,503,744	143,991,901	
36		akota Common	39,317,330	36,351,969	
37		etirement Obligation	3,910,360	5,292,535	
	TOTAL		\$ 3,479,352,079	\$ 3,357,302,141	

Schedule 19A

Sch. 20	MONTANA DEPREC	IATION SUMMA			
		Montana	This Year	Last Year	Current
	Functional Plant Class	Plant Cost	Montana	Montana	Avg. Rate
1	Accumulated Depreciation	· ·			
3	B Production and Gathering	\$ 12,369,718	\$ 994,606	\$ -	8.04%
	4				
5		39,610,688	21,013,783	20,346,310	1.69%
6					
7	U	-		-	-
8		220 067 460	00 672 020	96 105 955	1 750/
9 9 10		239,067,460	89,673,928	86,105,855	1.75%
11		228,646,828	105,207,418	100,741,730	2.64%
12		220,040,020	100,207,410	100,7 41,7 50	2.0476
13	1	21,892,827	11,468,063	10,297,886	7.46%
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21,002,027		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	111070
15	1	24,205,587	12,219,160	11,099,693	7.31%
16			, , ,	, ,	
17					
18	Total Accum Depreciation	\$565,793,108	\$240,576,958	\$228,591,474	2.50%
19					
20					
21					
22	Consolidated		Decem		
23	Accumulated Deprec	iation	2011	2010	
24			#000 450 0F7	6777 070 004	
	Montana Electric	1	\$838,458,857	\$777,672,624	
	Yellowstone National Park		8,644,902 228,357,798	8,375,865 217,491,781	
	Montana Natural Gas (Includes C Common		33,478,642	30,397,468	
	Townsend Propane		648,965	605,690	}
1	South Dakota Electric		249,041,748	236,785,039	
	South Dakota Natural Gas		64,714,374	60,954,155	
	South Dakota Common	• •	11,240,646	9,067,229	
	Acquisition Writedown		73,854,295	81,444,433	
(Basin Creek Capital Lease	ł	11,057,582	9,047,108	
	FIN 47		1,092,090	847,866	
	CWIP-Capital Retirement Clearing	g	-4,550,706	-1,011,776	
-	Total Consolidated Accum Dep	The second se	\$1,516,039,193	\$1,431,677,482	

Schedule 20

Sch. 21	1 MONTANA MATERIALS & SUPPLIES (ASSIGNED & ALLOCATED) - NATURAL GAS						
		This Year	Last Year	% Change			
	Account Number & Title	Montana	Montana				
1	:	· ·					
2	154 Plant Materials & Operating Supplies	· · ·	•				
3	Assigned and Allocated to:		4				
4	Operation & Maintenance	-	- ·	- · · ·			
5.	Construction	-	-				
6	Storage Plant	\$ 96,810	\$ 84,407	14.69%			
7	Transmission Plant	599,938	510,923	17.42%			
8	Distribution Plant	1,872,575	1,532,693	22.18%			
9							
10	Total MT Materials and Supplies	\$2,569,323	\$2,128,023	20.74%			
11		-					
12							
13	Consolidated	Decem	nber 31,				
14	Materials and Supplies	2011	2010				
15							
16	Montana Natural Gas	\$2,569,323	\$2,128,023				
17	Montana Electric	14,376,444	12,992,944				
18	South Dakota	5,462,021	5,482,868				
19							
20	Total Consolidated Materials and Supplies	\$22,407,788	\$20,603,835				

Sch. 22	2 MONTANA REGULATORY CAPITAL STRUCTURE & COSTS - NATURAL GAS				
			% Capital		Weighted
	Commission Accepted - Most Recent		Structure	% Cost Rate	Cost
1 2 3	Docket Number: 2009.9.129 Order Number : 7046h	· .	v .		
4 5 6 7	Common Equity Long Term Debt		48.00% 52.00%	10.25% 5.76%	4.92% 3.00%
	TOTAL	. <u></u>	100.00%		7.92%
9					
10 11 12 13	1/ Docket 2009.9.129, Order 7046h specifies the auregulated gas utility effective December 9, 201		capital structure ar	d associated costs	for the
14					
15					
16					
17 18					
19					
20					
21					
22					1
23					
24					
25 26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31	· · · ·				
32					
33 34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					

Schedule 22
. 23	STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS			,
	Description	This year	Last Year	% Change
1				
2	Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· · · ·
3	Net Income	\$ 92,555,872	\$ 77,376,457	19.62
4	Noncash Charges (Credits) to Income:			
5	Depreciation	102,754,939	92,961,250	10.54
6	Amortization, Net	(1,872,457) (1,235,471)	-51.56
7	Other Noncash Charges to Net Income, Net	8,895,186	7,893,929	12.68
8	Deferred Income Taxes, Net	59,551,081		27.39
9		(423,561	· · · ·	0.76
10		9,880,617		>300.00
11		(8,830,208		-159,32
12		(10,725,579		3.46
13		(1,876,583)		71.41
14	Change in Other Assets & Liabilities, Net	1,734,801	28,781,987	-93.97
15	Other Operating Activities:	1,101,001	20,101,001	00.0
16	Undistributed Earnings from Subsidiary Companies	(510,094)	(3,729,609)	86.32
17	Change in Regulatory Assets	(29,541,321)		>-300.00
18	Change in Regulatory Liabilities	5,587,054	(7,724,029)	172.33
19	Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	227,179,747	212,800,388	
	Cash Inflows/Outflows From Investment Activities:	227,179,747	212,000,300	6.76
1		(400 700 000)	(0.40 745 700)	od 04
21	Construction/Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment	(188,730,360)	(240,745,782)	21.61
22	(Net of AFUDC)	000.000		
23	Proceeds from Sale of Assets	209,396	68,883	203.99
24	Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(188,520,964)	(240,676,899)	21.67
	Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
26	Proceeds from Issuance of:			
27	Credit Facilities Borrowings	80,000,000	225,000,000	-64.44
28	Issuance of Short Term Borrowings, Net	166,933,493	695,000,000	-75.98
29	Payments for Retirement of:			0.00
30	Credit Facilities Repayments	(233,000,000)	(608,000,000)	61.68
31	Long-Term Debt	-	(225,000,000)	100.00
32	Capital Lease Obligations, Net	(11,079)	(29,342)	62.24
33	Dividends on Common Stock	(51,909,137)	(48,996,981)	-5.94
34	Other Financing Activities:			
35	Debt Financing Costs	(1,130,557)	(8,020,160)	85.90
36	Treasury Stock Activity	154,223	(184,595)	183.55
37	Net Cash (Used in)/Provided by Financing Activities	(38,963,057)	29,768,922	-230.89
38	Net (Decrease)/Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(304,274)	1,892,411	-116.08
	Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	6,232,091	4,339,680	43.61
	Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 5,927,817	\$ 6,232,091	-4.88
	vaon and vaon Equivalente at End Of Teal	ψ 0,021,011	Ψ_0,202,001	-4.007

43 Commission (FERC) as set forth in its applicable Uniform System of Accounts. As such, subsidiaries are presented using the equity

44 method of accounting. The amounts presented are consistent with the presentation in FERC Form 1, plus Canadian Montana

45 Pipeline Corporation.46

			MONT	ANA LONG TERM I	DEBT				
						Outstanding		Annual	
		Issue	Maturity	Principal	Net	Per Balance	Yield to	Net Cost	Total
	Description	Date	Date	Amount	Proceeds	Sheet	Maturity	Inc. Prem./Disc.	Cost %
1								•	
2	First Mortgage Bonds								
3	6.34% Series, Due 2019	03/26/09	04/01/19	250,000,000	247,657,313	249,878,562	6.340%		6.61
4	5.71% Series, Due 2039	10/15/09	10/15/39	55,000,000	54,450,000	55,000,000	5.710%	\$3,158,845	5.74
5	6.04% Series, Due 2016	09/13/06	09/01/16	\$150,000,000	\$148,302,298	\$149,965,700	6.040%	\$9,308,114	6.2
6	5.01% Series, Due 2025	05/27/10	05/01/25	161,000,000	160,075,635	\$161,000,000	5.010%		5.3
7	Total First Mortgage Bonds			\$616,000,000	\$610,485,246	\$615,844,262		\$37,566,971	6.1
8									
9	Pollution Control Bonds						1		
10	4.65% Series, Due 2023	04/27/06	08/01/23	\$170,205,000	\$164,451,956	\$170,205,000	4.650%	\$8,467,855	4.9
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
12	Total Pollution Control Bonds			\$170,205,000	\$164,451,956	\$170,205,000		\$8,467,855	4.9
13							[
1 8			1.	1			1	· · · ·	
14					· ·	•			
15 16	TOTAL LONG TERM DEBT	·····		\$786,205,000	\$774,937,202	\$786,049,262		\$46,034,826	5.8
15 16 17 18 19 20	Total Capital Leases does not include th contract, which totals \$34,280,665.	he Fleet Lease a	mounts due		<u></u>	<u> </u>	unts associa	•	L
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	Total Capital Leases does not include th contract, which totals \$34,280,665.	he Fleet Lease a	mounts due		<u></u>	<u> </u>	ints associa	•	L
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25	Total Capital Leases does not include th contract, which totals \$34,280,665.	he Fleet Lease a	mounts due		<u></u>	<u> </u>	unts associa	•	5.8
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Total Capital Leases does not include th contract, which totals \$34,280,665.	he Fleet Lease a	mounts due		<u></u>	<u> </u>	unts associa	•	L
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Total Capital Leases does not include th contract, which totals \$34,280,665.	he Fleet Lease a	mounts due		<u></u>	<u> </u>	ints associa	•	L

Sch. 25						PREFE	RRED STOCK				
	Series	•	lssue Date Mo./Yr.	Shares Issued	Par Value	Call Price	Net Proceeds	Cost of Money	Principal Outstanding	Annual Cost	Embed. Cost %
1 1	1 2 NOT APPLICABLE										
3	3	1	.						· · ·		
· 5 6 7	3										
8 9 10											
11	· · ·										
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 21 22 23 24											
16 17			2								
18 19 20											
20 21 22											
23 24 25											
26 27											
25 26 27 28 29 30											
31	TOTAL	. 								<u></u>	

Sch. 2	6	l			COMMON	<u>зтоск</u>			<u></u>	
			Avg. Number of Shares Outstanding 1/	Book Vaiue Per Share	Earnings Per Share	Dividends Per Share (Declared)	Retention Ratio	Marke High	t Price Low	Price/ Earnings Ratio
	1	<u></u>				(20012102)	1 1010			11010
	2 3 4	January	36,232,229	\$23.02				\$29.46	\$28.18	
	5 6	February	36,246,630	23.33				29.97	27.38	
	7 8	March	36,252,743	23.19	\$0.90	\$0.36		30.57	28.23	
	9 10	April	36,257,086	23.34				32.62	29.37	
	11	May	36,258,870	23.42				33.24	31.84	
	12 13	June	36,260,406	23.14	0.30	0.36		33.14	31.50	
	14 15 16	July	36,260,887	23.31				34.11	31.27	
	10 17 18	August	36,263,167	23.48				34.17	28.68	
	19 20	September	36,264,686	23.14	0.41	0.36		34.11	30.96	
-	21	October	36,265,149	23.31	1			35.51	30.44	
	22 23	November	36,272,547	23.60				35.05	32.23	
	24 25 26	December	36,278,206	23.68	0.94	0.36		36.61	33.38	
		OTAL Year End	36,258,463	\$23.68	\$2.55	\$1.44	43.53%	\$35.79		14.0
	28					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			L	
	29									
	30 ⁻ 31	 Monthly shares shares for the two 	are actual shares velve months end			. iotai year-e	end snares a	are average	Ð	
	32		iono montrio ond							
	33									
	34									
	35 36									

Sch. 27	MONTANA EARNED RATE	OF RETURN - GA	\S	
	Description	This Year	Last Year	% Change
	Rate Base			
1	2 101 Plant in Service	\$574,337,263	\$548,250,729	4.76%
3		(235,904,266)	(231,663,502)	-1.83%
4	· ·		(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. ¹ 5	Net Plant in Service	\$338,432,997	\$316,587,227	6.90%
6				
. 7	154, 156 Materials & Supplies	\$4,271,137	\$4,324,102	-1.22%
8			., ,	
ç		52,796,273	44,649,042	18.25%
. 10	—			10.2070
11		\$57,067,410	\$48,973,144	16.53%
12		+0110011110	+ 101010111	
13		\$22,861,483	\$38,511,867	-40.64%
14		9,235,113	9,934,972	-7.04%
		9,230,113	9,934,972	-7.047
15		40,000,044	44 040 070	0.000/
16		40,693,241	41,818,872	-2.69%
17				
	Total Deductions	\$72,789,837	\$90,265,711	-19.36%
	Total Rate Base	\$322,710,570	\$275,294,660	17.22%
20	Adjusted Rate Base	\$322,710,570	\$275,294,660	17.22%
21	Net Earnings	\$16,582,911	\$18,673,289	-11.19%
22	Rate of Return on Average Rate Base	5.139%	6.783%	-24.24%
	Rate of Return on Average Equity 2/	5.109%	7.793%	-34.44%
24				
25	Major Normalizing and		[
26	Commission Ratemaking Adjustments			
27	Rate Schedule Revenues	(\$2,426,058)	(\$202,454)	>-300.00%
28	Funding Trust Regulatory Liability	804,935	18,267	>300.00%
20 29	Futuring trust regulatory Elability	004,300	10,207	~000.0078
30	Non-Allowables:			
		404.000	004.000	40.009/
31	Advertising	104,202	201,260	-48.23%
32	Dues, Contributions, Other	24,389	24,604	-0.87%
33				
34	Associated Income Taxes <u>3</u> /	1,584,312	(145,660)	>300.00%
35			·	
	Total Adjustments	\$91,780	(\$103,983)	188.26%
37	Revised Net Earnings	\$16,674,691	\$18,569,306	-10.20%
38				
39	Rate Base Adjustment			
40	Stipulation with MCC 4/	(\$11,951,254)	(\$12,377,627)	3.44%
41		(+,,	(+	
	Revised Rate Base	\$310,759,316	\$262,917,033	18.20%
	Adjusted Rate of Return on Average Rate Base	5.366%	7.063%	-24.03%
	Adjusted Rate of Return on Average Equity <u>2</u> /	4.699%	8.180%	-42.56%
· •	Adjusted Rate of Return on Average Equity 2	4.099%	8.180%	-42.50%
45				.
	1/ Other additions includes a FAS 109 Regulatory Asset that	at provides an offse	et to the accumulat	ed
	deferred taxes.)
48				
49	2/ Return on Equity calculated using the capital structure ap	pproved in Docket N	No. D2009.9.129.	
50				ĺ
507	3/ Associated Income taxes include an interest synchroniza	tion adjustment bas	sed upon the appro	oved
1		,	1	
51	apital structure in Docket No. D2009 9 129			
51 52 d	apital structure in Docket No. D2009.9.129.			
51 52 (53		7 80 roflecting on	third of the @20.0	million
51 52 (53 54	4/ Per NWE/MCC Stipulation Agreement Docket No. D2007			
51 52 (53 54 55 a		the 2010 inclusion		

Sch. 27							
	Description	This Year	Last Year	% Change			
1			1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 - 1993 -				
2	Detail - Other Additions						
.3	FAS 109 Regulatory Asset <u>2</u> /	\$17,488,417	\$9,911,105	76.45%			
4	Gas Stored Underground	32,096,313	32,096,313	0.00%			
5	Cost of Refinancing Debt	3,211,543	2,539,201	26.48%			
6	SAP Development Costs	-	102,423	-100.00%			
· . 7[
	Total Other Additions	\$52,796,273	\$44,649,042	18.25%			
9							
10	Detail - Other Deductions	1					
11	Personal Injury and Property Damage	\$1,288,389	\$1,921,921	-32.96%			
12	Storage Gas Sales 2000 & 2001	11,881,881	12,302,397	-3.42%			
13	Gross Cash Requirements	10,400,801	9,607,258	8.26%			
14	Bond Refinancing CTC - GP	4,091,343	4,298,064	-4.81%			
15	Bond Refinancing CTC - RA	13,030,827	13,689,232	-4.81%			
16	MPSC/MCC Taxes	-	-	-			
17							
18	Total Other Deductions	\$40,693,241	\$41,818,872	-2.69%			
19							
20							
21							
22							
23			ĺ				
24							
25			ĺ				
26							
27							
28							
29							
30			ļ				
31			1				
32							
33							
34							
35							
36	· · · · · ·			ļ			
37							
38							
39				ļ			
40	I						
40			1				
41			ļ	ĺ			
42 43							
44							

Schedule 27A

Sch. 28	M	ONTANA COMPOSITE STATISTICS - NATURAL GAS (INCLU	DES	CMP)
		Description		Amount
1	1			
2		Plant (Intrastate Only)		
3				
4		Plant in Service (Includes Allocation from Common)	\$	590,246,756
5	105	Plant Held for Future Use		4,900
6	107	Construction Work in Progress		6,698,193
7	117	Gas in Underground Storage		58,833,414
8 9	151-163	Materials & Supplies		2,569,323
9 10		(Less):		240 576 059
10	108, 111 252	Depreciation & Amortization Reserves Contributions in Aid of Construction	}	240,576,958
	NET BOOK		\$	8,817,396 408,958,232
12	NET BOOK	00313	φ	400,950,252
13		Revenues & Expenses		
14		Revenues & Expenses		
16	400	Operating Revenues	\$	222,369,147
10	400	operating revenues	Ψ	222,000,147
	Total Onera	ting Revenues	\$	222,369,147
19			Ψ	222,000,147
20	401-402	Other Operating Expenses (including regulatory amortizations)	\$	165,972,191
21		Depreciation & Amortization Expenses	Ψ.	15,315,321
22		Taxes Other than Income Taxes		23,325,573
23		Federal & State Income Taxes		1,173,151
24				.,,
	Total Operat	ting Expenses	\$	205,786,236
	Net Operatir		\$	16,582,911
27	······································			
28	415-421.1	Other Income		1,654,792
29 4	421.2-426.5	Other Deductions		128,460
30	NET INCOM	E BEFORE INTEREST EXPENSE		\$18,109,243
31				
32		Average Customers (Intrastate Only)		
33		Residential		158,520
34		Commercial		22,183
35		Industrial		278
36		Other (including interdepartmental)		150
	FOTAL AVE	RAGE NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS		181,131
38				
39		Other Statistics (Intrastate Only)		
40		Average Annual Residential Use (Dkt)		83.1
41		Average Annual Residential Cost per (Dkt)		\$9.43
42	1	Average Residential Monthly Bill		\$65.25
43				
44		Plant in Service (Gross) per Customer		\$3,259

Sch. 29		Montana Cust	omer Informatio	on- Natural Gas,	1/	
0011.20		Population			Industrial	
	0.4	Census 2010	Residential	Commercial	& Other	Total
	City	1,150	463	78	2	543
1	Absarokee	180	55	9	-	64
2	Amsterdam	9,298	3,340	319	5	3,664
3	Anaconda Augusta	309	193	44	1	238
4 5	Belfry	· 218	4	· · · ·	-	4
6	Belgrade	7,389	5,199	772	1	5,972
7	Big Mountain	· -	199	34		233
. 8	Big Sandy	598	293	70	-	363
9	Big Timber	1,641	911	183	. 9	1,103
10	Bigfork	4,270	1,337	208	-	1,545
11	Billings	104,170	18	3	2	23
12	Bonner	1,663	62	7	-	69 561
13	Boulder	1,183	480	79	2	22,923
14	Bozeman	37,280	19,749	3,165	9 3	22,923
15	Browning	2,801	1,025	159	. 3	5
16	Buffalo	-	5	- 4 000	36	14,019
17	Butte	33,525	12,584	1,399	- 50	21
18	Cardwell	50	17	4	-	37
19	Carter	58	29 368	. 124	. 3	495
20	Chester	847	703	124	6	837
21	Chinook	1,203	856	174	3	1,033
22	Choteau	1,684 902	453	51	-	504
23	Churchill	902 1,661	683	33	-	716
24	Clancy	1,052	364	19	1	384
25	Clinton	4,688	3,317	366	3	3,686
26	Columbia Falls Columbus	1,893	1,049	168	6	1,223
27 28	Conrad	2,570	1,121	202	15	1,338
20	Coram	539	110	23	-	133
30	Corbin	-	1	-	-	1
31	Corvallis	976	1,144	87	-	1,231
32	Cut Bank	2,869	43	10	1	54
33	Deer Lodge	3,111	1,605	205	. 6	1,816
34	Dillon	4,134	2,043	325	5	2,373
35	Drummond	309	208	51	2	261
36	East Glacier Park	363	130	44	1	175
37	East Helena	1,984	1,961	119	3	2,083 109
38	Elliston	.219	96	13	- 1	109 94
39	Essex		76	17 85	4	94 489
40	Fairfield	708	400	85 71	4	489 1,266
41	Florence	765	1,194	71		48
42	Floweree		41 353	56	_	409
43	Fort Belknap	1,293	638	50 154	_	792
44	Fort Benton	1,464	030	7	59	66
45	Fort Harrison	-	107	, 12	-	119
46	Fort Shaw	280	3		-]	3
47	Galata	856	164	39	-	203
48	Gallatin Gateway	000	7	1	-	8
49	Garneill	96	21	5	-	26
50	Garrison	179	78	25	- [103
51	Gildford		22	2	-	24
52	Grantsdale Graat Falls	58 505	964	49	4	1,017
53	Great Falls	58,505	964	49	4	1,017

Sch. 29		Montana Cust	omer Informatio	on- Natural Gas,	1/	
0011.23		Population			Industrial	
	City	Census 2010	Residential	Commercial	& Other	Total
1	Greycliff	112	45	6	-	51
1	Hall		60	12	· _	: 72
2	Hamilton	4,348	3,918	696	8	4,622
3	Hariem	808	309	64	- 2	375
4 5	Harlowton	997	530	95	2	627
6	Havre	10,026	4,512	648	. 9	5,169
7	Helena	53,457	17,666	2,371	. 27	20,064
. 7	Hingham	118	84	31	-	115
9	Hungry Horse	826	228	36		264
10	Inverness	55	35	13	-	48
10	Jefferson City	472	155	13	2	170
12	Joplin	157	92	25	-	117
12	Judith Gap	126	67	16	-	83
13	Kalispell	19,927	11,701	2,002	17	13,720
14	Kremlin	98	47	15	· -	62
16	Laurel	6,718	12	1		13
10	Ledger	-	6	-	-	6
18	Lewistown	5,901	2,939	488	11	3,438
19	Livingston	7,044	3,988	569	16	4,573
20	Logan	99	41	6	-	47
20	Lohman	-	3	1	-	4
22	Lolo	3,892	1,587	96	-	1,683
22	Loma	85	41	19	-	60
24	Manhattan	1,520	731	101	1	833
25	Martin City	500	116	16	-	132
26	Marysville	80	1	-	-	1
27	Maxville	130	1	-	-	1
28	Milltown	-	73	8	-	81
29	Missoula	66,788	29,697	3,765	48	33,510
30	Montana City	2,715	735	65	-	800
31	Moore	193	3	-	-	3
32	Philipsburg	820	412	82	-	494
33	Ramsay	-	39	7	[46
34	Red Lodge	2,125	1,822	277	7	2,106
35	Reedpoint	193	109	17	1	127
36	Roberts	361	158	20	-	178
37	Rocker	-	40	8	-	48
38	Rudyard	258	133	27	-	160
39	Ryegate	245	3	1	-	28
40	Shawmut	42	24	4	-	28
41	Shelby	3,376	9	3	-	12
42	Sheridan	642	414	69	-	483
43	Silver Star	-	19	4	_	23
44	Silverbow	-	4	-	2	6 171
45	Simms	354	155	16	-	171 397
46	Somers	1,109	378	19	-	397
47	Springdale	42	1	-	_	1 004
48	Stevensville	1,809	1,585	244	5	1,834
49	Sun River	124	108	15	-	123
50	Three Forks	1,869	820	128	1	949 121
51	Turah	306	118	3	-	265
52	Twin Bridges	375	210	55		200

Sch. 29		Montana Custo	omer Informatio	on- Natural Gas,	1/	
001.20		Population			Industrial	
	City	Census 2010	Residential	Commercial	& Other	Total
1	Valier	509	311	64	4	379
2	Vaughn	658	335	23	1	359
3	Victor	745	474	75	· 1	550
4	Walkerville	675	- 238	12	-	250 1
5	Warm Springs	· · · · ·	-	1 41	3	147
6	West Glacier	227	103 3,958	480	. 4	4,442
7	Whitefish	6,357 1,038	683	110	2	795
8	Whitehall	1,030	2	3	-	5
9	Whitlash		- 1	-	-	1
10	Williamsburg Willow Creek	210	94	12	-	106
12	Wolf Creek	_	51	28	-	79
13						
14						
15						
16						
17			2			
18						
19						
20						
21 22						
22			l.	E Contraction de la c	,	
20						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30			ŀ			l
31 32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42 43						
43						ĺ
44 45						
45						
40						101 107
	Total	512,594	158,520	22,239	368	181,127

1/ Customer populations represent an average of the 12 month period from 01/01/11 through 12/31/11.

Schedule 29B

Sch. 30	MONTANA EMPLOYEE COUNTS 1/								
	Department	Year Beginning	Year End	Average					
1 2	Utility Operations								
3	Executive	2	2	2					
4	Customer Care	104	109	107					
5	Finance	118	123	121					
6	Regulatory Affairs	27	27	27					
: 7	Distribution	555	549	552					
8	Transmission	182	201	192					
9	Supply	20	32	26					
10	Legal	12	12	12					
11			[
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
	TOTAL EMPLOYEES	1,020	1,055	1,038					
	1/ Consistent with prior years, part time employees have bee	en converted to full-	time equivalents.						

Sch. 31	Sch. 31 MONTANA CONSTRUCTION BUDGET 2012 (ASSIGNED & ALLOCATED)							
	Project Description	Total Company	Total Montana					
2	Electric Operations		•					
	MT Elec Distribution - Elec Distribution Infrastructure Plan	\$12,200,000 ⁻	\$12,200,000					
	MT Elec Distribution - Livingston-Big Timber Substation	1,082,086	1,082,086					
	MT Elec Distribution - Bozeman-Westside Substation	1,133,614	1,133,614					
	MT Elec Trans - South Butte Auto Transformer Sub	4,428,003	4,428,003					
	MT Elec Trans - Jack Rabbit-Big Sky 161KV line	7,795,256	7,795,256					
	SD Elec Trans - Reconductor Line 30 Siebrecht to Redfield	5,160,939						
9								
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each MT	46,857,793	46,857,793					
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each SD	20,112,179						
	Total Electric Utility Construction Budget	\$98,769,870	\$73,496,752					
13								
14	•							
	MT Gas Retail - Gas Distribution Infrastructure Plan	6,000,000	6,000,000					
	MT Gas Trans - Pipeline Integrity Mgmt - Bozeman HCA's	3,044,607	3,044,607					
	MT Gas Trans - Pipeline Integrity Mgmt - Other HCA projects	2,976,705	2,976,705					
18								
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each MT	14,374,931	14,374,931					
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each SD NE	4,088,655						
	Total Natural Gas Utility Construction Budget	30,484,898	26,396,243					
22								
23	Common							
	Fleet and Equipment Purchases	6,000,000	4,703,000					
	BT CIS Upgrade and Consolidation	4,134,929	3,307,962					
1	Communications - MT Mobile Radio replacement	2,644,139	2,644,139					
	SD Aberdeen Facility	1,462,500						
	IT AM-FM GIS system	1,166,784	1,166,784					
	Communications - SD Mobile Radio replacement	1,394,071	· ·					
30								
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each MT	2,990,629	2,990,629					
	(Includes IT, Communications, Facilities, Cust Serv)							
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each SD NE	1,029,940						
34	Total Common Utility Construction Budget	20,822,000	14 040 544					
	Total Common Utility Construction Budget	20,822,992	14,812,514					
36		4 005 000	4 005 000					
	MT CU4 capital additions - PPL invoice	4,965,000	4,965,000					
38	CD Die Chana Naal 4. Causta portaan aanital	4 400 500						
	SD Big Stone, Neal 4, Coyote partner capital	4,438,506						
1	SD Internal Generation - RICE NESHAP Compliance	1,127,006	[
41	All Other Drojects < \$1 Million Each MT	250.000	250,000					
	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each MT	250,000	250,000					
-	All Other Projects < \$1 Million Each SD	641,728	E 245 000					
	Total Colstrip Unit 4 and MT/SD Generation		5,215,000					
45	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION BUDGET	\$161,500,000	\$119,920,509					

Sch. 32	MONTANA TRANSMISSION, DISTRIBUTION and STORAGE SYSTEMS -NATURAL GAS									
	Transmission System-Sales and Transportation									
		Peak Day		Peak Day Volur		Monthly Volumes	(MMBTU's)			
	Month	Total Company	Montana	Total Company	Montana	Total Company	Montana			
· · 1					· · ·		5,705,460			
. 2	P February						5,403,876			
3	March				ſ		4,535,194			
4	April		NOT A	VAILABLE 1/	•		3,652,262			
5		·					2,587,001			
6			1		[[2,020,507			
7	July					l .	1,769,528			
. 8	1 *]				1,867,739			
9	-						1,886,647			
10							2,845,332			
11	1			ļ		· · · ·	4,485,649			
12							5,152,749			
13			New States (Second				41,911,944			
14	101/12	TRACKSCOMMENT	and the second	And the second se		TO BE AND A CONTRACT OF A C				
15										
16			Distributi	on System-Sales an	d Transportation					
17		Sales Vo		Transportation		Monthly Volumes	(MMBTLI's)			
	Month	Total Company	Montana	Total Company	Montana	Total Company	Montana			
19	January		3,397,762		11,328		3,409,090			
20	February	}	3,003,173		18,856		3,022,029			
21	March		3,003,043		19,721		3,022,764			
22	April	·	2,056,500		10,528		2,067,028			
23	May		1,495,885		6,290		1,502,175			
24	June		958,659		2,309		960,968			
24	July		557,524		420		557,944			
26	August		400,925		285		401,210			
20	September		434,049		52		434,101			
28	October		676,921	Í	173		677,094			
29	November		1,661,758		6,102		1,667,860			
30	December		2,707,425		14,727		2,722,152			
	TOTAL		20,353,624		90,791		20,444,415			
32	101/12		20,000,02.1		00,101	(*************************************	20,111,110			
33										
34			Storage Syst	tem-Sales and Trans	sportation					
35		Peak Day & Pe				Volumes (MMBTU's	<u>, </u>			
36		Total Company	Montana	Total Montan		Energy Supp				
	Month		1/	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal			
38	January	<u></u>		6,175	3,612,910		1,919,112			
39	February			8,096	3,414,120		1,905,701			
40	March			39,160	1,889,308		1,366,002			
41	April			676,818	510,545	27,712	.,			
42	May			1,767,632	40,928	1,489,958				
43	June		ł	2,239,851	19,391	1,849,221				
44	July	l l		2,587,098	42,145	2,187,352				
	August			2,821,172	66,840	2,273,577				
	September		1	2,206,241	39,915	1,517,445	1			
	October			1,052,734	.239,626	.,017,110	113,383			
	November			28,581	1,307,660		1,320,136			
	December	1	1	11,582	2,603,103	1	1,728,311			
			STREET, STREET	13,445,140	13,786,491	9,345,265	8,352,645			
50				10,440, 140	10,100,401	0,040,200	0,002,040			
	1/ Data is not :	accumulated on a	daily basis th	erefore the neak day	and neak day you	umes are not availabl				
52 53		accumulated off a	daily 20015, [[]	ciciole lile peak uay	and peak day vul	anco ale nut avaliau	.			
53 54							/			
55										

Sch. 33											
		Last Year	This Year	Last Year	This Year						
		Volumes	Volumes	Avg. Commodity	Avg. Commodity						
	Supply Location	MMBTU	MMBTU	Cost	Cost						
1											
2	Canadian Pipeline	4,810,215	· ·	\$7.7440	(.						
3	Havre Pipeline	6,482,810		3.7670	· .						
4	Encana Pipeline	6,489,837		3.8350							
5	Intra Montana Purchase	2,350,532		4.2130							
6	TOTAL CORE SUPPLY LAST YEAR	20,133,394		\$4.9070							
7				······································							
8	Canadian Pipeline		7,117,552		\$6.6010						
9	Havre Pipeline	1	6,215,072		3.6110						
10	Encana Pipeline	: · ·	5,905,184		3.6360						
11	Intra Montana Purchase		1,760,483		3.6970						
12	TOTAL CORE SUPPLY THIS YEAR		20,998,291		\$4.7136						
13				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
14	Note: This schedule does not include cor	mpany owned	production.								
15	· · · ·	• •	· .								
16											

Sch. 34	MONTANA CONSERVATION & D	EM	AND SID	EN			GRAMS		
	Program Description (These are Gas DSM Programs)	Cu	rrent Year penditures	Pro	evious Year (penditures	% Change	Planned Savings (Mcf or Dkt)	Achieved Savings (Mcf or Dkt)	Difference
1			<u>sonata oo</u>		(ponditarioo	Gildingo			Difference
2	2011 Residential Gas DSM Program	\$ 2	2,597,885	\$	1,563,680	66.14%	71,222	63,869	(7,352)
4	2011 E+ Business Partners Program (Gas)	\$	207,376	\$	103,130	101.08%	5,814	5,214	(600)
6	2011 E+ Natural Gas Residential New Construction Program	\$	30,517	\$	29,070	4.97%	507	455	(52)
8 9	2011 E+ Natural Gas Commercial Existing Program	\$	367,234	\$	246,158	49.19%	21,708	19,467	(2,241)
· 10 11	2011 E+ Natural Gas Commercial New Construction Program	\$	27,248	\$	57,799	-52.86%	1,552	1,392	(160)
12 13	2011 Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (NEEA)*	\$	1,649,724	\$	1,440,364	14.54%	19,829	17,782	(2,047)
14 15									
16						1			
17 18									
19 20							· · · ·	·	
	A program participant is a Montana residential and/or								
	commercial natural gas customer who installs eligible energy conservation measures and receives financial								
1	incentives/rebates.								
25									
26	*Note: NEEA expeditures are the full 2011 NEEA costs, costs are								
	not allocated by gas and electric savings amounts.								
28								· ·	
29									
30									
	TOTAL	1	4,879,984	$\frac{1}{8}$	3,440,202	41.85%	6 120,632	108,179	(12,453)

Sch. 35 1 Sales of N 2 3 Residentia 4 Commerci 5 Industrial 1	Description itural Gas	-	Operating R	eve	nues 1/	Dkt S	nd 1/	Average (Quetom ere	
2 3 Residentia 4 Commerci 5 Industrial I			0					Average Customers		
2 3 Residentia 4 Commerci 5 Industrial I			Current		Previous	Current	Previous	Current	Previous	
2 3 Residentia 4 Commerci 5 industrial I	itural Gas	<u> </u>	Year	<u> </u>	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	
3 Residentia 4 Commerci 5 Industrial I										
4 Commerci 5 Industrial I										
5 Industrial I		\$	124,123,425	[\$	116,083,244	13,169,364		158,520	157,738	
			63,396,389		58,397,898	6,786,788	6,399,515	22,183	22,026	
	irm		1,465,611	·	1,707,854	162,037	193,838	278	286	
6 Public Aut		l	509,413		459,804	55,584	51,176	. 90	90	
7 Interdepar			535,898	·	414,501	60,137		. 56	56	
	ther Utilities 2/		1,578,987		1,433,195	256,539		4	3	
9 TOTAL SA	_ES		191,609,723	L	178,496,496	20,490,449		181,131	180,199	
10			Operating	Re			insported		Customers	
11			Current		Previous	Current	Previous	Current	Previous	
12			Year		Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	
13 Transportat	on of Gas		i							
14										
15 On System		\$	21,083,808	\$	20,365,761	20,965,064	17,357,898	252	249	
	Transportation & Storage		405,978		448,875	73,956	951,026	4	4	
	ontana Pipeline		104,077		56,586					
	NSPORTATION		21,593,863		20,871,222	21,039,020	18,308,924	256	253	
19										
20										
21			1				ļ			
22							ļ			
23							1			
24			1		1	ļ			ļ	
25										
26							[1	ſ	
27									ļ	
28						[
29										
	and Dkts include unbilled a	and Ca	anadian Monta	na F	Pipeline.					
31										
	Sales to Other Utilities only	, as c	ompared to Sc	hed	ule 9 which inc	ludes all Sales fo	or Resale.		ļ	
33										
34									[
35										
36										
37									1	
38										
39										
40									1	
41	······									

Sch. 36a	Natural Gas Universal System Benefits Programs								
		Actual Current	Contracted or Committed	Total Current	Expected	Most recent			
		Year	Current Year	Year	savings	program			
	Program Description	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures	(Dkt)	evaluation			
1	Local Conservation				<u> </u>				
2	E+ Residential Audit	825,436	-	825,436	40,400	_2007			
3	NWE Promotion	44,469	-	44,469					
. 4	NWE Labor	20,646	÷	20,646					
5	NWE Admin. Non-labor	4,332	-	4,332	•				
6	USB Interest & Svc Chg	(367)	·	(367)		· · · ·			
. 7	Low Income								
8	Bill Assistance	1,635,314	-	1,635,314					
9	Free Weatherization	1,366,399	-	1,366,399	39,171	2007			
10	Energy Share	336,000	· -	336,000					
11	NWE Promotion	1,380	-	1,380					
12		35,974	-	35,974					
13	NWE Admin. Non-labor	630	-	630					
14	USB Interest & Svc Chg	(1,318)		(1,318)					
	Total	\$ 4,268,896	\$	\$ 4,268,896	79,570				
	Number of customers that received		rate discounts		9,776				
	Average monthly bill discount an	• •			\$ 27.88	(a)			
	Average LIEAP-eligible househo				n/a				
	Number of customers that receiv				663				
	Expected average annual bill sav	-	nerization			Dkt			
	Number of residential audits per				3,049	(b)			
,	(a) Average monthly bill discount is for the			-					
26	(b) Total savings and number of custom expended in 2011.	•			•	Inds			
24	Note: Order 6679e, allows NWE to track and adjust the Natural Gas USB C	on an annual basis Charge for any over o	its Natural Gas US or under collections	B expenditures ar	nd revenues				

Sch. 36b	Montana Conservation & I	Der	nand Side	Managemer	nt Programs		
				Contracted of	or	Τ	Most
		. A	Actual Curren				recent
			Year	Current Yea		Expected	program
	Program Description (These are Gas USB Programs)		Expenditures	Expenditure	s Expenditures	s savings (dKt)	evaluation
	Local Conservation	-188	005 400		* 005 400	40.400	0007
2	E+ Energy Audit for the Home (Natural Gas)	\$	825,436	6 \$ -	\$ 825,436	40,400	2007
. 4							
5						1	
6							
7							
	Demand Response						
9	·						
10							.
11							
13				}		1	}
14							1
	Market Transformation						
16	Building Operator Certification	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	481	2007
17		1			1		
18							
19 20		1		1	1	1	
20		ļ					
	Research & Development						
23				1			
24							
25							
26							
27				ļ			
28							
30	ow Income Free Weatherization (Natural Gas)	\$	1,366,399	\$-	\$ 1,366,399	39,171	2007
31	r too woamonzaion (walara Gas)	φ	1,000,000		φ 1,500,399	58,171	2007
32				1			
33							
34							
35 C							
36	DEQ Appliance Rebate Program	\$	-	\$-	\$ -	91	NA
37							
38 39					} [1	
39 40							
40					[{	
46							
47					{	(
48 T	otal	\$	2,191,835	\$ -	\$ 2,191,835	80,143	

Schedule 36b